Global wealth, income and carbon inequality Insights from the World Inequality Report 2022



World Bank Event 16 June 2022

Lucas Chancel

Co-Director, World Inequality Lab, Paris School of Economics Affiliate Professor, Sciences Paris

Key take-aways

- Diverging inequality trajectories across countries \rightarrow importance of social policies rather than deterministic forces
- Inequality makes it more difficult to protect the environment and environmental policies can exacerbate inequalities
- Several options to break vicious circle, but this requires important public policy changes

Further reading

- Chancel, L. (2020) Unsustainable inequalities, Harvard Univ Press
- Chancel, Piketty, Saez, Zucman (2022) World Inequality Report, Harvard Univ Press



2022

This presentation

What have we learned from recent research on global income & wealth dynamics?

Exploring the new frontiers of global inequality research : carbon injustices



An international team of researchers contributing to the World Inequality Database over the years



STATISTICS NORWAY







ECONOMICS OXEORD

UNIVERSITY AND CONICET

PONTIFÍCIA UNIVERSIDAD

CATÓLICA DE CHILE

Luis Bauluz

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Thomas Blanchet

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Andrea Brandolini

STATISTICS AND RESEARCH

BANCA D'ITALIA

Pierre Brassac BONN UNIVERSITY



François Burg

Nikolaos

Charalampidis

Denis Cogneau

PARIS SCHOOL OF

Conchita D'Ambrosio

UNIVERSITY OF

LUXEMBOURG

Marius Brülhart UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE

Pawel Bukowski Gabriel Burdín LONDON SCHOOL OF UNIVERSIDAD DE LA ECONOMICS



REPÚBLICA



Fabien Dell

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Matthew Fisher-Post





Sota

Reto Foellmi

UNIVERSITÄT ST. GALLEN



François Delorme Carmen Durrer de la UNIVERSITÉ DE SHERBROOKE

Fernando Esponda

Michael Förster

ORGANISATION FOR

ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION

AND DEVELOPMENT

Santiago Garriga

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS



Verónica Amarante

ECONOMIC COMMISSION

FOR LATIN AMERICA AND THE

CARIBBEAN

Pierre Bachas

WORLD BANK RESEARCH

GROUP

Augustin Bergeron

HARVARDUNIVERSITY

Florian Bonnet

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS



Miguel Artola UNIVERSIDAD CARLOS III DE MADRID

Abhijit Banerjee

MIT

Yonatan Berman

LONDON MATHEMATICAL

LABORATORY

François Bourguignon

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Paolo Acciari

MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND

FINANCE (ITALY)

Lydia Assouad PARIS SCHOOL OF FCONOMICS

Charlotte Bartels

GERMAN INSTITUTE FOR

ECONOMIC RESEARCH (DIW)

Nitin Bharti

Antoine Bozio

INSTITUT DES POLITIQUES

PUBLIQUES (IPP) AND PARIS

SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Arun Advani

UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

Jorge Atria



Lucas Chancel

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS AND IDDRI

SCIENCES PO

Cyrus Chu

ACADEMIA SINICA

Léo Czajka

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Raymundo Campos

EL COLEGIO DE MÉXICO

Guilhem Cassan

LINIVERSITY OF NAMUR

Tevu Chou







Bertrand Garbinti POLYTECHNIQUE PARIS



Jonathan Goupille Lebret PARIS SCHOOL OF



Markus Jäntti STOCKHOLMS UNIVERSITET





Juliette Fournier

Yajna Govind

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS





Ignacio Flores

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Mark Frank

SAM HOUSTON STATE

UNIVERSITY



















SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Malka Guillot PARIS SCHOOL OF

Roberto lacono NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF

ECONOMICS





Aroop Chatteriee

SOUTHERN CENTRE FOR

INFOUALITY STUDIES -

UNIVERSITY OF THE

WITWATERSRAND

Frank Cowell

Mauricio De Rosa

UNIVERSIDAD DE LA

REPÚBLICA

LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL



Andre Decoster

UNIVERSITY OF LEUVEN

Guillermo Cruces CEDLAS

Leonardo Gasparini UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE I A PLATA

PARIS SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

ECONOMICS



Amory Gethin



An international team of researchers contributing to the World Inequality Database over the years



