Principles of Questionnaire Design

LECTURE 4
Today’s agenda

- Overview of the *survey process*: where does questionnaire design fit?

- General principles of *questionnaire design*, with focus on the measurement of consumption

- Note: today is about *general principles*, whereas *specific guidelines* for each type of consumption expenditure will be provided in lectures 5-10.
Useful readings – I
Grosh and Glewwe eds. (2000)

- Three-volume work
- Chapter 2
  Making decisions on the overall design of the survey
  by M. Grosh and P. Glewwe
- Chapter 3
  Designing modules and assembling them into survey questionnaires
  by M. Grosh and P. Glewwe and J. Munoz
- Chapter 5
  Consumption
  by A. Deaton and M. Grosh
Useful readings – II
Iarossi (2006)

Chapter 3
How Easy It Is to Ask the Wrong Question

Chapter 2
The Survey Process and Data Quality
1. The survey process
Overview of the survey process

1) Identify a key set of research questions to be answered by the survey

2) & 3) Develop the questionnaire; define target population and sampling frame (in parallel)

4) Recruit and train interviewers, field the survey, collect the data, convert it to computer readable format, edit the data

5) Weight the data to compensate for unequal sampling probability and/or missing values, perform analysis.
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Beyond any reasonable doubt

▪ The main finding of the paper is captured in the graph reporting the cumulative distribution functions (CDF)

▪ How to interpret a CDF?
▪ One curve (short questionnaire) lies below the other (long questionnaire), irrespective of the level of consumption

▪ This is called first-order stochastic dominance (FOD)

▪ Interpretation: the long questionnaire will generate a significantly lower estimate of the incidence of absolute poverty, irrespective of the level chosen for the poverty line.
The importance of questionnaire design – Niger
Backiny-Yetna et al. (2017) experiment
Impact on poverty indicators

- Differences in questionnaire design are responsible for different poverty estimates
- A similar result applies to inequality estimates
- Questionnaire design matters

### Table 8
Poverty Indicators by Type of Questionnaire Using the National Poverty Line (Niger).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7-day recall</th>
<th>Usual month</th>
<th>7-day diary</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty headcount</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poverty Gap</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squared poverty gap</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Principles of questionnaire design
Topics covered

Questionnaire design

Research objectives

A. Choice of topics (modules)
B. Choice of respondents
C. Formulation of questions
D. Order of questions within module (flow)
E. Field-testing
Definition of research objectives

principles

▪ What research questions should the data answer?

▪ The answer influences the whole survey process

▪ Two priorities:
  1. Organizing a survey design team
  2. Formulating objectives as questions
Organizing a survey design team
Grosh and Glewwe (2000: 21-29)

▪ Designing a survey questionnaire is a joint effort of different experts, stakeholders, institutions.

▪ The higher the diversity within the survey design team, the better:
  ▪ researchers
  ▪ policy analysts
  ▪ policy makers
  ▪ data producers

▪ Local knowledge is crucial, as is familiarity with international best practice. They are complements not substitutes.
Formulating objectives
Grosh (2005: 36-40)

- Useful to formulate **objectives as questions**, for instance:
  - “What proportion of the population is poor?”
  - “Has poverty increased or decreased over time?”

- Objectives are likely to multiply with consultations.

- Balance with **constraints:**
  - budget
  - capacity of the organization (experience, know-how)
  - respondents’ willingness and ability to cooperate
Choice of topics
principles

▪ What pieces of information are needed to attain research objectives?

▪ Unfortunately, few general guidelines exist

▪ In practice, a popular solution is the module approach (typical of LSMS-type surveys): choosing modules (that is, topics), then moving to drafting each module.

▪ The order of modules matters: group together modules answered by same household member, and put sensitive modules last.
Choice of topics – an example
(Grosh and Glewwe, 2000: 30)

1) Household roster
2) Housing
3) Education
4) Consumption
5) Health
6) Employment
7) Transfers and other nonlabor income
8) Metadata
9) Prices
10) Credit
11) Agriculture

LSMS “core” modules

- Daily expenditures
- Food and fuel
- Non-food consumption
- Expenditures on private interhousehold transfers
- Durable goods
Choice of respondents – I
principles

▪ **Who should answer the questions?**

▪ **Answer:** “the most knowledgeable person”

▪ **Individual questions (e.g. employment)**
  ▪ Individuals themselves should answer
  ▪ When forced to use “proxy respondent” (one person responds for another) consider recording who is answering on behalf of who

▪ **Household questions**
  ▪ Household is asked to determine the “most informed individual”, who will respond to questions (may change for different sub-modules)
Choice of respondents – II
in practice (Deaton and Grosh, 2000: 118)

- **For expenditures**, “most informed person” is a good approach, because it does not pre-judge division of labor in household (who does the shopping? who manages budget?)

