Afghanistan

Aid Efficiency At a Glance – 2026

WORLD BANK GROUP
Development Finance

HIGHLIGHTS FOR 2016-23[1]

1. In Afghanistan, the aid volume decreased by 40%, while the number of donor agencies remained unchanged in 2016-23.
2. Afghanistan had 180 donor agencies on average in 2016-23.
3. During 2020-23, 34% of total transactions and 36% of the volume were provided through the government, compared to 25% and 54% for low income countries overall in 2020-23.
4. The number of transactions decreased by 17%, and the size of transactions declined by 28% in 2016-23.
5. 161 out of 180 donor agencies provided the bottom 10% of aid in 2020-23.

KEY INDICATORS OF AID PROLIFERATION, FRAGMENTATION & CIRCUMVENTION

Description	2016-19	2020-23	% Δ in 2016-23	Comparable % Δ in 2016-23 for LICs
1. Aid volume ($ million)	16,276	9,722	-40%	18%
2. Number of official donor agencies	180	180	0%	12%
3. Number of transactions	6,308	5,254	-17%	29%
4. Transactions, size ($ million)	2.58	1.85	-28%	-9%
5. Government channels: % of total transactions	37%	34%	-4%	1%
% of aid volume	45%	36%	-9%	5%
6. Share of aid volume through pooled funds and other aid types	34%	31%	-2%	-1%

SHARE OF AID FLOWING THROUGH GOVERNMENT



TRENDS IN USE OF COUNTRY SYSTEMS[2]



TRENDS IN AID PROLIFERATION AND FRAGMENTATION FOR 2016-23

SHARE OF AID FLOWS BY TOP DONORS AGENCIES



	Top 10	11-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	71-80	81-90	91-100	100>
2016-19	79.65%	12.26%	5.28%	1.65%	0.57%	0.30%	0.13%	0.07%	0.04%	0.02%	0.03%
2020-23	80.04%	11.10%	4.34%	2.22%	1.15%	0.61%	0.28%	0.15%	0.06%	0.03%	0.03%

AID FLOWS AND COUNT OF DONOR/DONOR AGENCIES



CORRELATION BETWEEN % CHANGE IN AID FLOWS AND % CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGENCIES[3]



SHARE OF AID FLOWING THROUGH POOLED FUNDS AND OTHER AID TYPES[4]

Funding Instrument / Aid Type (share in %)	Afghanistan	
	2016-19	2020-23
Budget Support	5.72%	12.06%
Basket Pooled Funding	1.90%	2.70%
Specific Purpose Contributions	26.04%	16.47%
Sub-Total	**33.67%**	**31.24%**
Project Type Interventions	62.65%	63.61%
Experts and Technical Assistance	2.43%	2.72%
Sub-Total	**65.08%**	**66.33%**
Unspecified	1.25%	2.43%
Total	**100.00%**	**100.00%**

1. Aid is defined as Country Programmable Aid Official Financial Flows (CPAOFF), which is based on the OECD's definition of Country Programmable Aid (CPA) and on OFF. It reflects the amount of aid that involves a cross-border flow and is subject to multi-year planning at the country/regional level.
2. The chart is based on data from the Global Partnership for Effective Development Cooperation (GPEDC), whose income group classifications and methodology may differ from those of the World Bank as they apply the UN classification for Least Developed Countries (LDCs).
3. The orange dot represents Afghanistan and the blue dots represent other LIC countries. X axis corresponds to % change in the number of donor agencies between 2016-19 and 2020-23; Y-axis represents % change in the aid volumes between 2016-19 and 2020-23. The two variables have a relatively weak correlation (<10%). Afghanistan is below the trend line. The trend line reflects the average direction of growth in aid volumes, which was much higher than the increase in donor agencies in LICs.
4. Basket pooled funding: Contributions to funds in which donors relinquish exclusive control and share responsibility with other stakeholders. Specific purpose contributions: Bilateral contributions to funds with a defined sectoral, thematic, or geographical focus.