South Sudan

Aid Efficiency At a Glance – 2026

HIGHLIGHTS FOR 2016-23[1]

1. In South Sudan, the aid volume increased by 49%, and the number of donor agencies grew steadily by 6% in 2016-23.
2. South Sudan had 118 donor agencies on average in 2016-23.
3. During 2020-23, 13% of total transactions and 28% of the volume were provided through the government, compared to 25% and 54% for low income countries overall in 2020-23.
4. The number of transactions grew by 28%, and the size of transactions increased by 17% in 2016-23.
5. 103 out of 121 donor agencies provided the bottom 10% of aid in 2020-23.

KEY INDICATORS OF AID PROLIFERATION AND FRAGMENTATION

Description	2016-19	2020-23	% Δ in 2016-23	Comparable % Δ in 2016-23 for LICs
1. Aid volume ($ million)	2,846	4,254	49%	18%
2. Number of official donor agencies	114	121	6%	12%
3. Number of transactions	3,245	4,163	28%	29%
4. Transactions, size ($ million)	0.88	1.02	17%	-9%
5. Government channels: % of total transactions	12%	13%	1%	1%
% of aid volume	16%	28%	13%	5%
6. Share of aid volume through pooled funds and other aid types	33%	21%	-12%	-1%

SHARE OF AID FLOWING THROUGH GOVERNMENT



TRENDS IN USE OF COUNTRY SYSTEMS[2]



TRENDS IN AID PROLIFERATION AND FRAGMENTATION FOR 2016-23

SHARE OF AID FLOWS BY TOP DONORS AGENCIES



	Top 10	11-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	71-80	81-90	91-100	100>
2016-19	78.53%	12.90%	5.13%	2.11%	0.83%	0.32%	0.11%	0.05%	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%
2020-23	79.80%	11.79%	4.86%	1.92%	0.90%	0.40%	0.18%	0.10%	0.04%	0.01%	0.00%

AID FLOWS AND COUNT OF DONOR/DONOR AGENCIES



CORRELATION BETWEEN % CHANGE IN AID FLOWS AND % CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGENCIES[3]



SHARE OF AID FLOWING THROUGH POOLED FUNDS AND OTHER AID TYPES[4]

Funding Instrument / Aid Type (share in %)	South Sudan	
	2016-19	2020-23
Budget Support	0.67%	7.04%
Basket Pooled Funding	8.69%	4.30%
Specific Purpose Contributions	23.67%	9.48%
Sub-Total	**33.03%**	**20.82%**
Project Type Interventions	65.07%	76.08%
Experts and Technical Assistance	1.84%	1.22%
Sub-Total	**66.91%**	**77.30%**
Unspecified	0.07%	1.88%
Total	**100.00%**	**100.00%**

1. Aid is defined as Country Programmable Aid Official Financial Flows (CPAOFF), which is based on the OECD's definition of Country Programmable Aid (CPA) and on OFF. It reflects the amount of aid that involves a cross-border flow and is subject to multi-year planning at the country/regional level.
2. The chart is based on data from the Global Partnership for Effective Development Cooperation (GPEDC), whose income group classifications and methodology may differ from those of the World Bank as they apply the UN classification for Least Developed Countries (LDCs).
3. The orange dot represents South Sudan and the blue dots represent other LMIC countries. X axis corresponds to % change in the number of donor agencies between 2016-19 and 2020-23; Y-axis represents % change in the aid volumes between 2016-19 and 2020-23. The two variables have a relatively weak correlation (<10%). South Sudan is above the trend line. The trend line reflects the average direction of growth in aid volumes, which was much higher than the increase in donor agencies in LMICs.
4. Basket pooled funding: Contributions to funds in which donors relinquish exclusive control and share responsibility with other stakeholders. Specific purpose contributions: Bilateral contributions to funds with a defined sectoral, thematic, or geographical focus.