UMEÅ UNIVERSITET



Stephen Jenkins

LONDON SCHOOL OF

SCIENCE

Arthur Kennickell

FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD OF

GOVERNORS

ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL





Nak Nyeon Kim

DONGGUK UNIVERSITY

Jacob Lundberg

UPPSALA UNIVERSITY

Anders Jensen HARVARD KENNEDY SCHOOL DENMARK

Jongil Kim

DONGGUK UNIVERSITY

Andrew Leigh

AUSTRALIAN HOUSE OF

REPRESENTATIVES

Nora Lustig

TULANE UNIVERSITY

Ricardo Mayer

UNIVERSIDAD DIEGO

PORTALES

Branko Milanovic CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

Salvatore Morelli

CENTRO STUDI DI ECONOMIA

E FINANZA (CSEF)

Mathilde Muñoz

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Filip Novokmet

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS



UNIVERSITY OF CANBERRA

Marc Morgan

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Brian Murphy

STATISTICS CANADA

Henry Ohlsson

SVERIGES RIKSBANK

SOCIALES

Jørgen Modalsli

STATISTISK SENTRALBYRÅ

Theresa Neef



Elodie Moreau







Maria Riihela

Nancy Qian YALE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

AT REPKELEV

Claudia Sanhueza

UNIVERSIDAD DIEGO

PORTALES

Paul Sharp

SYDDANSK UNIVERSITET

Jakob Egholt Søgaard

THE DANISH MINISTRY OF

TAXATION

Anne-Sophie Robillia GOVERNMENT INSTITUTE OF INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE POUR LE DÉVELOPPEMENT ECONOMIC RESEARCH (VATT) (IRD - FRANCE)



Jacques

Wiemer Salverda UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDA



Camille Landais

LONDON SCHOOL OF

SCIENCE

ONOMICS AND POLITICAL

Pamela Katic

INTERNATIONAL WATER

ANAGEMENT INSTITUTE





Maria Ana Lugo

WORLD BANK

IMPERIAL COLLEGE BUSINESS

Murray Leibbrandt UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN SCIENCE



iliana Londoño Velez IIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-BERKELEY

Isabel Martinez

JNIVERSITÄT ST. GALLEN



Clara Martínez Toledano

Roxana Maurizio IIEP-UBA-CONICET



Elisa Palagi INSTITUTE OF ECONOMICS. SANT'ANNA SCHOOL OF ADVANCED STUDIES, PISA

(ITALY)

Thomas Piketty PARIS SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND ECOLE DES HAUTES ETUDES EN SCIENCES



Florencia Pinto

PARIS SCHOOL OF

ECONOMICS

Tahnee Ooms

UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD



STELLENBOSCH



Elena Pisano BANK OF ITALY

Aurélie Sotura PARIS SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS

Stefanie Stantcheva HARVARD UNIVERSITY

Risto Sullstrom GOVERNMENT INSTITUTE O ECONOMIC RESEARCH (VAT











Timothy Smeeding

MADISON

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-







UNIVERSITY OF BONN

Christoph Schinke DEUTSCHKURSE BEI DER UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN E.V.





Guillaume Saint MIT







ECONOMICS

Justin Sandefur

CENTER FOR GLOBAL

DEVELOPMENT

Paul Segal

KING'S COLLEGE

Mark Price

KEYSTONE RESEARCH

STOCKHOLM SCHOOL OF

Rowaida Moshrif

Brian Nolan

OXFORD UNIVERSITY

Anna Orthofer

UNIVERSITY OF

Chiaki Moriguchi HITOTSUBASHI UNIVERSITY

Institutional partnerships with the vast ecosystem of inequality data actors

- International organizations : United Nations, World Bank, OECD
- National statistical offices: in Europe, Latin America, Africa...
- Partner institutions: Luxembourg Income Study (LIS), Commitment for Equity Institute (CEQ), Southern Center for Inequality Studies, Stone Center Harvard Kennedy School...
- Common challenges: heterogeneity of data, lack of common standards
- → Common goals: develop public data systems fit for 21st century challenges













This presentation

What have we learned from recent research on global income & wealth dynamics?

Exploring the new frontiers of global inequality research : gender & carbon injustices



Global income and wealth inequality today



Interpretation: The global 50% captures 8% of total income measured at Purchasing Power Parity (PPP). The global bottom 50% owns 2% of wealth (at Purchasing Power Parity). The global top 10% owns 76% of total Household wealth and captures 52% of total income in 2021. Note that top wealth holders are not necessarily top income holders. Incomes are measured after the operation of pension and unemployment systems and before taxes and transfers. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/methodology.

