

## CASE STUDY 16

## OPEN AND INCLUSIVE GOVERNMENT

# Enhancing Social Accountability in Ethiopia

## Overview

Citizens' engagement with government to address service delivery issues had long been a challenge in Ethiopia. To encourage citizens to hold service providers more accountable, the government in 2011 launched the second phase of the Ethiopia Social Accountability Program, which aimed to build on a pilot implemented from 2006 to 2009. The program partnered with civil society organizations across the country, which then worked with communities to assess and give feedback to public service providers, such as schools and healthcare centers. At the outset, there was very little trust between citizens, service providers, and the government. By 2019, when a third phase of the program began, there was significantly more trust between the different stakeholders, and there were some signs that citizens were beginning to hold service providers more accountable. While the program's scope to impact corruption was limited, it did boost citizens' knowledge on public services and the role of government, giving them the opportunity to take on a greater oversight role.

## Introduction

In 2011, Ethiopia was looking for ways to better engage its citizens and improve public service delivery. A decade

earlier, the country had launched a decentralization policy whereby the governments of the country's *woredas* (administrative divisions similar to districts) progressively took on more power and responsibility. The idea was that these local governments would be more responsive to citizens' wants and needs. "But that was on paper, not in reality," said Workneh Deneke, who worked on the Ethiopia Social Accountability Pilot Program (known as ESAP 1) from 2006 to 2009. "We had just come from a very long period with successive totalitarian regimes when everything was top-down. Citizens couldn't do much more than accept the status quo... their demands were limited."

Part of the problem was a lack of resources. While the country boasted double-digit GDP growth in 2010 and 2011, its GDP per capita was just USD1,162, ranking it among the bottom ten countries in the world according to that indicator.<sup>55</sup> Although resource constraints explained some of the challenges, another important dynamic was that local governments were more responsive to senior officials than to the citizens they served, and citizens feared the repercussions of voicing discontent. Since citizens did not speak out about the quality of public services, it was difficult for service providers, such as schools or health clinics, to know how they could improve.

Civil society organizations, too, had limited opportunity to influence local governments or service providers. The Ethiopian government—dominated at all levels by the

ruling political party—was effective at implementing programs from a national level that reached right down to the village level. But that top-down structure did not have any mechanism for the government to receive feedback from citizens or civil society groups working at a local level. Such feedback was critical for boosting social accountability, which involved citizen groups holding government officials and service providers accountable for delivering quality public services.

To shift the status quo, the Ethiopian government in cooperation with its development partners and the World Bank launched the Ethiopia Social Accountability Program 2 (ESAP 2) in 2012. ESAP 2 was funded by a multi-donor trust fund and implemented by VNG International, the international development arm of the Association of Dutch Municipalities (known as VNG, its acronym in Dutch). VNG International, which worked in several developing countries to strengthen democratic governance at a local level, set up an agency in Ethiopia to administer the program. The agency aimed to build on the success of ESAP 1, which had worked with 12 civil society organizations to improve social accountability in a select few regions in Ethiopia. ESAP 2's goal was to partner with civil society organizations across the country, facilitate a dialogue between citizens, service providers, and local governments, and eventually, to improve the quality of public services.

Building trust between civil society, citizens, and the government was a monumental task. At the time, civil society activity was highly restricted in Ethiopia, and the Charities and Societies Proclamation strictly limited NGOs' work on human rights and policy advocacy issues. Citizens feared speaking up about the issues they faced in accessing education, healthcare, and other services. For example, parents avoided voicing discontent about schools because they were concerned their children might face repercussions. At the same time, the administration feared being blamed for service failures, and worried that citizens would demand far more than service providers were able to deliver.

"We had to bring civil society and government together," said Lucia Nass, who went on to lead capacity development and training for ESAP 2. "It seemed very risky because there was so much animosity, but if the project was going to go anywhere, we had to do it."

## The implementation process

### Partnering with civil society and spreading knowledge

The first step was to identify partner organizations to work with. The management agency for the program invited interested civil society groups around the country to submit applications to be involved. Selected organizations would receive funding and training to work with local governments, service providers, and citizens on social accountability initiatives. In their applications, the organizations identified the sector or sectors they wanted to focus on (education, healthcare, agriculture, water and sanitation, or roads), and the woredas and kebeles they planned to work in. Kebeles, the smallest administrative division in Ethiopia, are usually made up of a few thousand people, and there are usually a few dozen kebeles in each woreda.

