A draft memo for a UN World Food Program

During recent months, I have been convinced that I have learned in my work here as you have. No doubt, I have had a unique opportunity to visit 84 countries, understanding that I am borrowing

I have literally visited hundreds of meetings, with various organizations, private sector organizations, foundations at national and local level. I have visited with presidents and the leaders and senior officials in the UN agencies. Of course, I have also done many exchanges with members of the UN family and with bilateral agencies and of course, with whom we have been working throughout the world.

I believe that all of the above shameful
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we cannot be enough. More than this we cannot be enough.

So, 90 million people are added annually to our planet.
mainly in the developing world. The bill for more food
by 2015, with 600 million more people going to bed
hungry. We are right between
rich and poor and the division of economics and
political thinking from interaction is
needed in the ODA — there is much to be learned about.

I have been considering the experience of our work in
and the effect — we are fortunate because we have
5 billion people and several million people
living in the least developed, IDA, RIDA, Ric, EDF, etc., etc., etc. — we are indeed a
powerful in this in that have played a central role in
he has in their role and strengthened our work and stress and
occur in most cases I needed more or at least access to them.
and the better we need more and more effective work
particularly with them who have been effective still or
drawn back to resources because we have. But we also realize
money from the committed with an idea and a better
framework for the permanent we system and the framework
which we work in the international social communications.

In addition I believe we have a better understanding
of our role with the IMF. They have the responsibility
for setting the macroeconomic framework for our work
and to understand and explain, which we have and
responsibility for the structure and formed a set of
approach. Obviously there are not two similarities
in the IMF. We must work together a growth and more
capacity is essential for our work, but we are
accounted for the IMF. Which cannot and does not
favorable in a Vietnam. Together we must more
The hopes and aspirations of the people are strong
or we will not achieve our objectives in peace
and stability. Accordingly, in setting out
a new approach to our policy we
should refer to the outset that economic and
financial strategies that need careful
coordination with stability are essential (and we
hear much work now on these) and that, therefore,
trade and investments are crucial (even here we—

how work with the U.S.), Our home needs more on the financial
system to ground the world we work together to provide, can continue
in any way that needs an institution and an institution human
resource.

When I think of a development strategy for
Each country and conceived frameworks (think of)
A country (and region also) and I would think
of a two part piece of music—

One piece which will be played in the
Financial... and thus I think of in the Monthly
reports of the sector, the balance of accounts,
and trade
the Balance of Payment, statistics, and all the other
financial analysis which at the core of our
current analysis. All of us are used to
getting GDP statistics, Income statistics
To growth statistics and to casualties. Based on
this financial analysis we can decide whether a
country is in Part I or Part II, IDA eligible.
or HIPIC eligible - It is the language that France
ministers feel comfortable and it is universal.

The need for a second score

I believe there is a need for a second score
which reflects more accurately than we have
up to now, an analytical framework that
will present the principal BMI definitions
and to add far better than we have to date

and I believe that this existence of the score
will affect the way we think of our objectives
and the way we can all play together

but we explain the second sphere over a
little more. Unlike the previous analysis, which
bumps everything together in a conundrum form, we
in the development field look to think in terms
of goals and projects rather than a consensus
staging. This is not surprising since mobilisation
and bilateral aid has been limited by resources, expertise
and reach - the same governments who have the

responsibility of bringing it all together and we
know that at all the points we were having the

capacity to do so - not the resources - but

we take over the will.

We also know that we are learning in the meantime
and will accept and be worthy hence.
In harmony with the multilateral aid pillar, not NGO's, not private sector not UN system, it is in our interest that we do this in a way that is certain to work all across the board. I think we are learning to do so at least based on particular programs and projects. But what is missing and needed is an overarching framework - a framework which will allow us all to help work together to the goals of poverty alleviation, environmental, cultural preservation and peace, economic and human equity - which are the preconditions for a just and peaceful world.

An approach.

I believe we could test an approach which sets forth a framework measured in two dimensions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Possibility for Growth and Peaceful Action.