

SMEs Amidst the Pandemic and Reopening: Digital Edge and Transformation*

Lin William Cong[†] Xiaohan Yang[‡] Xiaobo Zhang[§]

First draft: May 2021; this draft: December 2021

Abstract

Using administrative universal firm registration data as well as primary offline and online surveys of small businesses in China, we examine (i) whether digitization helps Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) better cope with the COVID-19 pandemic, and (ii) whether the pandemic has spurred digital technology adoption. We document significant economic benefits of digitization in increasing SMEs' resilience against such a large shock, as seen through mitigated demand decline, sustainable cash flow, ability to quickly reopen, and positive outlook for growth. Post the January 2020 lockdown, firm entries exhibited a V-shaped pattern, with entries of e-commerce firms experiencing a less pronounced initial drop and a quicker rebound. Moreover, the pandemic has accelerated the digital transformation of existing firms and the aggregated industry in multiple dimensions (e.g., altering operation scope to include e-commerce, allowing remote work, and adopting electronic information systems). The effect persists at least one year after full reopening, offering suggestive evidence for the long-term impact of the pandemic and transitory mitigation policies.

Keywords: Small Businesses, COVID-19, Digital Economy, E-Commerce

JEL Codes: G30, L81, O14, H12

*We thank Murillo Campello, Gaurav Kankanhalli, Hongbin Li, Margaret McMillan, Adair Morse, Xin Tang, Xincheng Wang, and Ziming Wang for thoughtful feedback. We also thank conference and seminar participants at Global Digital Economy Summit for Small and Medium Enterprises (DES 2020), China Meeting of Econometric Society (CMES 2021, Shanghai), IMF Infrastructure Seminar Series, the Inaugural Conference on FinTech, Innovation and Development (CFID), China Economics Annual Conference (CEA 2021, Xi'an), Asia Impact Evaluation Conference (2021), and 2022 Annual Conference in Digital Economics (ACDE 2022) for helpful comments and discussions. This research was funded in part by China Natural Science Foundation (Project No. 72192844), Peking University, the Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation, and the Fintech Chair at Paris-Dauphine University-PSL. The contents of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors.

[†]Cornell University Johnson Graduate School of Management. E-mail: will.cong@cornell.edu

[‡]Peking University National School of Development. E-mail: yangxh@pku.edu.cn

[§]Peking University Guanghua School of Management and International Food Policy Research Institute. E-mail: x.zhang@gsm.pku.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) are integral to the global economy.¹ During economic downturns, however, small businesses typically contract earlier and more severely than large firms (Davis et al., 1996). The COVID-19 pandemic is no exception, striking heavy blows to SMEs worldwide.² The relatively sparse literature has examined the role of clusters (Kranton and Minehart, 2000; Dai et al., 2021a, e.g.) and policy interventions (e.g., Bartlett III and Morse, 2020; Chen et al., 2020) in helping SMEs cope with such external shocks. Yet, how digitization contributes to SMEs’ resilience, especially in developing countries, is scarcely researched. We also understand little about the long-run impact of the pandemic on (private) small businesses, especially concerning their digitization, despite the numerous media reports (e.g., Kabir, 2021) on rising e-commerce, e-learning, telemedicine, digital banking, and work-from-home as immediate ramifications of the pandemic and temporary mitigation policies (TIME cover story by Wang, 2020; Economist, 2020).

Our study is among the first attempts to bridge this knowledge gap. Specifically, we combine (through primary data collection) multiple rounds of Enterprise Survey on Innovation and Entrepreneurship in China (ESIEC), Online Survey of Micro-and-small Enterprises (OS-OME), and China business registration data. The comprehensive data coverage and large heterogeneity in Chinese SMEs allow us to directly document the benefits of e-commerce and digitization on the performance of SMEs during and after the COVID-19 restrictions.