Some civil society organizations were initially skeptical about the government's commitment to the project. Many wanted to take a human rights-based approach to their work, but government legislation limited any human rights advocacy. Fortunately, the highly influential finance ministry—which led the ESAP 2 steering committee—strongly supported the effort to improve social accountability. The government granted civil society organizations permission to work on the program, and the finance ministry's endorsement was crucial in signaling to civil society that the government supported its involvement.

After recognizing the government's commitment—and the possibility of securing funding for their activities—civil society's interest in the program grew. There was significant funding available, and the program ultimately aimed to improve the livelihoods of the poor in Ethiopia—a goal shared by many civil society groups.

In total, 118 civil society organizations were selected to work in 240 different woredas, about a quarter of the total woredas in the country. Within each woreda, each organization initially focused on about 3-5 kebeles, and then scaled up to cover more kebeles over time.

Education and health were the most common sectors to work in, followed by agriculture. For example, some organizations opted to work with primary schools or health centers. In the agriculture sector, organizations







worked with extension agents that provided technical support to farmers at the kebele level. Only a few organizations chose to work with water and roads, as these areas often required intervention from the regional or central government—something beyond the program’s scope.

Before disbursing funds, a team of trainers held a workshop with the selected organizations. The training focused mostly on how to use five distinct social accountability tools: Community Scorecard, Citizen Report Card, Participatory Planning and Budgeting, Public Expenditure Tracking Survey, and Gender Responsive Budgeting.<sup>56</sup> As well as introducing the tools, the trainers also taught attendees about the governance system in Ethiopia and how budgets were allocated. The trainers found that there was little awareness about how government functioned in Ethiopia and the important roles that woreda councils, civil society organizations, and citizens had in the governance process. The Financial Transparency and Accountability team (a separate component of the Protection of Basic Services Program that ESAP was part of) led budget education activities throughout the country.

### Setting up social accountability committees

After being trained and receiving funds, the civil society organizations began forming “social accountability committees” in the woredas and kebeles they planned to work in. The committees had a tripartite structure, being composed of elected representatives from woreda or kebele councils (who were in charge of oversight and resource allocation), public administrators (in charge of service delivery), and citizens (including civil society representatives).

In some areas, earning approval and participation from the local government proved to be quite a challenge. When they faced resistance from woreda councils, civil society organizations tried different strategies to win their cooperation. In some cases, this meant involving higher levels of government, for example someone from the regional government or a representative from the Ministry of Finance. Often these higher-level officials could “nudge things forward,” according to Nass. In other cases, civil society organizations sought help from peer organizations that had already established working relationships with government.

Each committee had a unique structure, partly tailored to the area it was working in, and partly down to who volunteered to participate. “Some committees were dominated by service providers, while others were mostly citizens,” said Meskerem Girma, who worked with Nass on the program. “There were usually 9 to 15 people on each committee.”

The committees also included members of the woreda council. In theory, councils were supposed to provide oversight of service providers, but few had been able to do so effectively. “Gradually council members, civil society organizations, and regional governments began to understand the role councils could play,” said Meskerem.

### Implementing social accountability tools, meeting with service providers, and developing joint action plans

Although ESAP 2 introduced civil society organizations to several different social accountability tools, the most widely used by far was the community scorecard. The community scorecard involved communities holding discussions and developing indicators to assess the performance of service providers, with the service providers also conducting self-evaluations. The assessments were followed by a joint discussion to reconcile differences in the scores and come up with a joint action plan to improve service delivery moving forward.

The quality of the action plans—and to what extent they were implemented—varied greatly. “Some service providers were extremely enthusiastic about the action plans, and really wanted to improve service delivery,” said Meskerem. However, there was no enforcement mechanism to ensure follow through. “If nobody worked on the action plans, then nothing happened,” Meskerem said.

The process to form joint action plans was often difficult, as was the case when the Addis Ababa Women’s Association, a civil society organization based in Ethiopia’s capital city, worked with Addis Hiwot Health Center to improve healthcare service delivery. “The hardest part of the process is building trust; that takes the longest time,” said Mussie Yasin, project coordinator for the association. “During the initial meetings at Addis Hiwot, all of our discussions were heated.” Community members accused doctors

of misdeeds, and the doctors felt attacked and responded in a defensive manner. “But after a while, the tone changed, and the consultations began to be about finding solutions to the problems together.” To respond to the concerns that community members expressed in the face-to-face meetings, the medical center recruited more midwives, installed a power generator and water pump, and allocated more funding for medicine purchases.<sup>57</sup>

### Building trust and sharing ideas

After the first year of implementation across the country, ESAP 2 hosted an event to bring all of the civil society organizations together with selected service providers from the 240 woredas involved, as well as government representatives. “We were looking for important innovations that were working,” said Nass. “That encouraged others to look beyond what they were already doing.” Social accountability committees were encouraged to create videos of their efforts to improve services in their districts, and the event included a video competition to celebrate those successes.

