## **Global Reallocations from the US-China Trade War**

Amit Khandelwal Yale May 2023

#### A 21<sup>st</sup> Century Trade War

- In 2018-19, US and China collectively raised tariffs on about \$450b trade
  - US targeted 18% of imports (~2.5% of GDP)
  - China targeted 11% of imports (~3.6% of GDP)
  - 1930 Smoot-Hawley raised tariffs on ~1.4% of GDP Irwin
- Despite 2020 agreement, tariffs remain elevated
  - Magnified with US export controls on "national secruity" products
  - Compounded by a general deterioration in US-China political relationship
- What are the economic impacts? What have we learned so far?
  - ...on US and China?
  - …on "bystander" countries?

#### A 21<sup>st</sup> Century Trade War

- In 2018-19, US and China collectively raised tariffs on about \$450b trade
  - US targeted 18% of imports (~2.5% of GDP)
  - China targeted 11% of imports (~3.6% of GDP)
  - 1930 Smoot-Hawley raised tariffs on ~1.4% of GDP Irwin
- Despite 2020 agreement, tariffs remain elevated
  - Magnified with US export controls on "national security" products
  - Compounded by a general deterioration in US-China political relationship
- What are the economic impacts? What have we learned so far?
  - ...on US and China? Fajgelbaum et al 20, Fajgelbaum & Khandelwal 22
  - ...on "bystander" countries? Fajgelbaum et al 22









#### **Visualizing Impacts**

- What happened to trade?
  - US import and export data, by origin and month
  - Match tariff rates to product codes
  - variety = origin-product pair
- Event study

$$\ln y_{igt} = \alpha_{ig} + \alpha_{gt} + \alpha_{it} + \sum_{j} \beta_{0j} I(event_{igt} = j) + \sum_{j} \beta_{1j} I(event_{igt} = j) \times target_{ig} + \epsilon_{igt}$$

- i origin, g product, t month
- target: dummy if variety is targeted
- import values, quantities, unit values (before- and after-tariff)















Amiti et al 21



Figure 1: Import Price Indices, by China Tariff Wave

#### Cavallo et al 21

### Panel B. Safeguard Tariffs 2018



Flaaen et al 21



# **Tariff Propagation**

- Consumers
  - consumers should be worse off
  - magnitude depends on tariff pass-through
- Producers
  - producers should be better off
  - Magnitude depends on
    - **Substitutability**: if imports highly substitutable, gains ↑
    - Input costs: if producers use a lot of tariffed imports, gains  $\checkmark$
    - **Retaliations**: dampen global demand, gains  $\checkmark$
- Government Revenue

# **Calculating Aggregate Impacts**

 $\mathsf{EV} = -m' \Delta \mathbf{p}^M + x' \Delta \mathbf{p}^X + \Delta \mathbf{R}$  Dixit & Norman 80

- With complete pass-through, 1<sup>st</sup> order impact:  $EV = -m' \Delta p^M$ 
  - Import share of GDP: ~15%
  - Fraction of trade targeted: ~15%
  - Average increase in import prices = average increase in tariffs = ~15%
  - EV  $\approx 0.15^3 = 0.34\%$  GDP

• 2<sup>nd</sup>-order impact: 
$$EV = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta m)' \Delta \tau \approx 0.06\%$$
 GDP

- Full model:
  - Input-output structure
  - Retaliations
  - Terms-of-trade affects at the sector level (because of a fixed factor)
  - Demand and supply elasticities estimated from tariff changes

# **Calculating Aggregate Impacts**

 Table 2: Aggregate Impacts

	$\mathrm{EV}^M$	$\mathrm{EV}^X$	$\Delta R$	$\mathrm{EV}$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	2018-19 Trade War			
Change (\$ b)	-114.2	24.3	65.0	-24.8
	[-121.8, -106.5]	[15.4, 35.2]	[59.0, 70.2]	[-39.4, -8.8]
Change (% $GDP$ )	-0.61	0.13	0.35	-0.13
	[-0.65, -0.57]	[0.08, 0.19]	$[0.32,\!0.38]$	[-0.21, -0.05]

ţ

# **Calculating Aggregate Impacts**

 Table 2: Aggregate Impacts

	$\mathrm{EV}^M$	$\mathrm{EV}^X$	$\Delta R$	EV
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	2018-19 Trade War			
Change (\$ b)	-114.2	24.3	65.0	-24.8
	[-121.8, -106.5]	[15.4, 35.2]	[59.0, 70.2]	[-39.4, -8.8]
Change (% $GDP$ )	-0.61	0.13	0.35	-0.13
	[-0.65, -0.57]	[0.08, 0.19]	$[0.32,\!0.38]$	[-0.21, -0.05]
	2018-19 U.S. Tariffs and No Retaliation			
Change (\$ b)	-114.1	31.8	65.9	-16.4
	[-119.8, -108.4]	$[24.8,\!40.1]$	[59.9, 71.1]	[-28.5, -3.0]
Change ( $\%$ GDP)	-0.61	0.17	0.35	-0.09
	[-0.64, -0.58]	$[0.13,\!0.22]$	$[0.32,\!0.38]$	[-0.15, -0.02]

### **Calculating Aggregate Impacts, China**

	$EV^X$	$EV^M$	$\Delta R$	EV
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2018–2019 trade	war			
change ( b)	-32.968	-6.906	1.976	-37.898
	[-45.159,  0.786]	[-15.524,  0.874]	[1.360,  3.708]	[-52.282, -3.153]
change ( $\%$ GDP)	-0.272	-0.057	0.016	-0.312
	[-0.372, 0.006]	[-0.128,  0.007]	$[0.011,\!0.031]$	[-0.431, -0.026]