¹For example, in the United States, small businesses accounted for 44% of U.S. employment and 99% of firms (Bartlett III and Morse, 2020). According to a reported speech by the Chinese Vice Premier, in China, SMEs represent over 90% of all market entities, 80% of urban employment, 70% of technological patents, 60% of GDP, and 50% of tax revenues as of 2018. For details, please refer to http://www.gov.cn/guowuyuan/2018-08/20/content_5315204.htm and http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2018-10/19/c_137544504.htm. In India and Singapore, SMEs also contributed to about 40% of value added in the manufacturing sector in 2012 (Allen et al., 2012) and 42% of the GDP in 2010 (Qian, 2010), respectively.

²Several recent studies conducted surveys of small businesses in the United States shortly after the onset of the pandemic and found massive closures, downsizing, layoffs (Bartik et al., 2020a; Bartlett III and Morse, 2020; Fairlie, 2020; Humphries et al., 2020; Dai et al., 2021b).

Our multiple rounds of surveys, with their timing varying in relation to the lockdown as shown in Figure 1, also enable us to demonstrate both the short-term and persistent digital transformation of SMEs brought forth by the pandemic.³

Defining SMEs in China is challenging. Our dataset admits a rather general definition of SMEs with comprehensive coverage, because the business registration data covers all registered enterprises in China, the majority of which are small businesses with very low registered capital. The ESIEC survey offers a representative and detailed sample of SMEs, including incorporated firms and registered self-employees with few full-time employees. More than half of the respondents in ESIEC had fewer than ten employees. The OSOME sample supplement by covering a large share of self-employed businesses, especially the unregistered ones that have long been neglected in previous research. The interviewed SMEs locate in both urban and rural areas of different city tiers across China, with a significant share of them having adopted digital operations or systems.

We first investigate whether digitizing business operations makes SMEs more resilient to the pandemic shock. Business digitization broadly encompasses technologies such as e-commerce, automation, AI, e-learning, and telemedicine. For example, the baseline ESIEC surveys conducted in 2017, 2018, and 2019 include a key question on the share of online sales, which is shown to be positively associated with an SME's cash flow level, market demand, working capital turnover, reopening status, and outlook for earnings observed in the phone interviews in 2020. We focus on the adoption of e-commerce, online operation, remote work, electronic information systems, etc., to provide a lower bound on the magnitude

³At the time of the first round of phone interviews in February 2020, most provincial governments had allowed businesses to reopen (often with stringent conditions). After reining in COVID-19, authorities largely eased lockdown restrictions in April. As a result, most SMEs had reopened by the time of our second round of ESIEC surveys in May 2020. Besides, there have still been sporadic outbreaks and resultant local lockdowns in China since the nationwide reopening as shown in Figure 1, offering us more variations to examine the digital transformations based on quarterly OSOME surveys.

of digitization and its associated benefits for small businesses. We also identify learning cost and maintenance cost as key frictions hindering digitization.

We then examine whether the pandemic has induced greater adoption of digital technologies, a question rarely studied in the literature in part due to data paucity.⁴ We contribute by investigating whether the pandemic has accelerated digital adoption on both the extensive and intensive margins. We develop and apply a textual analysis and algorithm to the business operation scope, a text record indicating what an enterprise is approved to conduct, to classify each registered firm's e-commerce adoption. We use the number of e-commerce and non-e-commerce firm entries at the city-month level from 2015 to 2021 aggregated from the registration database as a key outcome variable. We then employ an event study approach in relation to the timing of nationwide lockdown to measure the extensive growth margin. Compared with the same period prior to the pandemic, the year-on-year growth in firm entries has exhibited a V-shaped pattern since the lockdown in January 2020, with entries of e-commerce firms experiencing a less pronounced initial drop and a quicker rebound. Evidently, the COVID-19 restrictions have spurred more rapid growth in the entries of e-commerce firms compared with non-e-commerce firms.