- **Has worked well** when food is large share of budget, and when most of the household resources are pooled

- **Problem**: “There are expenditures on which no single person may have an accurate picture. (...) There may be items, such as clothing, that individuals purchase without any other household member knowing how much was spent.”

- **No easy fix**: interviewing each household member individually on own expenditures is very expensive
Formulation of questions

principles

▪ What to ask, exactly – and how?

▪ When developing a question, the designer should first of all put himself in the position of the typical, or rather the least educated, respondent.

▪ A good rule to remember in designing questions is that the respondent has probably not thought about these questions at the level of detail required by the survey.

▪ Details will be the subject of the next lectures. For now, a few general principles on two specific issues:

  1. Question wording

  2. Question type
A number of studies have shown that changing even a single word in a question can significantly alter response distribution and accuracy.

Useful checklist: the “BOSS” principle

Four criteria should be followed when wording any question: brief, objective, simple, and specific
Brevity also means asking **one question at a time**, that is, avoiding “hidden questions”

**Example**: “What interest rate are you paying on your loan?”

**Problem**: implies the hidden question of whether the person has a loan or not.

**Possible fix**: Ask questions separately: “Do you have a loan?” and “What interest rate are you paying?”
Objective

- Non-objective questions share a common characteristic: they tend to suggest an answer

- Avoid leading questions, that is, questions that push the respondent in the direction of a specific answer

  - Example: “Shouldn’t something be done about X?”

  - Problem: question leads to a positive answer.

  - Possible fix: “Do you think something should be done about X?”
Simple

- Use words and expressions that are simple, direct, and familiar to respondents
- Avoid technical terms, jargon, and slang
- Adopt the same definitions throughout the questionnaire
How simple is my question?
Burgess (2001: 9)

- Would you consider this question as a good example?
- **Problem**: double negative expression
- **Possible fix**: “Do you think smoking should be banned?”
- **Recommendation**: avoid negatives or double-negative expressions.
Specific

- Being specific means asking precise questions.
- **Example:** “on average per month”
- **Problem:** it is unclear whether the question means “on average over the past 12 months” or “on average over the months in which there were positive expenditures” (yes to Q5)
- **Possible fix:** indicate explicitly which is the case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTHLY CHARACTERISTICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.- In the past 12 months, how many months have you had expenditure on this ..[ARTICLE]? YES 0 ★ 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.- How much have you spent on average per month on this ..[ARTICLE]?..?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.- Quantity purchased of this ..[ARTICLE].. on average per month, in the unit utilized for the product?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question wording – I/II

example

- “During the past seven days, were you employed for wages or other remuneration, or were you self-employed in a household enterprise, were you engaged in both types of activities simultaneously, or were you engaged in neither activity?”

- How would you improve this question?
Question wording – II/II

explanation

▪ “During the past seven days, were you employed for wages or other remuneration, or were you self-employed in a household enterprise, were you engaged in both types of activities simultaneously, or were you engaged in neither activity?”

▪ Long, unclear, and contains technical jargon

▪ Possible fix: revising it as two separate questions that are brief, simple, specific

1. During the past seven days, did you work for pay for someone who is not a member of this household?

2. During the past seven days, did you work on your own account, for example, as a farmer or a seller of goods or services?
A key decision is whether to make use of open questions (permitting respondents to answer in their own words) or closed questions (requiring respondents to select an answer from a set of choices).

The use of “closed questions”, that is questions with pre-coded answers, is recommended.

Codes should be mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive.

Coding schemes should be consistent across questions, *e.g.* if one question uses 1 for yes, 2 for no, then this should be maintained throughout the questionnaire, and should be clearly available for interviewer to consult.
What’s wrong with my question?
Burgess (2001: 9)

- This is an example of a closed question.
- Assume that the computer codes Bus by 1, Car by 2 and Bike by 3. If the respondent omitted to answer then this could be coded as 0 or some other missing value.
Question type
Grosh (2005: 45-46)

- Allow respondents to answer on their own terms as much as possible
- **Example**: “How much do you pay per month to rent your dwelling?”
- **Problem**: reference period is forced as 1 month. If giving amount per week or per year comes more natural to respondent, he/she is forced to convert, making room for mistakes
- **Possible fix**: “How much do you pay in rent for your dwelling?”, with option to associate pre-coded reference period, such as week, month, year, to declared amount.
What happens when people are asked a question about which they have no relevant knowledge?