 Table 8: Aggregate Impacts

# **Import Tariffs**

#### Tariff Increase on US Imports, 2017-2018

Weighted by Variety-Level US Import Share and County-Level 2016 Tradeable Sector Employee Wage Bill



## **Retaliatory Tariffs**

#### Tariff Increase on US Exports, 2017-2018

Weighted by Variety-Level US Export Share and County-Level 2016 Tradeable Sector Employee Wage Bill



# What about Bystander Countries?

- How did the trade war affect global trade of "bystander" countries?
- Opportunity to explore potential forces driving global trade:
  - Specialization
  - Substitutability/complementarity
  - Scale
- Findings:
  - Bystanders increased exports to USA, no change to CHN, increased to RW
  - Large heterogeneity in growth of tariffed products (related to untaxed)
  - Heterogeneous responses driven by a country component, not sector or size
  - Different forces at work: Eg, MEX, TWN, COL, UKR all operate on downward-sloping supply
    - MEX, TWN benefit: substitute USA/CHN
    - COL, UKR don't benefit: complement USA/CHN

# What about Bystander Countries?

- For top 50 exporters, examine product-level exports to USA, CHN, RW
  - 2018-19 exports in HS6 products
  - Four sets of trade war tariffs
    - USA tariffs on CHN
    - CHN tariff on USA
    - USA tariffs on RW
    - CHN tariffs on RW
- Basic idea:
  - For each country, compare export growth in taxed relative to untaxed products
  - Model guides interpreting of responses:
    - Exports patterns to USA/CHN isolates substitute/complementarity
    - Exports patterns to RW isolates upward/downward supply patterns

- Bystanders export products that either substitute or complement USA and CHN
- Bystanders' supply curves could be (standard) upward sloping or downward sloping (eg, scale)
- Each bystander country will respond to the trade war tariffs **differently** 
  - how substitutable (or complementary) its exports are with USA/CHN?
  - Are its supply curves upward or downward sloping

• When the USA imposes a tariff on CHN, four possible outcomes for MYS's exports

	Decrease to USA	Increase to USA
Increase to RW		
Decrease to RW		substitutes with CHN upward-sloping supply

• When the USA imposes a tariff on CHN, four possible outcomes for MYS's exports

	<b>Decrease to USA</b>	Increase to USA
Increase to RW		substitutes with CHN downward-sloping supply
Decrease to RW		substitutes with CHN upward-sloping supply

• When the USA imposes a tariff on CHN, four possible outcomes for MYS's exports

	Decrease to USA	Increase to USA
Increase to RW	complements with CHN upward-sloping supply	substitutes with CHN downward-sloping supply
Decrease to RW		substitutes with CHN upward-sloping supply

• When the USA imposes a tariff on CHN, four possible outcomes for MYS's exports

1

	Decrease to USA	Increase to USA	
Increase to RW	complements with CHN upward-sloping supply	substitutes with CHN downward-sloping supply	
Decrease to RW	complements with CHN downward-sloping supply	substitutes with CHN upward-sloping supply	



Pre-period:  $\beta$ =-0.19 (0.10). Post-period:  $\beta$ =0.31 (0.10).



Pre-period:  $\beta$ =-0.19 (0.10). Post-period:  $\beta$ =0.31 (0.10).

Pre-period:  $\beta$ =0.07 (0.18). Post-period:  $\beta$ =0.01 (0.19).



Pre-period:  $\beta$ =-0.14 (0.08). Post-period:  $\beta$ =0.20 (0.08).



Pre-period:  $\beta$ =-0.14 (0.08). Post-period:  $\beta$ =0.20 (0.08).

Pre-period:  $\beta$ =0.11 (0.08). Post-period:  $\beta$ =0.29 (0.08).

#### **Heterogenous Tariff Responses**

 $\Delta \ln X_{ig}^n = \beta_{1ig}^n \Delta \ln T_{CH}^{US} + \beta_{2ig}^n \Delta \ln T_{US}^{CH} + \beta_{3ig}^n \Delta \ln T_i^{US} + \beta_{4ig}^n \Delta \ln T_i^{CH} + controls + \epsilon_{ig}$ 

- $X_{ig}^n$  exports of product **g** from **i** to **n**
- Four tariffs:
  - $\Delta \ln T_{CH}^{US}$ : USA tariff on CHN
  - $\Delta \ln T_{US}^{CH}$ : CHN tariff on USA
  - $\Delta \ln T_i^{US}$ : USA tariff on **i**
  - $\Delta \ln T_i^{CH}$ : CHN tariff on I
- Tariff responses  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)$  depend on:
  - country fixed effect
  - sector fixed effect
  - variety size

- Estimate this regression separately to USA, CHN, RW
- Aggregate predicted responses to world exports

$$\Delta \ln \widehat{X_i^{WD}} = \sum_g \sum_n \lambda_{ig}^n \left( \frac{\widehat{\beta_{1ig}} \Delta \ln T_{CH}^{US} + \widehat{\beta_{2ig}} \Delta \ln T_{US}^{CH}}{+\widehat{\beta_{3ig}} \Delta \ln T_i^{US} + \widehat{\beta_{4ig}} \Delta \ln T_i^{CH}} \right)$$



90/10 bootstrapped error bars



90/10 bootstrapped error bars

# Takeaways

- New era for globalization
  - Elevated trade tensions between the two largest economies
  - Next phase has moved beyond tariffs to export bans and more systematic "decoupling"
- Evidence suggests that war has harmed consumers because of complete pass-through
- Global trade has reallocated, but at least in trade data, no sign that globalization has ended
  - Tariffs have **created** net trade opportunities for bystander countries
- A lot more work is needed!
  - country factors driving reallocations?
  - Micro-level data
  - Sector-specific analyses