ESAP 2 held similar events annually, with 250 or more people attending each year. Over time, the events attracted a wider range of stakeholders, including representatives from regional governments that had not originally been included in the program. According to Nass, most government representatives—including woreda councils, woreda administrations, and regional government officials—were reluctant to participate at the beginning but grew to fully embrace the program after they saw the positive impact it was having in communities across the country.

### Overcoming obstacles

When ESAP 2 came to a close, there was strong enthusiasm from those involved to continue supporting civil society in Ethiopia to improve social accountability. However, changing political dynamics and other factors meant a new project to build on ESAP 2 was slow to materialize. To ensure that the achievements of ESAP 2 were not lost, several donors chipped in to fund a “bridging phase” until the new project (which would be known as ESAP 3) came together. While some of the civil society organizations and social accountability committees continued throughout the bridging phase, others struggled to maintain momentum. “A lot of

social accountability committees went dormant, and some joint action plans were never followed up on,” said Meskerem.

Further difficulties ensued in October 2016, when the country entered a state of emergency that lasted nearly a year. “In some regions our partners found it very difficult to continue,” said Nass. Civil society organizations halted operations when the situation worsened, but picked up their work again when the situation improved.

Following an administration change and government reforms in 2018, the ESAP 3 project, also administered by the World Bank and managed by VNG International, finally launched in May 2019. Around the same time, the new government rescinded the Charities and Societies Proclamation, opening the door for civil society organizations to work on a wider range of issues and take on a stronger policy advocacy role.

The new project team began working on ways to deepen social accountability in Ethiopia and ensure their efforts were sustainable. For example, the ESAP 3 team planned to work closer with longstanding local governance organizations, such as kebele councils, community-led structures, and other groups, which were likely more sustainable than parallel structures like the social accountability committees. In addition, the ESAP 3 team planned to integrate their work with higher levels of government—which could work on a wider range of issues—as well as focus more on planning and budgeting at the woreda level. By 2020 ESAP 3 was operating in 317 woredas and was set to disburse funding to civil society organizations through the end of 2023.

## Reflections

ESAP 2 did not directly target corruption, and its goals were mostly to increase public participation, build better relations between local governments, citizens, and civil society organizations, and to improve service delivery. Nevertheless, those involved in the project suggested that the initiative likely had some spillover effects in reducing corruption, even if on a small scale. “At the district level, there is not much money that can be captured by corruption,” said Nass. “In that sense, the scope to reduce corruption was not very large. However, there is a lot of petty corruption, which is

especially difficult for poor people. ESAP 2 helped citizens understand what services are supposed to be free and what services need to be paid, and how much they cost. With greater transparency and accountability, corruption becomes more difficult.”

In addition, ESAP 2 spread knowledge about the important role that woreda councils play in overseeing service delivery. “There is now a much better understanding that councils have an oversight role,” said Nass. In theory, increased oversight would reduce opportunities for corruption.

Citizen oversight increased too. Several people involved in the implementation of ESAP 2 reported that there were some indications that citizens had become more willing to voice their concerns about public services. One example of this was through increased participation in parent teacher association meetings at primary schools. Participation in such avenues that allowed them to demand better public services was potentially a sign that citizens were beginning to hold government accountable.

According to Nass, Meskerem, and others closely involved in the program, its biggest result was increased trust between civil society, service providers, and the government—something that had been severely lacking when the program began. “Over the years ESAP has developed a strong position of trust with both civil society and the government,” said Paul Hamilton, who was leading ESAP 3, and added “We hope that the trust will deepen now that the project has entered its third phase in 2020.”