Moreover, to analyze the intensive margin, we rely primarily on the business registration database and use the alteration of business operation scope related to e-commerce by existing firms as a proxy for incremental digitization. The same event study approach as for firm entries shows that among incumbent firms having altered business operation scope, the share of e-commerce adoption witnessed a marked growth in response to the COVID-19 shock,

⁴A recent McKinsey Global Survey of executives at large firms suggests a affirmative answer. It shows that firm responses to COVID-19 have accelerated companies' adoption of digital technologies, and the digital changes are expected to be long-lasting and essential for recovery. Please refer to <https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/how-covid-19-has-pushed-companies-over-the-technology-tipping-point-and-transformed-business-forever> and <https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/the-covid-19-recovery-will-be-digital-a-plan-for-the-first-90-days>.

and the effect persisted at least one year after full reopening. In addition, using the multi-round quarterly OSOME surveys from 2020 to 2021, we find that SMEs in regions exposed to sporadic local lockdowns (after the nationwide lockdown and reopening), including the unregistered ones, are more likely to adopt online operation, online sales, remote work, and electronic information systems.

The Chinese setting offers several advantages in answering the aforementioned economic questions. First, China is the largest e-commerce and FinTech market, with a massive number of SMEs varying in the extent of digitization.⁵ Second, the lockdown was immediate and reasonably uniform across the nation, so was the reopening, which rules out endogeneity concerns that the timing or size of the mitigation and reopening policies are correlated with the level of digitization.⁶ Third, and perhaps most importantly, due to the early stringent mitigation policies, China was able to keep the initial infection rate low and reopen the economy by April 2020. Having reopened the economy for more than a year allows the Chinese setting to offer insights for other economies with plans to reopen (at the time of our writing) and a glimpse into the post-pandemic world.

Our study contributes to the literature on SMEs, especially concerning their performance and adjustments throughout the pandemic. The sparse literature on the resilience of firms to shocks focuses on how clusters help to increase firms' resilience to external shocks (Martin et al., 2013; Crespo et al., 2014; Kranton and Minehart, 2000) and how intervention policies help (e.g., Bartlett III and Morse, 2020; Chen et al., 2020). Several recent studies survey

⁵Claessens et al. (2018), Frost et al. (2019), and Frost (2020) show an inverse relationship between the competitiveness of a country's financial sector and FinTech adoption. They find a higher adoption in emerging and developing economies where the population is more likely to be underserved by traditional banks financial systems.

⁶COVID-19 broke out in Wuhan in December 2019. Over January 2020, the infection spread to multiple other cities and the pandemic unfolded. The government took immediate actions to implement various mitigation policies. The coincidence of the Lunar New Year and the lockdown also implies that the policies were fully implemented, forcing people to stay in their hometowns, preventing them from resuming their jobs elsewhere, and limiting the spread of the virus.

small businesses, mostly in developed countries, shortly after the onset of the pandemic (e.g., Bartik et al., 2020a; Bartlett III and Morse, 2020; Humphries et al., 2020; Fairlie, 2020).⁷ These studies focus on impact heterogeneity (Chetty et al., 2020; Adams-Prassl et al., 2020), implications for business owners (Alekseev et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020), and corporate hiring (Campello et al., 2020). More specifically in the Chinese context, Dai et al. (2021b) compare the efficacy of policies targeted at SMEs against the toll from the pandemic and lockdown.

We add to this body of work by analyzing the differential impacts of the pandemic on firms at different levels of digitization, which offers a new perspective on the survival mechanisms of small businesses. In particular, we emphasize how digitization, such as e-commerce adoption, enhances business resilience. Consistent with our findings on firms' digital edge, Kwan et al. (2021) document that public U.S. banks with better IT originate more PPP loans and attracted more deposits during the pandemic. Several other studies similarly examine public firms and document how credit risk, financial flexibility, workplace flexibility, managerial ownership, executive entrenchment, financial policies, differential COVID-19 exposures, environmental and social policies affect firm resilience and outcomes such as stock returns (Acharya and Steffen, 2020; Albuquerque et al., 2020; Ramelli and Wagner, 2020; Ding et al., 2021; Fahlenbrach et al., 2021; Barry et al., 2021).

