THE WORLD BANK GROUP ARCHIVES

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE AUTHORIZED

Folder Title: Paris Club - 93-05 (June 21-23, 1993 meeting)

Folder ID: 1186878

Series: Back-to-Office Reports from Bank Liaison Officers to the Paris Club

Dates: 06/29/1993 - 06/29/1993

Subfonds: Records of the Office of the Vice President, Development Economics and

Chief Economist and later Senior Vice President, Development Economics

and Chief Economist (DECVP)

Fonds: Records of the Office of the Chief Economist

ISAD Reference Code: WB IBRD/IDA DEC-03-57

Digitized: 06/05/2023

To cite materials from this archival folder, please follow the following format: [Descriptive name of item], [Folder Title], Folder ID [Folder ID], ISAD(G) Reference Code [Reference Code], [Each Level Label as applicable], World Bank Group Archives, Washington, D.C., United States.

The records in this folder were created or received by The World Bank in the course of its business.

The records that were created by the staff of The World Bank are subject to the Bank's copyright.

Please refer to http://www.worldbank.org/terms-of-use-earchives for full copyright terms of use and disclaimers.



THE WORLD BANK

Washington, D.C.

 ${\small \texttt{@ International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / International Development Association or}}$

The World Bank 1818 H Street NW Washington DC 20433

Telephone: 202-473-1000 Internet: www.worldbank.org

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE AUTHORIZED

Paris Club - 93-05 (June 21-23, 1993 meeting)

Archives

DECLASSIFIED WITH RESTRICTIONS WBG Archives

RETURN TO INSTITUTIONAL INFORMATION SERVICES CENTER 0

9 3

ı F

aris Club

A R L





Paris Club - 93-05 (June 21-23, 1993 meeting)	File Title			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Barcode No.		
Document Date June 23, 1993 Correspondents / Participants Subject / Title Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). 1	Paris Club - 93-05 (June 21-23, 1993 meeting)							
Subject / Title Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 ▼ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 A-C □ 10 D □ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal			853			1186	6878	
Subject / Title Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 ▼ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 A-C □ 10 D □ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal						-		
Subject / Title Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 ▼ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 A-C □ 10 D □ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal								
Correspondents / Participants Subject / Title Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). 1		Sec. 251						
Subject / Title Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 A-C 10 D Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal		Keport						
Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 ☑ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 A-C □ 10 D □ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal	Correspondents / Participants							
Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 ☑ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 A-C □ 10 D □ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal				F &				
Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 ☑ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 A-C □ 10 D □ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal								
Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 ☑ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 A-C □ 10 D □ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal				*				
Summaries of Paris Club Meeting Discussions. Exception No(s). □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 □ 6 ☑ 7 □ 8 □ 9 □ 10 A-C □ 10 D □ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal	Subject / Title							
☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ✔ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 A-C ☐ 10 D ☐ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal								
☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ✔ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 A-C ☐ 10 D ☐ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal						(24) (24)		
☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ✔ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 A-C ☐ 10 D ☐ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal								
☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ✔ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 A-C ☐ 10 D ☐ Prerogative to Restrict Reason for Removal								
Reason for Removal	Exception No(s).		B 147			lik!	3	
	□ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □	5 6 7	8 9	☐ 10 A-C	☐ 10 D ☐ P	rerogative to Re	strict	
Information Provided by Member Countries of Third Parties in Confidence								
	Information Provided by Member Countries of Third Parties in Confidence							
							÷	
Additional Comments The item(s) identified above has/have been removed	Additional Comments				The item(s) ide	ntified above has/ha	ave been removed	
in accordance with The World Bank Policy on Access to Information. This Policy can be found on the World						in accordance with The World Bank Policy on Access		
Bank Access to Information website.								
Withdrawn by Date Bertha F. Wilson March 28, 2014	36.				Withdrawn by			

THE WORLD BANK/IFC/MIGA

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

CONFIDENTIAL DATE: June 29, 1993

Ms. Nancy Birdsall, Acting Vice President, DEC TO:

mul you

Michael Lav, Economic Adviser, DPG FROM:

EXTENSION: 35092

SUBJECT: Paris Club - June 21 to 23, 1993

DECLASSIFIED MAR 2 8 2014 WBG ARCHIVES

Introduction

The principal business during the Paris Club's June meeting was the negotiation of new rescheduling agreements for Benin and Costa Rica, and discussions concerning the treatment of debt of "IDA only" and lower middle income countries. In addition, the Club held its normal tour d'horizon.

Benin Rescheduling

Benin received enhanced Toronto terms for its rescheduling, following the enhanced Toronto terms received for the 1991 rescheduling. Some creditors asked about Benin's low debt service ratio and low debt/GDP ratio, but accepted that the country's per capita income and IDA only status merited receipt of the enhanced Toronto terms. Benin was an early recipient of enhanced Toronto terms and has been implementing its Fund supported programs reasonably well and maintains good relations with creditors. Although the agreement calls for a 4 year track record before discussions of a reduction in the stock of debt (compared to 3 years for most other countries receiving enhanced Toronto terms), Benin may well be one of the earlier countries to receive debt stock reduction if it continues to perform well.