## Notes

1. Ul-Aflaha, Aichida, Mary L. McNeil, and Saki Kumagai. 2020. "Building Blocks and New Frontiers for Open Government." Washington, DC: World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/658171581605975570/Building-Blocks-and-New-Frontiers-for-Open-Government>
2. DFID, 2015.
3. Touchton, Michael, Brian Wampler, and Tiago Peixoto. 2019. "Of Governance and Revenue: Participatory Institutions and Tax Compliance in Brazil." World Bank PRWP 8797.
4. DFID, 2015.
5. Jelenic, Michael Christopher. 2019. "From Theory to Practice: Open Government Data, Accountability, and Service Delivery." Draft working paper. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/31800>.
6. Chen, Can and Sukumar Ganapati. 2018. "Is Transparency the Best Disinfectant?" Open Government Partnership, May 24, 2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/is-transparency-the-best-disinfectant/>.
7. Fox, Jonathan A. 2015. "Social Accountability: What Does the Evidence Really Say?" World Development, Vol. 72, 346-361.
8. For more discussion, see <https://blog.okfn.org/2013/10/03/defining-open-data/>
9. Yu, Harlan and David G. Robinson. 2012. "The New Ambiguity of 'Open Government'." February 28, 2012. 59 UCLA L. Rev. Disc. 178 (2012). <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2012489>.
10. Also known as right to information (RTI) or freedom of information (FOI) initiatives
11. According to Transparency International; see [https://www.transparency.org/news/feature/right\\_to\\_information\\_people\\_power](https://www.transparency.org/news/feature/right_to_information_people_power)
12. Vrushi, Jon and Robin Hodess. 2017. "Connecting the Dots: Building the Case for Open Data to Fight Corruption." Transparency International and World Wide Web Foundation. [http://webfoundation.org/docs/2017/04/2017\\_OpenDataConnectingDots\\_EN-6.pdf](http://webfoundation.org/docs/2017/04/2017_OpenDataConnectingDots_EN-6.pdf).
13. DFID 2015, p. 71.
14. De Renzio, Paolo. 2016. "What Do Scandals in Brazil and South Africa Tell Us About the Link Between Transparency and Corruption?", blog, International Budget Partnership, Feb. 1, 2016. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/2016/02/the-link-between-transparency-and-corruption/>.
15. Postolovska, Iryna. 2016. "Ukraine: Combatting Corruption Disguised as Charity." IBP Case Study, Oct. 2016. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/case-study-combatting-corruption-ukraine/>.
16. <https://register.openownership.org/>
17. Young, Andrew and Stefaan Verhulst. 2016. "Mexico's Mejora Tu Escuela." GovLab and Omidyar Network: Jan. 2016. <https://odimply.org/files/case-study-mexico.pdf>.
18. McCann, Brent. 2019. "Models for Successful MENA Anti-Corruption Strategies." Arab Reform Initiative Report, Dec. 9, 2019. <https://www.arab-reform.net/publication/models-for-successful-mena-anti-corruption-strategies/>.
19. Relly, Jeannine E. and Rajdeep Pakanati. 2018. "Freedom of Information Lessons from India: Collaboration, Co-production, and Rights-Based Agenda Building." *Journalism: Theory, Practice and Criticism*. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1464884918817032>,
20. The Open Budget Survey conducted by the International Budget Partnership measures transparency, participation, and oversight with respect to the budget process at the country level. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/open-budget-survey>
21. The Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency (GIFT) espouses a set of ten Principles of Public Participation in Fiscal Policy. See [http://www.fiscaltransparency.net/pp\\_principles/#toggle-id-1](http://www.fiscaltransparency.net/pp_principles/#toggle-id-1)
22. The alternate term "fiscal openness," as used by the Open Government Partnership, underscores that while much progress has been made on fiscal transparency, public participation and government accountability remain the key frontier areas. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/policy-area/fiscal-openness/>
23. Rudiger, Anja. 2018. "State of the Field Review: Fiscal Transparency and Accountability." Research Note for the Fiscal Futures' Scenario Planning Workshops, March and April 2018. Prepared by the author with staff at the Carnegie Endowment of International Peace, the International Budget Partnership and the Transparency and Accountability Initiative. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/state-of-the-field-review-fiscal-transparency-and-accountability-2018.pdf>.
24. Kubota, Megumi and Albert G. Zeufack. 2020. "Assessing the Returns on Investment in Data Openness and Transparency." Policy Research working paper; no. WPS 9136. Washington, DC: World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/398401580479439299/Assessing-the>Returns-on-Investment-in-Data-Openness-and-Transparency>.
25. DFD, 2015.
26. Johnsen, Jesper, Nils Taxell and Dominik Zaum. 2012. "Mapping Evidence Gaps in Anti-Corruption: Assessing the state of the operationally relevant evidence on donors' actions and approaches to reducing corruption." U4 Issue Oct. 2012 No. 7. <https://www.u4.no/publications/mapping-evidence-gaps-in-anti-corruption-assessing-the-state-of-the-operationally-relevant-evidence-on-donors-actions-and-approaches-to-reducing-corruption.pdf>.
27. Graft, Auralice, Stefaan Verhulst and Andrew Young. 2016. "Brazil's Open Budget Transparency Portal: Making Public How Public Money Is Spent." GovLab and Omidyar Network: Jan. 2016. P.11. <https://odimply.org/files/case-study-brazil.pdf>.
28. Open Government Partnership (OGP). 2019. "Open Government Partnership Global Report." Volume I. [https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Global-Report\\_Volume-1.pdf](https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Global-Report_Volume-1.pdf).
29. See the Open Contracting Partnership website for resources including documentation on how to implement the Open Contracting Data Standard: <https://www.open-contracting.org/data/>.
30. Open Government Partnership (OGP). 2019. "Open Government Partnership Global Report." Volume I. [https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Global-Report\\_Volume-1.pdf](https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Global-Report_Volume-1.pdf).
31. Gillies, Alexandra. 2019. "The EITI's Role in Addressing Corruption." EITI Discussion Paper, Oct. 2019. [https://eiti.org/files/documents/eitis\\_role\\_in\\_addressing\\_corruption\\_en.pdf](https://eiti.org/files/documents/eitis_role_in_addressing_corruption_en.pdf).
32. EITI. 2018. "EITI in Africa." [https://eiti.org/files/documents/eiti\\_africa\\_brief\\_en.pdf](https://eiti.org/files/documents/eiti_africa_brief_en.pdf).
33. EITI, 2018.

