



# **Sovereign state- contingent debt instruments: Use by Issuers in Africa**

**JUNE 16, 2023**

Oumar Dissou  
Regional Debt Management Advisor

# Why Sovereign state-contingent debt instruments (SCDIs)?

- **Strengthen the resilience of the sovereign debt portfolio**
  - ▶ Provide borrowers “insurance” against shocks
  - ▶ Benefit creditors by avoiding distress scenarios
  - ▶ Can be used to bridge high uncertainty about future path (e.g. positive upside surprises)
- ***Downside* protection to borrowers under negative scenarios.**
  - ▶ Insurance-like contracts provide *liquidity* relief to borrowers
    - ◆ Done through interest forbearance and/or maturity extensions following large negative shocks
    - ◆ Trigger linked to a specific, observable outcome
- ***Upside* payouts to creditors under positive scenarios.**
  - ▶ Value Recovery Instruments (VRIs) structured as call options or warrants
  - ▶ Tied to a state variable strongly correlated with the borrower’s ability to pay
  - ▶ Upside payouts usually spread into the future

# Relevance of SCDIs to African countries

- **Vulnerability to global development and external shocks**
  - ▶ Commodity exporters
  - ▶ Growing risks from climate changes
  - ▶ Increased debt vulnerabilities
  
- **Sources of financing still limited**
  - ▶ Local currency bond markets still underdeveloped in many countries
  - ▶ Many countries do not have access to international capital markets
  - ▶ Concessional financing might not be available in a scale or timely manner
  
- **Earlier IMF work<sup>1</sup> found factors limiting adoption of SCDIs could be addressed by official support for contract design**

<sup>1</sup> See [State-Contingent Debt Instruments for Sovereigns](#), IMF (2017) and [The Role of State-Contingent Debt Instruments in Sovereign Debt Restructurings](#), IMF Staff Discussion Note (November, 2020)

# Suitability of SCDIs for debt management

- **Preserve policy space in ‘bad times’**
  - ▶ Stabilize debt indicators or financing needs when it is most needed
  - ▶ Could diversify investors and creditors base
- **Careful instrument design is required**
  - ▶ Tie state variables closely to repayment capacity
  - ▶ For investor credibility, important to use state variables less subject to manipulation
  - ▶ Consider payments profile of linking to coupons, versus principal
- **Treatment of SCDIs in MTDSs and DSAs**
  - ▶ Baseline scenario for the evolution of debt service obligations
  - ▶ Alternative scenario analysis to capture their debt service impacts (positive or negative)

# Recent use of SCDIs by African countries is limited to official lending

- **The Agence Française de Développement offers countercyclical concessional financing with a floating grace period for principal payments**
  - ▶ Debtor country has the right to exercise the floating grace period in the event export earnings fall below a predefined threshold
  - ▶ Repayments can be deferred up to five times after the threshold is met
  - ▶ These loans were used outside of restructurings and were included in part of Sovereigns' budget financing instruments
- **Currently, little appetite for market-based SCDIs**
  - ▶ Lack of established local currency bond markets in many countries
  - ▶ Frontier issuers that have access to international capital markets could face a reduced investors base
    - ◆ Expected cost might be too high for the SCDIs' risk reduction benefits

# Many countries are not actively looking to issue SCDIs in the near term

- **Potential issuers would need to overcome moral-hazard concerns**
  - ▶ Debt transparency issues
  - ▶ Data linked to national statistics
  - ▶ Public financial management issues
- **Many countries could benefit from inclusion of SCDIs features in official (bilateral and multilateral) lending**
  - ▶ Countries could request that creditors include countercyclical features in their loans (could follow AFD model)