▪ In theory, respondents will say that they do not know the answer

▪ In practice, they may wish not to appear uninformed and may therefore give an answer to satisfy the interviewer.

▪ In order to reduce the likelihood of such behavior, some researchers have recommended that don’t know (DK) options (or filters) routinely be included in questions.

Remember where we are...

Research objectives

Questionnaire design

A. Choice of topics (modules)
B. Choice of respondents
C. Formulation of questions
D. Order of questions within module (question flow)
E. Field-testing
Question flow

- In what order should questions be asked?
- Early questions should be easy and pleasant to answer, and should build rapport between the respondent and the interviewer
- Flow should be tuned to logical reasoning of the respondent
- Related questions grouped together, minimize abrupt changes of topic
- “Filter” questions (skips) are important to minimize irrelevant questions
- Sensitive questions last
Sensitive questions

- Certain questions are perceived as sensitive
- A typical example is for income (another is wealth)
- “How much do you have in your current account?”
- People are less likely to participate in surveys with sensitive topic (Tourangeau et al., 2010)
- People are likely to provide an unreliable answer (underreporting)
- This is why questions that might make respondents uncomfortable should be placed at the end of the questionnaire
Did you kill your wife?

Barton (1958)

Asking the Embarrassing Question

By Allen H. Barton

University of Chicago

Thus publica's greatest ingenuity has been devoted to finding ways to ask embarrassing questions in non-embarrassing ways. We give here examples of a number of these techniques, as applied to the question, "Did you kill your wife?"

1. The Casual Approach:
   "Do you happen to have murdered your wife?"

2. The Numbered Card:
   Would you please read off the number on this card which corresponds to what became of your wife? (HAND CARD TO RESPONDENT)
   1. Natural death
   2. I killed her
   3. Other (What?)
   (GET CARD BACK FROM RESPONDENT BEFORE PROCEEDING)

3. The Everybody Approach:
   "As you know, many people have been killing their wives these days. Do you happen to have killed yours?"

4. The "Other people" Approach:
   (a) "Do you know anyone who has murdered their wives?"
   (b) "How about yourself?"

5. The Sealed Ballot Technique:
   In this version you explain that the survey respects people's right to anonymity in respect to their marital relations, and that they themselves are to fill out the answer to the question, seal it in an envelope, and drop it in a box conspicuously labelled "Sealed Ballot Box" carried by the interviewer.
Asking sensitive questions after 1958

- Use methods to reassure households of the confidentiality of their responses
- Explain the reasons of the questions
- Use unfolding brackets questions
Unfolding bracket questions

Income

- The first question asks the respondent to provide the exact amount of the family’s savings in the last year.
- If the respondent does not provide an answer to the exact amount question, the respondent is asked to provide the family’s savings in relation to $20,000 (greater than or equal to, or less than).
Q1. This is a list of different forms of saving and investment. Did the household have … (form of saving or investment) on 31-12-2016? (1=Yes or 2=No)

Q2. (SHOW CARD C25) (For each form of saving or investment held on 31-12-2016) What was the value on 31-12-2016? Answer using one of the ranges on this card.
Field-testing the questionnaire

- Pre-testing is the word
- Some evaluation methods require administration of the questionnaire to respondents, whereas others do not.
- The least structured evaluation method is expert review, in which one or more experts critiques the questionnaire.
- The most common form of pretest data collection — conventional pretesting — involves administering a questionnaire to a small sample of the relevant population under conditions close to, or identical to, those of the main survey.
Accuracy vs. Comparability

- Trade-off between following best practices and improving the questionnaire, vs. ensuring comparability with previous data.

- No easy solution. Incremental progress, when benefits from accuracy outweigh disadvantages of non-comparability.
Lessons learned

- No need to repeat guidelines for stages of questionnaire design: choice of modules, choice of respondents, formulation of questions, order of questions (flow), field-testing.

- In practice, choices not made in a vacuum: always consider previous questionnaire.

- Important to strike a balance between comparability with the past and accuracy of data.
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Thank you for your attention
Exercise 1 – Engaging with the literature

- P. Conforti, K. Grünberger, N. Troubat (2017) have investigated the impact of certain survey characteristics on the measurement of food consumption.

- Summarize the main findings of the paper.
Exercise 2 – Question wording

▪ We want to measure the current net present value of owner-occupied dwellings.

▪ Which of the following wordings is most appropriate, and why?

1. How much is your house worth?
2. What is the current net present value of this dwelling?
3. How much would you sell your house for today?
4. If you were to sell your house today, what price do you believe you could receive?
5. How much did you pay for this house?