Unequal Global Convergence

Shoumitro Chatterjee Johns Hopkins, SAIS Elisa Giannone

CREI

Kan Kuno

Penn State

Convergence: Known and Unknown

- What we know:
  - Last 30 years: Economic Convergence *between* countries (Patel, Subramanian, Sandefur 2021)
  - Poorer nations have caught-up with the more affluent nations
  - Sparse evidence on no convergence within countries (exceptions USA, UK)

Convergence: Known and Unknown

- What we know:
  - Last 30 years: Economic Convergence *between* countries (Patel, Subramanian, Sandefur 2021)
  - Poorer nations have caught up with the more affluent nations
  - Sparse evidence on no convergence within countries (exceptions USA, UK)
- What we don't know:
  - Q1: What is the evolution of convergence within countries?
  - Is economic growth concentrated in a few regions?
  - Q2: What is the role of structural change?

### This Paper

- New dataset on sub-national GDP & sectoral composition
- Three Facts on the Evolution of Convergence
  - #1: Within-Country convergence  $\downarrow$  for the average country
  - #2: Role of structural change  $\rightarrow$  services
  - #3: Services employment is spatially concenterated
- Theory: A spatial model of structural change
  - Consistent with the facts
  - Helps us understand the role of services

### Contributions to the Literature

- Increase in spatial income disparities known for the U.S. Gleaser and Gyourko (2006), Ganong and Shoag (2017), Giannone (2017), Eckert, Ganapati and Walsh (2020)
  - Evidence for 34 countries across the world
  - Data: GDP, education, & empl. at the subnat. level
- Structural Transformation and space Caselli and Coleman (2001), Eeckert and Peters (2018), Peters and Zilibotti (2022), Budí-Ors and Pijoan-Mas (2022)
  - Role of services for spatial inequality
  - Feedback effect: Growth ⇔ Spatial Inequality

### Data

- Sub-national GDP (in international \$):
- Sub-national units: States or Cities
- At the State or Province level: 34 Countries
  - A balanced panel: 1980–2017
  - An unbalanced panel from 1960s
  - Years of schooling
  - GDP and Employment by Sector
- City-level data from The Economist
  - 200 Countries (inc. 19 African Countries), 2004-2019
  - GDP and Population

Constructing State Level Sub-national GDP

- Gennaioli et. al. (2012) have 80+ Countries
- At least one obs. in each decade 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010, 2015
- This leaves us with 34 countries and 678 sub-national regions

	World	Asia	Europe	N. Am	S. Am	Africa
GDP	80%	75%	78%	100%	75%	24%
Population	65%	77%	62%	90%	77%	14%
N	34	6	16	3	5	3

- Evolution of Convergence between states within countries
- For each country c at time  $t_0$ :

Growth  $\text{GDPpc}_s = \alpha + \beta_c \log \text{GDPpc}_s^{t_0} + \epsilon_s$ 

- s =States, Provinces
- Avg. within-country convergence rate:  $\overline{\beta_c} = \frac{\sum_c \beta_c}{N}$
- **Q1**: Within-country convergence on average  $\implies \overline{\beta_c} < 0$

Q1: Globally, is growth broad-based or concentrated?



Average within-country  $\beta$  for 34 countries. Blue: Unweighted Convergence Regressions

Q1: Globally, is growth broad-based or concentrated?



Average within-country  $\beta$  for 34 countries. Blue: Unweighted Convergence Regressions Red: Population Weighted Convergence Regressions

- True for a large fraction of countries
- Compared to 1980s, 19/34 countries had a lower  $\beta$  post-2007

1980  $\beta$  < 2007  $\beta$ 

Share of countries	56%
Share of GDP	77.1%
Share of population	69.0%

- Convergence b/w Cities within a country
- This figure includes Sub-Saharan Africa



Notes: This figure reports the average  $\beta$  within-country for all the cities in our sample that include the majority of Sub-Saharan Africa as well.

Conditional Convergence on Population Growth and Education



Notes: This figure reports the average  $\beta$  within-country after conditioning for population growth (left) and education (right) for all the countries in our sample.

# Robustness & Heterogeneity

- Robustness
  - Excluding India and China  $\rightarrow$
  - Accounting for regional price differentials  $\rightarrow$
  - $\blacksquare Nightlights \rightarrow$
- Heterogeneity by OECD status and country size  $\rightarrow$

### Story of India or China catching up with the US



### What has happened within India and China?



India

China

# What has happened within India and China?



India

China

#### Employment Concentration in the Top 2 Decile Regions

	India		China	
	1980	2009	1980	2000
Services	33.11	33.68	24.23	26.08
Prof., Business	39.35	46.98	24.17	32.30
Manufacturing	27.26	19.49	31.45	31.54

# Fact 2: Structural Transformation & Convergence

Q2: What is the role of structural change towards services?



Notes: Population weighted beta vs services employment share (left) and log GDP per capita (right) for the unbalanced panel. Estimates are residualized off country fixed effects. The green line shows the evolution of the average country.