Our study complements and differs from these studies by analyzing SMEs which are mostly private, in all sectors, and in an emerging economy. While the literature has identified managerial talent, financial flexibility, and governance to be important drivers for resilience

⁷Bartik et al. (2020b) examine variations in shutdown rates in a survey of 5800 U.S. businesses carried out between March 28 and April 4, 2020. Similarly, Fairlie (2020), Humphries et al. (2020), and Campello et al. (2020) also document business closures and mass layoffs early in the pandemic. Crane et al. (2020) investigate permanent shutdown rates in the US, and how these varied across different industries. Similar to Bartik et al. (2020b) who survey beliefs of the evolution the pandemic, Balla-Elliott et al. (2020) survey small business owners' expectations about their re-opening and future demand and the interaction of the two. They find that demand from consumers and downstream firms plays an important role.

amidst the pandemic, we show several novel channels through which digitization enhances resilience. In particular, given the immediate large negative impact on consumption (Chen et al., 2021), robust consumer demands (including online consumption) as well as more timely payment and faster turnover of firm working capital are both crucial for recovery. We show that they are both specifically affected by the digital technology. Moreover, our surveys span pre-pandemic to post-reopening episodes and allow us to provide the first evidence on the long run impact of the pandemic on SME digitization, complementing Barry et al. (2021) showing that large size and workplace inflexibility make firms inclined to increase automation immediately after the breakout of the pandemic.

Our study also contributes to the emerging literature on FinTech adoption (e.g., Agarwal et al., 2020). According to the Plaid Report 2020, FinTech adoption has been accelerating amid the pandemic: 59% of Americans use more apps to manage money now than before COVID-19; 73% of surveyed people said they plan to continue managing most of their finances digitally; 80% of Americans say they favor contactless digital solutions.⁸ Although FinTech deals decreased drastically during the first quarters of 2020 due to the lockdown, digital financial services will likely thrive as FinTechs are widely seen as natural remedies (CB Insights, 2020; Zachariadis et al., 2020). Recently, Fu and Mishra (2020) document that the COVID-19 pandemic and associated mitigation policies have led to sharp increases in daily downloads of FinTech apps, whereas Tut (2020) finds a negative impact on the adoption of FinTech payment systems. Our study not only provides rigorous empirical evidence to complement industry reports but also extends the discussion beyond FinTech to business digitization in general.

Broadly concerning technology adoption and digitization, through longitudinal interviews of musicians in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, Shklovski et al. (2010) find that disaster

⁸Please refer to <https://plaid.com/documents/the-fintech-effect-spotlight-on-covid.pdf>.

experience only had a temporary pick-up on computer-based communication and information technologies. Several other studies focus on network externality and coordination (e.g., Crouzet et al., 2019; Higgins, 2019), demographics (Carlin et al., 2017), and individual trusts (Rossi and Utkus, 2020) in FinTech adoption. Different from these studies, our paper focuses on SMEs rather than on consumers and households, examining whether the effect on digitization is lasting. Through combining surveys and other data sources, we also explore the underlying mechanisms, which are new to the literature.

We organize the remainder of the article as follows. Section 2 describes the data and survey design. Section 3 presents evidence of the digital edge of some SMEs amidst the COVID-19 outbreak and reopening. Section 4 discusses how the pandemic has accelerated the digital transformation of SMEs, with potential persistent effects. Section 5 concludes.

