



Evaluating Impact: Turning Promises into Evidence Community Based Health Insurance Scheme Teodros Bekele (MD), FMoH, Ethiopia Cape Town, South Africa

December 2009







1. Background



Community Based Health Insurance Scheme

- . 35% Cost Subsidy
- . PHC & Secondary Care Covered
- . Voluntary Vs Mandatory= Universal

12 Pilot districts in four Regions4 Control districts one from Each Regions

<u>Pilot Design</u>: A two year pilot Scheme Population & Benefit Coverage Clearly defined Premium/Contribution and Subsidy Set Institutional Arrangement and Operational Plan finalized

2. Results Chain



Outputs Activities Outcomes Inputs Long term Outcomes

•Legislative
frame
works,
Directives,
Proclamatio
ns and
Manuals

 Financial resources

•Trainings Feasibility study •Setting premium... •Registration •Claim Provider

 Advocacy & Sensitizations

payment

•Number of Enrollees

•No of Providers •Reduced illness Contracted

• Amount of contributions **Collected and** Subsidy Processing and mobilized...

•Improved Financial **Access to Health Care**

related out of Pocket expenditure

•Reduced Catastrophic health expenditure

•Improved health care utilization

•Improved Quality of Care...

 Sustainable Financing to Health Sector

•Improved Health Out comes thru

 Reduce Maternal Mortality,

•Improved Child Health

 Reduced Burden of communicable diseases like HIV/TB & malaria...



3. Primary Research Questions



- What is the effect of a Community Based Health Insurance Scheme with defined Benefit packages and a certain proportion of subsidy on Illness related Out of Pocket Expenditure on a given Community?
- Does A community Based Health Insurance Scheme on a certain targeted group improve health care utilization?
- Does Community Based Health Insurance Scheme Lead to improved Quality of Care?



4. Outcome Indicators



Illness related out of pocket expenditure

Incidence of Catastrophic Expenditure

Health Service Utilization

Patient Satisfaction

Access to Prescribed Drugs



5. Identification Strategy/Metho

- Quasi experimental
- Interventions Units/pilot Community Selected Based on certain Characteristics
- Including the Capacity to roll out the scheme
- Variables in the feasibility study also considered
- Matching of 1 Control district from each regions to One of the three treatment districts in a region
- Diff-in-Diff method



ιΜΡΑCτ



Data collection

- Routine Health Facility Data
- Routine CBHI Scheme M & E data
- CBHI Beneficiary qualitative data
- Patient Exit polls
- Focused group discussions
- House Hold Surveys



7. Time Frame/Work Plan



Activities	Q 1	Q 2	Q ₃	Q4	End of 2 nd Year
Work on/Refine the existing IE Design	x				
Amend and agree on final IE Design		x			
Do Base line Survey/when necessary			x		
FGD & Patient Exit Polls				x	
House Hold Survey					X

8. Sources of Financing



Government Budget

 Federal Government
 Local Government

Development Partners/USAID

Quality of the IE design affected by Available resources

More fund mobilizationTechnical Assistance