# Fact 2: Structural Transformation & Convergence

Q2: What is the role of structural change towards services?

Dependent Variable:		Within-co	Nithin-country $\beta_{ct}$		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
log GDP pc	$1.80$ $(0.40)^{***}$				
Employment Shares					
Agriculture		-4.96			
		$(1.74)^{***}$			
Manufacturing			-6.99	-3.85	
			(3.80)*	(3.06)	
Services				6.24	
				(1.55)***	
Country FE	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Ν	980	980	980	980	
$R^2$	0.30	0.32	0.30	0.37	

Notes: Data from the unbalanced panel. Standard Errors clustered at country-level reported in parenthesis. \*p < 0.1, \*\*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.01.

# A fall in Inequality with Structural Transformation

Regional inequality has not ended



## Fact 3: Regional Concentration of Services



Suggestive evidence of "agglomeration" economies with services compared to manufacturing and agriculture

# Beyond Services: Determinants of Regional Conv.

#### Cross-Country relationships

Dependent Variable:	Within-country $\beta_{ct}$				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Service Employment Share Growth Services Productivity Road density	2.09 (2.58)*	5.18 (2.58)* 44.49 (15.39)***	7.90 (3.79)* 40.32 (15.42)*** -43.23 (41.08)	7.93 (3.62)** 40.54 (16.98)** -43.50 (39.73)	7.42 (3.87)* 40.92 (17.26)** -51.59 (44.22)
Avg. FTAs			(12100)	0.08	0.23
Years of Education				(1.00)	0.08 (0.26)
Polity IV Score					0.02 (0.07)
Year FE	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
N	314	314	299	299	299
$R^2$	0.17	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.34

Notes: Data from the unbalanced panel. Standard Errors clustered at country-level reported in parenthesis. \*p < 0.1, \*\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01.

# A Model of Structural Transformation and Geography

- Structural Change (Canonical):
  - Three sectors i: agriculture a, manufacturing m and services s
  - Subsistence level of agriculture:  $\overline{c_a}$
- Spatial Equilibrium Model (Canonical):
  - Worker mobility across regions
  - Economy has *J* regions
- Structural Change+Economic Geography:
  - Key: A higher agglomeration force  $\delta$  in Services

# A Model of Structural Transformation and Geography

#### Consumption

Workers consume a bundle of the three sectors

$$C_j = C_{s,j}^{\gamma} C_{m,j}^{1-\gamma-\beta} (C_{a,j} - \overline{c}_a)^{\beta}$$

- Workers have idiosyncratic taste shocks with shape parameter  $\nu$
- Decide where to locate and consume
- Choose a location to maximize utility

$$U_{i,j} = \max_{j'} \max_C \log C_{j'} + \nu \mu_{i,j'}$$

# A Model of Structural Transformation and Geography

Production

Linear:  $Y_i = A_i N_i$ , N: Labor, i = a, m, s

Productivity Process:

• 
$$A_{ijt} = e^{g_i} A_{ijt-1}$$
, for  $i = a, m$ 

$$A_{sjt} = e^{g_s} A_{ijt-1} N_{sjt}^{\delta}$$

### Calibration - Preliminary

Calibrate for the average/representative country

- To build this representative country
  - Divide regions within each country into 3 groups by GDP per capita
  - Regions: Top, Middle, and Bottom
  - Cross-country average of GDP pc, Employment by sector for each group
  - The representative country with 3 regions matches Fact 1

### Calibration - Preliminary

- 8 Parameters:
  - Initial sectoral productivity and growth rate  $A_i, g_i$
  - Agglomeration in Services  $\delta$
  - Subsistence consumption level in Agriculture
- Target 21 moments
  - Regional Sectoral Employment Share (9)
  - National Sectoral Employment Share (2)
  - Change in National Sectoral Employment Share (3)
  - Convergence Rate  $\beta$  for every 5th year (7)
- Sectoral consumption shares &  $\nu$  set equal to literature

# Model Matches Data on $\beta$ convergence



# Key Insights of the Simulation

- Agglomeration Force: High, Low
- #1: Regional Convergence falls when agglomeration is high
- #2: Faster aggregate structural transformation towards services

	Baseline	No agglomeration
	High	Low
$\%\Delta$ $\beta$ convergence 1980-2007	78	53
Variance of service share 2007	0.1	0.02
$\%\Delta$ services share 1980-2007	29	26

# Conclusions and Current Work

- Q1: Globally, is growth broad-based or concentrated?
  - Concentrated

Corollary: Is India catching up with the U.S. or Mumbai with NYC? Mumbai with NYC

- Q2: What is the role of structural change towards services?
  - Stalling convergence in spatial inequality
  - Feedback effect: Growth ⇔ Spatial Inequality
- On-going work:
  - Improving dataset regional prices, employment.
  - Improving model calibration.



Notes: This figure reports the average  $\beta$  within-country Convergence for the 32 countries in our sample between 1980 and 2015 excluding India and China from the full sample.





This figure reports the within-country  $\beta$ -convergence rates using Real GDP per capita.





Back