2 Data and Survey Design

We assemble several large-scale data sets (including both manually collected primary data and proprietary data from third parties) concerning small and medium-sized enterprises in China and their digitization. The scale and coverage of the data mark one main contribution. We first conduct a representative survey of Chinese entrepreneurs in seven provinces, the Enterprise Survey of Innovation and Entrepreneurship in China (ESIEC), which includes both multiple rounds of in-person surveys and three follow-on phone interviews in 2020, in order to provide first-hand information on the response and recovery during the COVID-19 pandemic. At the aggregated level, we also exploit the business registration database from the State Administration of Industry and Commerce (SAIC) in China. Finally, we conducted six rounds of Online Surveys of Micro-and-Small Enterprises (OSOME) to collect additional information from SMEs using Alipay, the platform of Alibaba from the third quarter of 2020

to the fourth quarter of 2021.

ESIEC survey data. ESIEC is an entrepreneur- and enterprise-specific joint field survey project led by the Center of Enterprise Research, Peking University, covering seven provinces.⁹ ESIEC has successfully interviewed nearly 10,000 private enterprise owners and self-employed entrepreneurs since 2016, collecting high-quality and representative microdata on the entrepreneurs' background and business performances.

After the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in China, the ESIEC team immediately conducted phone surveys (each an independent draw from previously interviewed entrepreneurs in the baseline survey) in February and May and a new private firm sample in August, 2020 (see Figure 1 for the timeline of the survey). The questionnaire mainly focused on the firm's reopening and operational status, challenges, responses, and prospects. Supplementary information can be matched with the baseline survey and the SAIC data.¹⁰

The first two rounds of surveys in February and May 2020 tracked firms drawn from the 2017, 2018, and 2019 ESIEC surveys. We received 2,513 responses in the first round between February 11 and 16 and 2,508 responses (some respondents in Feb reportedly shut down their businesses in May) in the second round from May 18 to 24, 2020. Overall, the completion rate is about 50% for those with valid contact information.¹¹ As shown in Dai et al. (2021b), although the ESIEC sample is designed to be representative only in the chosen provinces,

⁹The ESIEC sample covered Henan Province in 2017, six provinces in the 2018 baseline survey (Shanghai, Henan, Gansu, Guangdong, Zhejiang, and Liaoning), and Beijing in a supplementary study on high-tech firms in 2019. As of the writing of this manuscript, the ESIEC project alliance includes Peking University, Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, Harbin Institute of Technology at Shenzhen, Shanghai University of International Business and Economics, and Central University of Finance and Economics.

¹⁰The original Chinese-language survey questionnaire in both English- and Spanish-language versions, as well as a technical note about the details of the survey process can be found at <https://www.cgdev.org/blog/measuring-impact-coronavirus-global-smes-survey-instrument-chinese-english-and-spanish>.

¹¹In China, all the firms are required to provide contact information, including phone numbers, at the time of registration, which are subsequently updated if any changes occur.

the distribution across one-digit industries ends up mirroring that in the China Economic Census of 2018. The distribution of firm size measured in employment and revenue also matches well with data at the national level, indicating representativeness of our data.¹²

From August 14 to 21, 2020, the ESIEC team conducted another phone survey on a newly drawn sample of incorporated enterprises in the six baseline provinces from the 2018 in-person survey. This third round received 2,272 responses, enabling us to examine various outcomes several months after the reopening. After dropping observations missing the main variable and those on firms that shut down before the pandemic outbreak, we still have 1,678, 1,715, and 1,521 observations for the three waves of the phone interview, respectively. In our analyses, we treat them as independent cross-sections.

By merging the ESIEC phone interview data with the baseline surveys and the SAIC data, we are able to study whether firms with e-commerce activities prior to the shock performed better during and after the COVID restrictions in terms of reopening, recovery, and cash flow. The variable of interest is the share of online sales inferred from the field surveys in 2017-2019. The reopening status is a dummy variable defined based on the multi-rounds of telephone interviews. Table 1 contains the summary statistics of the key variables from the ESIEC survey used in our main analyses.