34. Gillies 2019, p.4.
35. Lujala, Päivi, Siri Aas Rustad, and Philippe Le Billon. 2017. "Has the EITI been successful? Reviewing evaluations of the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative." Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute (U4 Brief 2017:5). <https://www.cmi.no/publications/6300-has-the-eiti-been-successful>.
36. Beyerle, Shaazka; Bulman, David Janoff; Larizza, Marco; Schott, Berenike Laura. 2017. Citizens as drivers of change : how citizens practice human rights to engage with the state and promote transparency and accountability (English). Washington, D.C. : World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/278701500571128996/Citizens-as-drivers-of-change-how-citizens-practice-human-rights-to-engage-with-the-state-and-promote-transparency-and-accountability>.
37. Johnsen et al., 2012.
38. See <http://openkaduna.com.ng/Budget/citizen-budget/>.
39. Otto, Birke, Floriane Clement, Binayak Das, Hari Dhungana, Lotte Feuerstein, Girma Senbeta and Jasmina Van Driel. 2019. "Social accountability and water integrity: Learning from experiences with participatory and transparent budgeting in Ethiopia and Nepal." U4 Issue 2019:11. <https://www.u4.no/publications/social-accountability-and-water-integrity-learning-from-experiences-with-participatory-and-transparent-budgeting-in-ethiopia-and-nepal.pdf>.
40. Peixoto, Tiago and Jonathan Fox. 2017. "When Does ICT-Enabled Citizen Voice Lead to Government Responsiveness?" in *Civic Tech in the Global South: Assessing Technology for the Public Good*, eds. Tiago Peixoto and Micah L. Sifry. World Bank: Washington, DC.
41. Custer, S., H. Rahemtulla, K. Kaiser, and R. van den Brink. 2016. "From Pork to Performance: Open Government and Program Performance Tracking in the Philippines." World Bank and AidData. P. xii. June 2016.
42. Fox, 2015.
43. DFID, 2015; Johnsen et al., 2012.
44. Beyerle et al., 2017, p. 64, 69.
45. Baez-Camargo, Claudia. 2018. "Harnessing the power of communities against corruption: A framework for contextualizing social accountability." U4 Issue Brief 2018:4. <https://www.u4.no/publications/harnessing-the-power-of-communities-against-corruption>.
46. Baez-Camargo, Claudia. 2016. "Participatory monitoring to improve performance of government services and promote citizen empowerment: a success story from the Philippines." UNDP. [https://www.undp.org/content/dam/rbap/docs/Research%20%20Publications/democratic\\_governance/RBAP-DG-2016-Philippines-Case-Study-Participatory-Monitoring.pdf](https://www.undp.org/content/dam/rbap/docs/Research%20%20Publications/democratic_governance/RBAP-DG-2016-Philippines-Case-Study-Participatory-Monitoring.pdf).
47. Van Zyl, Albert and Dustin Kramer. 2019. "Transparency and corruption are not always what they appear to be." Blog post, International Budget Partnership. Aug. 12, 2019. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/2019/08/transparency-and-corruption-are-not-always-what-they-appear-to-be/>.
48. This case study is partly based on: Omolo, Annette Akinyi; Macphail, Bruce; Wanjiru, Rose Ruth; Peixoto, Tiago Carneiro. 2017. *Inclusive and effective citizen engagement : participatory budgeting - Makeni and West Pokot counties (English)*. Washington, D.C. : World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/231501494574792952/Inclusive-and-effective-citizen-engagement-participatory-budgeting-Makeni-and-West-Pokot-counties>
49. Article 74, The Constitution of Kenya 2010.
50. Republic of Kenya. 2014. Petition No. 532 of 2013 Consolidated with Petition Nos. 12 of 2014, 35, 36 of 2014, 42 of 2014, & 72 of 2014 and Judicial Review Miscellaneous Application No. 61 of 2014. The High Court of Kenya at Nairobi. <http://kenyalaw.org/caselaw/cases/view/97000/>.
51. Kenya School of Government (2015). "Participation in Kenya's Local Development Funds: Reviewing the Past to Inform the Future," <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/666021468172488909/pdf/94499-NWP.pdf>.
52. Adapted by author from: Hall, Jeremy Andrew Buchyza; Omolo, Annette Akinyi; Peixoto, Tiago Carneiro; Wanjiru, Rose Ruth. 2018. *Participatory Budgeting Manual for County Governments in Kenya (English)*. Washington, D.C. : World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/754841536125154333/Participatory-Budgeting-Manual-for-County-Governments-in-Kenya>
53. Nzoika, Stephen (2018). "Peer Review: Governors Learn from Makeni's Success." *Standard Digital*. August 28, 2018. <https://www.standardmedia.co.ke/article/2001293605/peer-review-governors-learn-from-makeni-success>.
54. Maundu, Pius (2018). "Makeni Success Story Inspires County Bosses." *Daily Nation*. September 1, 2018. <https://www.nation.co.ke/news/Makeni-success-story-inspires-county-bosses/1056-4738540-qpxr4yz/index.html>.
55. GDP per capita PPP, data from 2011. World Bank Open Data. <<https://data.worldbank.org>>
56. For more details on the tools, see: Ethiopia Social Accountability Program (2012). *Social Accountability Guide, First Edition, Ethiopia Protection of Basic Services Social Accountability Program*, <http://esap2.org.et/social-accountability-guide-online/>. Although five tools were introduced at the initial trainings, only two of those tools—the Community Scorecard and Citizen Report Card—were implemented effectively.
57. World Bank (2017). "CSOs serve as bridges to improving delivery and accountability of services," *Feature Story*, The World Bank Group, July 24, 2017. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2017/07/24/csos-serve-as-bridges-to-improving-delivery-and-accountability-of-services>.



