Zimbabwe

Aid Efficiency At a Glance – 2026

WORLD BANK GROUP
Development Finance

HIGHLIGHTS FOR 2016-23[1]

1. In Zimbabwe, the aid volume increased by 6%, and the number of donor agencies grew steadily by 5% in 2016-23.
2. Zimbabwe had 159 donor agencies on average in 2016-23.
3. During 2020-23, 15% of total transactions and 14% of the volume were provided through the government, compared to 29% and 73% for lower middle income countries overall in 2020-23.
4. The number of transactions grew by 28%, while the size of transactions declined by 17% in 2016-23.
5. 142 out of 163 donor agencies provided the bottom 10% of aid in 2020-23.

KEY INDICATORS OF AID PROLIFERATION, FRAGMENTATION & CIRCUMVENTION

Description	2016-19	2020-23	% Δ in 2016-23	Comparable % Δ in 2016-23 for LMICs
1. Aid volume ($ million)	2,806	2,968	6%	21%
2. Number of official donor agencies	155	163	5%	11%
3. Number of transactions	4,270	5,465	28%	27%
4. Transactions, size ($ million)	0.66	0.54	-17%	-5%
5. Government channels: % of total transactions	19%	15%	-4%	-1%
% of aid volume	9%	14%	5%	10%
6. Share of aid volume through pooled funds and other aid types	20%	8%	-12%	11%

SHARE OF AID FLOWING THROUGH GOVERNMENT



TRENDS IN USE OF COUNTRY SYSTEMS[2]



TRENDS IN AID PROLIFERATION AND FRAGMENTATION FOR 2016-23

SHARE OF AID FLOWS BY TOP DONORS AGENCIES



	Top 10	11-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	71-80	81-90	91-100	100>
2016-19	82.73%	9.73%	3.85%	1.71%	0.99%	0.52%	0.25%	0.11%	0.07%	0.03%	0.01%
2020-23	80.33%	9.20%	4.57%	2.62%	1.45%	0.84%	0.45%	0.27%	0.14%	0.07%	0.07%

AID FLOWS AND COUNT OF DONOR/DONOR AGENCIES



CORRELATION BETWEEN % CHANGE IN AID FLOWS AND % CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGENCIES[3]



SHARE OF AID FLOWING THROUGH POOLED FUNDS AND OTHER AID TYPES[4]

Funding Instrument / Aid Type (share in %)	Zimbabwe	
	2016-19	2020-23
Budget Support	0.00%	0.00%
Basket Pooled Funding	5.50%	2.86%
Specific Purpose Contributions	14.48%	5.04%
Sub-Total	**19.99%**	**7.90%**
Project Type Interventions	77.37%	88.22%
Experts and Technical Assistance	2.25%	2.17%
Sub-Total	**79.62%**	**90.38%**
Unspecified	0.40%	1.72%
Total	**100.00%**	**100.00%**

1. Aid is defined as Country Programmable Aid Official Financial Flows (CPAOFF), which is based on the OECD's definition of Country Programmable Aid (CPA) and on OFF. It reflects the amount of aid that involves a cross-border flow and is subject to multi-year planning at the country/regional level.
2. The chart is based on data from the Global Partnership for Effective Development Cooperation (GPEDC), whose income group classifications and methodology may differ from those of the World Bank as they apply the UN classification for Least Developed Countries (LDCs).
3. The orange dot represents Zimbabwe and the blue dots represent other LMIC countries. X axis corresponds to % change in the number of donor agencies between 2016-19 and 2020-23; Y-axis represents % change in the aid volumes between 2016-19 and 2020-23. The two variables have a relatively weak correlation (<10%). Zimbabwe is below the trend line. The trend line reflects the average direction of growth in aid volumes, which was much higher than the increase in donor agencies in LMICs.
4. Basket pooled funding: Contributions to funds in which donors relinquish exclusive control and share responsibility with other stakeholders. Specific purpose contributions: Bilateral contributions to funds with a defined sectoral, thematic, or geographical focus.