SAIC registration data. The SAIC business registration database covers the universe of registered businesses in China. It contains information about location, sector, date of establishment, registered capital, business operation scope, ownership type, the list of shareholders and managers, and the alteration record for all the registered businesses. It has

¹²For detailed information, please refer to Fig. 1 and 2 in Dai et al. (2021b).

greater coverage of small, medium, and micro enterprises than other firm-level databases.¹³ Because the SAIC registration data is up-to-date, we can analyze firm responses during the pandemic and after the reopening.¹⁴

The business registration database includes the “business operation scope” record, which is a mandatory, regulated, and standardized text record briefing what business operations an enterprise is approved to conduct.¹⁵ We can therefore extract information from the records of entrant firms’ business operation scope using natural language processing (NLP) tools we develop, in order to measure digitization.¹⁶ In particular, since e-commerce is a salient indicator of digitization, we first utilize the NLP method to classify enterprises as e-commerce and non-e-commerce ones, creating a binary variable about the status of e-commerce adoption. Then we calculate the total numbers of entrant firms at the city-industry-month level related and unrelated to e-commerce (distinguished by the above binary variable), respectively. This outcome variable captures the extensive margin of SMEs’ digital transformation. We also use the four-digit industry codes in the firm registration data to classify SMEs into online and offline businesses in the wholesale and retail industries, in which online sales are clearly labeled.

¹³In contrast, the commonly used Annual Survey of Industrial Firms (ASIF) in China, also known as China Industry Business Performance Database, covers manufacturing enterprises with annual sales over a threshold of 5 million RMB. It contains most large Chinese firms while leaving out SMEs in the manufacturing sector and all the firms in the service sector that make up the majority of Chinese firms. Besides, the National Bureau of Statistics in China has not released the most recent ASIF data, precluding studies on firm responses to the COVID-19 shock using the data.

¹⁴Dai et al. (2021a) have used the dataset to examine the role of clusters in businesses’ buffering of the COVID-19 shock.

¹⁵For standards and rules of completing the business operation scope registration, please refer to <https://bj.jyfwyun.com/#/visitor/home>.

¹⁶Specifically, we extract keywords associated with e-commerce sales and other types of digitization from the “business operation scope.” We then develop and apply natural language processing (NLP) tools to classify registered firms in terms of their level of digitization. For a brief description on the NLP method, please refer to Appendix C. As a validation, our algorithm performs well in distinguishing online wholesale and retail firms from their offline counterparts. We also manually labeled training sets from ESIEC and OSOME, respectively, to conduct robustness tests. The method is more likely to underestimate rather than overestimate, if any, the extent of both new firm’s digital transformation and incumbents’ adoption since firms can try online sales on a small scale without registration.

Furthermore, we apply the same NLP classification to the records of incumbent firms’ “alteration record of business operation scope” to construct a second dummy proxy for incumbent firms’ e-commerce adoption. Specifically, the sample only includes firms that have changed the contents of the business operation scope.¹⁷ A dummy variable for incumbent firms’ adoption of e-commerce takes a value of one if the alteration entails changes from content without any e-commerce keywords to words related to e-commerce, and zero otherwise.¹⁸ Once again, the numbers of incumbents’ alterations related and unrelated to e-commerce adoption, respectively, are also aggregated at the city-year-month level.

OSOME surveys. The quarterly OSOME survey is conducted by Peking University, Ant Group Research Institute, and MYBank, focusing on the small and micro businesses which are active users of Alipay, including small, incorporated enterprises, and both registered and unregistered self-employed businesses.¹⁹ Alipay reached 1.2 billion monthly users in 2019 and is the primary payment method for 90% of people in China’s largest cities, along with WeChat Pay (Klein, 2020).²⁰

The questionnaire mainly includes topics on business operation performance, COVID-19 recovery, digital adoption (online sales, remote work, and introduction of electronic information systems), challenges, and business outlook. The OSOME data provide a unique and up-to-date supplementary source for documenting the benefits and costs of online sales

¹⁷There is a possibility that some firms, which actually adopted e-commerce, have failed to update the contents of the business operation in reflecting the change. The classification error, if any, will underestimate the actual degree of digitization found in this paper.