Costa Rica Rescheduling

- The Costa Rica rescheduling of arrears closed the financing gap for 1993, although the amounts involved were not large. Because of Costa Rica's per capita income, the grace period extends only to 1995 and repayments are to be completed by 1999.
- Creditors were interested to know the status of IBRD and IDB loans, and particularly our adjustment loan, for which parliamentary approval of certain laws and of the loans themselves is required for effectiveness and disbursements. The Minister of Finance of Costa Rica confirmed that his government was committed to passage of the needed legislation, and that the opposition party was also supporting the adjustment program. Therefore, Parliamentary approval, effectiveness, and disbursements were projected during 1993.

Methodology

- The Paris Club has been according enhanced Toronto terms to "IDA only" countries since December 1991. These call for a reduction in the stock of debt after a 3 or 4 year track record has been established. While the amount by which the stock of debt would be reduced has not been specified, it has been widely assumed that 50% would be an appropriate reduction. Discussion about improving these terms has not been possible since the United States has been choosing the non-concessional option, and the other creditors had concerns about burden sharing. However, it now seems as if the United States in the near future will be able to move to one of the two concessional options offered in the enhanced Toronto terms menu, as Congressional approval of the Administration's proposals seems reasonably likely.
- enhanced Toronto terms in the methodology session. More than half of the creditors were willing to support a reduction of greater than 50%. Some of these felt that a case by case approach was merited, with reductions depending on the needs and capabilities of the country. Other creditors, while agreeing with the desirability of assuring an appropriate reduction for each debtor, preferred to have guidelines on which to base such decisions. Some creditors stated that they would probably face a budget constraint, so that if a greater stock reduction were given for some countries, other countries would need to receive less. Concerning the track record, all creditors wished to see some time period over which a track record could be established before moving to the stock of debt reduction. However, some felt that a time period of less than 3 years could be appropriate.
- 7. All creditors agreed that the object of the exercise was to exit debtor countries from the rescheduling process. In this regard, they welcomed further discussion of indicators and perspectives important to gauge the adequacy of a debt stock reduction.
- 8. The creditor countries also discussed the treatment given to lower middle income countries (LMICs). An important group of creditors, but substantially less than a majority, felt that better treatment was important, including the possibility of debt stock reductions. Some creditors stated that they were prepared to look at this on a case by case basis. Since none of the LMICs of interest appeared close to coming to the Paris Club for a rescheduling, the feeling was that there would be time to discuss this in the future.
- 9. It is worth recalling that Paris Club decisions are made by concensus. Therefore, because various creditors now have different points of view, a substantial amount of work remains before these matters are resolved.

Tour d'Horizon

10. A total of 19 countries were covered in the tour d'horizon, comprising:

Africa: Equatorial Guinea, Sierra Leone, Tanzania, Zambia

LAC: Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Ecuador, Nicaragua,

Venezuela

ECA: Albania, Bulgaria, Macedonia, Poland, Russia, Slovenia

MNA: Egypt, Iran, Jordan,

No points of general interest emerged.

Next Steps

11. The next meeting of the Paris Club will be the week of October 4th. Ecuador could be a candidate for a rescheduling at that time if several important issues are resolved and if a Fund program materializes. Informal discussions on the methodology issues discussed in paragraphs 5-9 are taking place and will continue in the coming months.

cc and cleared with: Anandarup Ray

Messrs./

Mmes. Karaosmanoglu; Sandstrom; Stern; Husain, Jaycox, Kaji, Koch-Weser,
 Thalwitz, Wood; Linn; Kashiwaya; Edwards, I. Husain, Thomas,
 Nankani, Selowsky, Squire; McCarthy; D.C. Rao, Birdsall, Gelb,
 Ahmed; Donovan, Nishimizu, Bhattacharya; Pfeffermann; Shakow.
 Wyss, Handwerger, Paris Office.
 Institutional ISC.

Messrs./Mmes.

Guerard (African Countries) Lafourcade, Derbez, AF1 (Benin, Equatorial Guinea) Colaco, Carter, AF2 (Tanzania) Aguirre-Sacasa, Gorjestani, AF3 Lim, Salop, AF4 (Sierra Leone) Marshall, Sarbib, Lewis, AF5 Denning, Patel, AF6 (Zambia) Hamilton, Kilby, SA1 Vergin, Khalilzadeh-Shirazi, SA2 Isenman, Penalver-Quesada, SA3 Madavo, Ikram, EA1 Burki, Pearce, EA2 Haug, Shilling, EA3 Wiehen, Levy, EC1 (Bulgaria) Dervis, Noel, EC2 (Albania, Macedonia, Poland, Slovenia) Cheetham, Huang, Yurukoglu, Toft, EC3 (Russia) Kavalsky, Kanaan, Grais, EC4 Kholi, Ayub, MN1 (Iran) Chopra, Voyadzis, MN2 (Egypt, Iran, Jordan) Steckhan, Papageorgiou, LA1 (Brazil, Venezuela) Segura, de Ferranti, LA2C1 (Costa Rica, Nicaragua) Segura, Lacey, LA2C2 Abe, de Tray, LA3C1 (Bolivia) Abe, Delvoie, LA3C2 Loh, Dowsett-Coirolo, LA4 (Argentina, Ecuador)

MLav:df