## References

- Baez-Camargo, Claudia. 2018. Harnessing the power of communities against corruption: A framework for contextualizing social accountability. U4 Issue Brief 2018:4. <https://www.u4.no/publications/harnessing-the-power-of-communities-against-corruption>.
- Baez-Camargo, Claudia. 2016. Participatory monitoring to improve performance of government services and promote citizen empowerment: a success story from the Philippines. UNDP. [https://www.undp.org/content/dam/rbap/docs/Research%20&%20Publications/democratic\\_governance/RBAP-DG-2016-Philippines-Case-Study-Participatory-Monitoring.pdf](https://www.undp.org/content/dam/rbap/docs/Research%20&%20Publications/democratic_governance/RBAP-DG-2016-Philippines-Case-Study-Participatory-Monitoring.pdf).
- Beyerle, Shaazka; Bulman, David Janoff; Larizza, Marco; Schott, Berenike Laura. 2017. Citizens as drivers of change : how citizens practice human rights to engage with the state and promote transparency and accountability (English). Washington, D.C. : World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/278701500571128996/Citizens-as-drivers-of-change-how-citizens-practice-human-rights-to-engage-with-the-state-and-promote-transparency-and-accountability>.
- Chen, Can and Sukumar Ganapati. 2018. Is Transparency the Best Disinfectant?. Open Government Partnership, May 24, 2018. <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/documents/is-transparency-the-best-disinfectant/>.
- Custer, S., H. Rahemtulla, K. Kaiser, and R. van den Brink. 2016. From Pork to Performance: Open Government and Program Performance Tracking in the Philippines. World Bank and AidData. June 2016.
- De Renzio, Paolo. 2016. What Do Scandals in Brazil and South Africa Tell Us About the Link Between Transparency and Corruption?. Blog, International Budget Partnership, Feb. 1, 2016. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/2016/02/the-link-between-transparency-and-corruption/>.
- DFID. 2015. Why corruption matters: understanding causes, effects and how to address them – Evidence Paper on Corruption. January 2015. [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a08979e5274a31e0000d0/61212-corruption\\_evidence\\_paper\\_final\\_16Feb15.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a08979e5274a31e0000d0/61212-corruption_evidence_paper_final_16Feb15.pdf).
- EITI. 2018. EITI in Africa. [https://eiti.org/files/documents/eiti\\_africa\\_brief\\_en.pdf](https://eiti.org/files/documents/eiti_africa_brief_en.pdf).
- Fox, Jonathan A. 2015. Social Accountability: What Does the Evidence Really Say?. World Development, Vol. 72, 346-361.
- Gillies, Alexandra. 2019. The EITI's Role in Addressing Corruption. EITI Discussion Paper, Oct. 2019. [https://eiti.org/files/documents/eitis\\_role\\_in\\_addressing\\_corruption\\_en.pdf](https://eiti.org/files/documents/eitis_role_in_addressing_corruption_en.pdf).
- Graft, Auralice, Stefaan Verhulst and Andrew Young. 2016. Brazil's Open Budget Transparency Portal: Making Public How Public Money Is Spent. GovLab and Omidyar Network: Jan. 2016. <https://odimpact.org/files/case-study-brazil.pdf>.
- Jelenic, Michael Christopher. 2019. From Theory to Practice: Open Government Data, Accountability, and Service Delivery. Draft working paper. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/31800>.
- Johnsøn, Jesper, Nils Taxell and Dominik Zaum. 2012. Mapping Evidence Gaps in Anti-Corruption: Assessing the state of the operationally relevant evidence on donors' actions and approaches to reducing corruption. U4 Issue Oct. 2012 No. 7. <https://www.u4.no/publications/mapping-evidence-gaps-in-anti-corruption-assessing-the-state-of-the-operationally-relevant-evidence-on-donors-actions-and-approaches-to-reducing-corruption.pdf>.