¹⁸That is, the dummy variable for an incumbent’s adoption of e-commerce is 1 if and only if the binary e-commerce proxy from the NLP method is 0 for the pre-alteration business operation scope record and 1 for the after-alteration one.

¹⁹The active SME on the Alipay platform is defined as those that had, in the past twelve months, transactions in at least three months, more than 90 transactions, and a total transaction turnover of more than 2,000 yuan RMB.

²⁰See also http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2019-10/01/c_138440413.htm and <https://www.techinasia.com/wechat-cashless-china-data>.

as well as the adoption of other digital technologies over time, which potentially serve as corroborating evidence for the basic patterns we identify from the SAIC and ESIEC data.

Table 2 shows the summary statistics of the OSOME data. The full sample covers six quarters spanning from the third quarter of 2020 to the fourth quarter of 2021. The sample respondents of the OSOME survey have been consistent in terms of their basic characteristics over the past six quarters, with the majority of sampled SMEs in the service industry (82.4%) and even distribution across different levels of cities. It also covers a larger share (38.7% in the full sample) of unregistered, self-employed businesses that have been neglected in previous research. Besides, nearly 33.3% of the business owners reported not hiring full-time employees, and 55.7% between one and ten employees. In the full sample, we mainly utilize online operations and sales as the outcome variables. A few more questions on digital transformation (remote work and electronic information systems) have been added to the questionnaire since the fourth quarter of 2020, enabling us to examine other forms of digitization besides e-commerce. The basic characteristics of the subsample excluding the third quarter in 2020 remain the same as those the whole sample.

3 A Digital Edge Among Small Businesses?

In this section, we rely on the ESIEC data to investigate how digitization helps SMEs mitigate the systematic shock since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic—the digital edge. The key variables of interest on firm performance include shrinking market order as a main challenge, cash flow condition, reopening status, and expectation for growth. We use the continuous ratio of online sales to total sales, *E-commerce ratio*, reported in the baseline survey in 2017, 2018, or 2019 as a measure of digitization when we analyze the February and May waves of ESIEC survey; we then use the (continuous) ratio in the first half of 2020 for

the August wave because this round was based on a newly drawn sample (following the same procedure of random sampling as in the samples from 2017, 2018, and 2019). Although we use the continuous measure in the regression, it is helpful to also examine a binary measure (*E-commerce ratio* > 0). As Table 1 shows, nearly 24.2% of SMEs in the ESIEC sample had adopted online sales. We further show in Appendix Figure A.2 that the digitization ratio varies across different industries and goes up as the scale of employment increases. The percentage of online sales is the lowest for agricultural businesses with fewer than ten employees (11.1%), implying the large potential benefit of digitization.

Table 3 presents results using Ordinary Linear Square (OLS) regressions, which are also robust under Probit and Logit specifications. Panels A-D report the estimate for the key variable of interest, the e-commerce ratio, on the four outcome variables. The controls include employment, year of establishment, a dummy for incorporated business, city-level COVID-19 confirmed cases, and city-level COVID-19 case growth in the past 30 days. Employment can be regarded as a proxy for firm size. We also control for the city and one-digit industry fixed effects in the regressions, although we omit them in the table for clarity.

In the first regression (Column (1)), the three waves of data are pooled, and wave dummies are controlled. Columns (2)-(4) present separate regressions for each wave. The pooled and separate regression yield highly consistent results. Overall, having a higher fraction of online sales is associated with better subsequent firm performance.

Specifically, at the height of the lockdown, consumers turned almost entirely to online shopping. Even after the lockdown ended, there were still many restrictions in place, which limited people from shopping in physical retail stores. Because of the COVID restrictions, lack of market demand was persistently reported as a major challenge in the three waves of ESIEC survey (see also Dai et al., 2021b). Yet there is a sharp difference in the demand for

online sales and offline sales. Figure 2 displays the national trends of year-on-year growth rate for online and offline retail sales from January 2016 to October 2021.²¹ Within this period, the growth rate for online sales consistently exceeded that for offline sales. During the lockdown in early 2020, both online and offline sales saw a sharp decline. Yet the drop in growth rate was more pronounced for offline retail sales than for online sales. After the reopening, the growth rate is still negative for offline consumption.