A diversity of income inequality regimes Top 10% captures 35%-60% of national income, bottom 50% = 10-20%





Interpretation: In Latin America, the top 10% captures 55% of national income, compared to 36% in Europe. Income is measured after pension and unemployment contributions and benefits paid and received by individuals but before income taxes and other transfers. **Sources and series:** www.wir2022.wid.world/methodology.

INEOUALIT

2022

Inequality differences after taxes are mainly due to inequality gaps before taxes: role of predistribution (min. wage, regulations, public services)



Interpretation: Before taxes, the bottom 50% in South Africa earns 63 times less than the top 10%, whereas after taxes, the bottom 50% earns 24 times less than the top 10%. Income is measured after pension and unemployment payments and benefits received by individuals but before other taxes they pay and transfers they receive. Data for 2018-2021. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/ methodology

Wealth inequality is extreme everywhere: no region with a bottom 50% owning more than 5% of wealth. Top 10% = 60-80%.



Interpretation: The Top 10% in Latin America captures 77% of total household wealth, versus 22% for the Middle 40% and 1% for the Bottom 50%. In Europe, the Top 10% owns 58% of total wealth, versus 38% for the Middle 40% and 4% for the Bottom 50%. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/methodology.

Income inequality rose at different speeds: policy matters

INEQUALITY REPORT 2022

Global wealth inequality since 1995: the top 1% captured 38% of total wealth growth, the bottom 50% got 2%.

Figure 9 Average annual wealth growth rate, 1995-2021

Interpretation: Growth rates among the poorest half of the population were between 3% and 4% per year, between 1995 and 2021. Since this group started from very low wealth levels, its absolute levels of growth remained very low. The poorest half of the world population only captured 2.3% of overall wealth growth since 1995. The top 1% benefited from high growth rates (3% to 9% per year). This group captured 38% of total wealth growth between 1995 and 2021. Net household wealth is equal to the sum of financial assets (e.g. equity or bonds) and non-financial assets (e.g. housing or land) owned by individuals, net of their debts. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/methodology.

WORLD

REPORT

2022

INEQUALITY

Nations have become richer, governments have become poor

Interpretation: In UK, public wealth dropped from 60% of national income in 1970 to -106% in 2020. Public wealth is the sum of all financial and non-financial assets, net of debts, held by governments. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/methodology, Bauluz et al. (2021) and updates.

This presentation

What have we learned from recent research on global income & wealth dynamics?

Exploring the new frontiers of global inequality research : carbon injustices

Substantial inequalities in per capita emissions between regions: <2t/cap (Sub Sah. Africa) vs. 21t/cap (North America)

Per capita emissions by regions, 2019

Interpretation: Sharing the remaining carbon budget to have 83% chances to stay below 1.5°C global temperature increase implies an annual per capita emissions level of 1.1 tonnes per person per year between 2021 and 2050 (and zero afterwards). Emission levels present regional per capita emissions and include all emissions from domestic consumption, public and private investments as well as imports and exports of carbon embedded in goods and services traded with the rest of the world (LULUCF emissions are excluded). Source and series: Chancel 2022, see Methods and Supplementary Information.

What is the per capita carbon footprint of a DC-Paris flight (return)?

Cntraveler.com

What is the per capita carbon footprint of a DC-Paris flight (return)? *circa* 1.5tCO2e

Cntraveler.com

The per capita carbon footprint of a leisure trip to space?

Nbcnews.com

INEO

IALIT

2022

The per capita carbon footprint of a leisure trip to space? Probably 100-200 tonnes CO2e

Nbcnews.com

Poorest half of the world population emits 1.6t/cap vs. 110t/cap for the top 1%

Figure 3A. GHG footprints by global emitter group, 2019 (tCO₂e per capita)

Global top 10% emits close to half of all emissions

Figure A. Global carbon inequality in 2019

Sources and series: Chancel (2021)

Carbon inequality is not just a rich vs. poor country issue

Per capita emissions across the world, 2019

Interpretation: Personal carbon footprints include emissions from domestic consumption, public and private investments as well as imports and exports of carbon embedded in goods and services traded with the rest of the world. Modeled estimates based on the systematic combination of tax data, household surveys and input-output tables. Emissions split equally within households. **Sources and series:** wir2022.wid.world/methodology and Chancel (2021).

Some groups have reduced their per capita footprints since 1990 – not all

Bring climate negociations home: there's now more emissions inequality within countries than between countries.

Climate change has already exacerbated inequalities between and within countries and will continue to do so in the future

Previous results show large inequality in contributions to climate change between and even more so within countries.