- Kubota, Megumi and Albert G. Zeufack. 2020. Assessing the Returns on Investment in Data Openness and Transparency. Policy Research working paper; no. WPS 9136. Washington, DC: World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/398401580479439299/Assessing-the>Returns-on-Investment-in-Data-Openness-and-Transparency>.
- Lujala, Päivi, Siri Aas Rustad, and Philippe Le Billon. 2017. Has the EITI been successful? Reviewing evaluations of the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative. Bergen: Chr. Michelsen Institute (U4 Brief 2017:5). <https://www.cmi.no/publications/6300-has-the-eiti-been-successful>.
- McCann, Brent. 2019. Models for Successful MENA Anti-Corruption Strategies. Arab Reform Initiative Report, Dec. 9, 2019. <https://www.arab-reform.net/publication/models-for-successful-mena-anti-corruption-strategies/>.
- Open Government Partnership (OGP). 2019. Open Government Partnership Global Report. Volume I. [https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Global-Report\\_Volume-1.pdf](https://www.opengovpartnership.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Global-Report_Volume-1.pdf).
- Otto, Birke, Floriane Clement, Binayak Das, Hari Dhungana, Lotte Feuerstein, Girma Senbeta and Jasmina Van Driel. 2019. Social accountability and water integrity: Learning from experiences with participatory and transparent budgeting in Ethiopia and Nepal. U4 Issue 2019:11. <https://www.u4.no/publications/social-accountability-and-water-integrity-learning-from-experiences-with-participatory-and-transparent-budgeting-in-ethiopia-and-nepal.pdf>.
- Peixoto, Tiago and Jonathan Fox. 2017. When Does ICT-Enabled Citizen Voice Lead to Government Responsiveness?. In Civic Tech in the Global South: Assessing Technology for the Public Good, eds. Tiago Peixoto and Micah L. Sifry. World Bank: Washington, DC.
- Postolovska, Iryna. 2016. Ukraine: Combatting Corruption Disguised as Charity. IBP Case Study, Oct. 2016. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/publications/case-study-combating-corruption-ukraine/>.
- Relly, Jeannine E. and Rajdeep Pakanati. 2018. Freedom of Information Lessons from India: Collaboration, Co-production, and Rights-Based Agenda Building. Journalism: Theory, Practice and Criticism. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1464884918817032>.
- Rudiger, Anja. 2018. State of the Field Review: Fiscal Transparency and Accountability. Research Note for the Fiscal Futures' Scenario Planning Workshops, March and April 2018. Prepared by the author with staff at the Carnegie Endowment of International Peace, the International Budget Partnership and the Transparency and Accountability Initiative. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/wp-content/uploads/state-of-the-field-review-fiscal-transparency-and-accountability-2018.pdf>.
- Touchton, Michael, Brian Wampler, and Tiago Peixoto. 2019. Of Governance and Revenue: Participatory Institutions and Tax Compliance in Brazil. World Bank PRWP 8797.
- Ul-Aflaha, Aichida, Mary L. McNeil, and Saki Kumagai. 2020. Building Blocks and New Frontiers for Open Government. Washington, DC: World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/658171581605975570/Building-Blocks-and-New-Frontiers-for-Open-Government>.
- Van Zyl, Albert and Dustin Kramer. 2019. Transparency and corruption are not always what they appear to be. Blog post, International Budget Partnership. Aug. 12, 2019. <https://www.internationalbudget.org/2019/08/transparency-and-corruption-are-not-always-what-they-appear-to-be/>.
- Vrushi, Jon and Robin Hodess. 2017. Connecting the Dots: Building the Case for Open Data to Fight Corruption.