Latest IPPC report & recent research also show that poorest countries and poorest income groups are also hit hardest by climate change:

- (Burke et al. 2015: observed temperature increases have reduced GDP of poor countries more than that of rich nations since 1960, mainly via agricultural productivity losses
- Hallegate et al. 2016: low-income groups are more exposed to increased climate risks (floods, heatwaves) and more vulnerable to them.

A flat tax on carbon?

flat tax on carbon?

Carbon taxation is often regressive and targetted at consumers w/o alternatives (yellow vests)

Yellow vests, 2018. Credit: lepharedunkerquois

Bottom groups in rich countries already near 2030 climate targets: US

Per capita emissions by income group in the US,

Interpretation: Individual carbon footprints include emissions from all greenhouse gases stemming from domestic consumption, public and private investments as well as imports and exports of carbon embedded in goods and services traded with the rest of the world. Modeled estimates based on the systematic combination of national accounts, tax and survey data, input-output models and energy datasets. Emissions are split equally within households. The 2030 target corresponds to the overal emissions budget annouced by governments for Per devide that the mission so by inconverse group in the and compared the solution of the sol and Chancel (20

On average, emission are projected to decr by 11.1 tonnes per cap

> Increase: 0.3 tonnes p capita (3%)

Bottom 50%

> 2019 INEQUALITY Emissions

Bottom groups in rich countries already near 2030 climate targets: US

Emissions reduction requirement to meet Paris Agreement 2030 targets in the US

GHG

n

Factoring-in inequality at the heart of climate policy design

		What kind of climate policy?			
	_	Decarbonize green energy supply	Decarbonize green energy access	Switch in energy end-uses (building, transport, industry)	
Which social group is targetted?	Bottom 50%				
	Middle 40%				
	Top 10 % & Top 1%				

Table 7. An inequality-check for climate policies

Factoring-in inequality at the heart of climate policy design

		What kind of climate policy?			
		Decarbonize green energy supply	Decarbonize green energy access	Switch in energy end-uses (building, transport, industry)	
Which social group is targetted?	Bottom 50%	Industrial policy: public investments in renewables (off or on-gridd); Social protection: increase transfers to workers in industries affected by the transition	Public investments in green energy access (e.g. clean cookstoves; construction of new zero carbon social housing)	Develop public transport systems: low-carbon bus, rail, car-sharing strategies; energy retrofitting in social housing; cash-transfers to compensate increase in fossil energy prices	
	Middle 40%	Same as above + Financial incentives to encourage middle-class investments in green energy. Bans on new fossil investments	Subsidies for green housing construction; Buildings regulations; penalty and bans on sales of inefficient housing	Same as above; Stricter regulations & taxes on polluting purchases (SUVs, air tickets); Subsidies on green alternatives (elec. vehicles)	
	Top 10 % & Top 1%	Wealth or corporate taxes with pollution top-up to finance the above & accelerate divestment from fossils; Bans on new fossil investments	Wealth or corporate taxes with pollution top-up (see left); Fossil fuel subsidy removal*	Strict regulations on polluting purchases (SUVs, air tickets); Wealth or corporate taxes with pollution top-up (see left); Carbon cards to track high personal carbon footprints & cap them	

Table 7. An inequality-check for climate policies

Key dimension: time. In some countries, window of opportunity to tax pollution before poorest groups adopt polluting lifestyles

Wrapping up: inequality as a political choice

- Inequality varies a lot across countries and over time Tied to social organization rather than "natural" economic laws.
- Low inequality is possible with high economic prosperity Rich countries post-WW2: low pretax and post-tax inequality and social state growth thanks to highly progressive taxes *and* strong predistribution
- High wealth inequality closely tied to other forms of social injustices including environmental injustices

Wrapping up: no deep decarbonization without significant redistribution

- High inequality makes climate protection more difficult Need to cushion the worse-off, not ex-post, but in the very design of social and climate policies
- Risk is repeating mistakes with trade policies of the 1990-2000s : too little, too late realization of the need to acknowledge losers and truly accompany them
- Significant efforts from large and wealthy polluters to finance green investments for all likely to be key

More resources online!

- All our data is accessible online along with codes & methodologies: visit wir2022.wid.world
- Report based on the work of 100+ researchers on all continents affiliated to the World Inequality Database.
- First systematic assessement of global income, wealth, gender and carbon inequalities over 30 years
- Diverging inequality levels & trajectories across countries reveal the importance of social policies rather than deterministic forces driving inequality