Transparency International and World Wide Web Foundation. [http://webfoundation.org/docs/2017/04/2017\\_OpenDataConnectingDots\\_EN-6.pdf](http://webfoundation.org/docs/2017/04/2017_OpenDataConnectingDots_EN-6.pdf).

Young, Andrew and Stefaan Verhulst. 2016. Mexico's Mejora Tu Escuela. GovLab and Omidyar Network: Jan. 2016. <https://odimpact.org/files/case-study-mexico.pdf>.

Yu, Harlan and David G. Robinson. 2012. The New Ambiguity of 'Open Government'. February 28, 2012. 59 UCLA L. Rev. Disc. 178 (2012). <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2012489>.

### **Case Study 15: Boosting Accountability through Participatory Budgeting in Kenya**

Hall, Jeremy Andrew Buchyza; Omolo, Annette Akinyi; Peixoto, Tiago Carneiro; Wanjiru, Rose Ruth. 2018. Participatory Budgeting Manual for County Governments in Kenya (English). Washington, D.C. : World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/754841536125154333/Participatory-Budgeting-Manual-for-County-Governments-in-Kenya>.

Kenya School of Government. 2015. Participation in Kenya's Local Development Funds: Reviewing the Past to Inform the Future. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/666021468172488909/pdf/94499-NWP.pdf>.

Maundu, Pius. 2018. Makueni Success Story Inspires County Bosses. Daily Nation. September 1, 2018. <https://www.nation.co.ke/news/Makueni-success-story-inspires-county-bosses/1056-4738540-qpxr4yz/index.html>.

Nzoika, Stephen. 2018. Peer Review: Governors Learn from Makueni's Success. Standard Digital. August 28, 2018. <https://www.standardmedia.co.ke/article/2001293605/peer-review-governors-learn-from-makueni-success>.

Omolo, Annette Akinyi; Macphail, Bruce; Wanjiru, Rose Ruth; Peixoto, Tiago Carneiro. 2017. Inclusive and effective citizen engagement: participatory budgeting - Makueni and West Pokot counties (English). Washington, D.C.: World Bank Group. <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/231501494574792952/Inclusive-and-effective-citizen-engagement-participatory-budgeting-Makueni-and-West-Pokot-counties>.

Republic of Kenya. 2014. Petition No. 532 of 2013 Consolidated with Petition Nos. 12 of 2014, 35, 36 of 2014, 42 of 2014, & 72 of 2014 and Judicial Review Miscellaneous Application No. 61 of 2014. The High Court of Kenya at Nairobi. <http://kenyalaw.org/caselaw/cases/view/97000/>.

### **Case Study 16: Enhancing Social Accountability in Ethiopia**

Ethiopia Social Accountability Program. 2012. Social Accountability Guide, First Edition, Ethiopia Protection of Basic Services Social Accountability Program. <http://esap2.org.et/social-accountability-guide-online/>.

World Bank. 2017. CSOs serve as bridges to improving delivery and accountability of services. Feature Story, The World Bank Group, July 24, 2017. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2017/07/24/csos-serve-as-bridges-to-improving-delivery-and-accountability-of-services>.