After the spread of COVID-19 was reined in, online sales witnessed a more rapid V-shaped rebound than offline retail sales. By the end of 2020, the year-on-year growth rate for online sales exceeded 10 percent, while the growth rate for offline retail sales remained in the negative territory. Facing the more robust demand for online sales, e-commerce firms were naturally less likely to report demand decline as a main challenge than those without online sales, as revealed in Panel A of Table 3.

A firm's cash flow status hinges upon demands for their products or service as well as turnover rates of working capital. Robust demand brings in more steady cash flow to e-commerce SMEs. Moreover, the digital payment systems used in major online platforms in China help solve the delayed payment problem plaguing traditional trade, ensuring a faster payment. E-commerce firms can immediately receive payment once customers verify their satisfaction with the delivery. The May wave of ESIEC 2020 survey includes questions on accounts receivable and payable. We use the May survey to test the impact of e-commerce on firm's financial situations. Column (1) of Table 4 shows that e-commerce helped firms maintain a relatively low level of accounts receivable, measured by the ratio to current assets.²² SMEs with e-commerce had a 8.6% lower probability of having account receivable

²¹For data in 2021, we calculate the two-years average growth rate (geometric mean) to alleviate the influence of base effect.

²²The "current assets" in the questionnaire is defined as the sum of inventories, accounts receivable, cash, and cash equivalents.

that was larger than half of the current assets than those without. Given that the average is 26.4% for the whole sample, it implies that digitization can help SMEs alleviate cash flow issues during the pandemic and lockdown significantly. We also find that e-commerce reduced the repayment period of account receivable and entrepreneurs' uncertainty towards it as shown in Columns (2) and (3).

All three rounds of ESIEC phone surveys contain the cash flow question. The estimates in Panel B of Table 3 show that firms with online sales have reported better cash flow status in February, May, and August 2020, as measured by whether cash flow can sustain operation over a month.

Thanks to the combination of robust market demand and faster capital turnover associated with e-commerce, firms with a greater share of online sales exhibited a higher reopening rate than those without or lower share of online sales (Panel C of Table 3). Firms with a higher share of online sales are more capable to reopen than others in February, May and August 2020. A firm with fully online sales is estimated to have a 6.0% higher probability of reopening on average than a counterpart with fully offline sales as of February 2020. Given that the average reopening rate in our February sample is 19.5%, it implies that the adoption of e-commerce can significantly help firms respond to the crisis. Not only did firms with online sales have higher reopening rate, but also they held a more optimistic outlook for future growth (Panel D of Table 3). These findings show that e-commerce provides firms an edge in coping with the pandemic.

To do the robustness check, we further control for more firm-level pre-COVID characteristics and the owner's background information. Specifically, the supplementary control variables for firms' owners include their age, gender, working experience before starting the business, and education level. We also include some dummy variables of performance

surveyed in the baseline, such as innovation or new product, revenue, on-the-job training, government subsidies, and the firm’s R&D investment prior to the pandemic. Appendix Table B.1 provides a descriptive statistics for them. Note that some variables are not included in the pooled sample and August wave because the August wave phone interview didn’t collect relevant information. We present the robustness check result in Appendix Table B.2 and Table B.3, remaining consistent and robust, though losing some observations.

4 Digital Transformation

Having observed the positive effect of digitization on improving the resilience of SMEs to the COVID-19 shocks, a natural question arises: How does the pandemic transform SMEs after the mitigation policies are largely eased, especially in terms of firms’ digitization? We next consider both the extensive and intensive margins of the SMEs’ digital transformation, which are captured using SAIC registration data, as described in Section 2. The extensive margin focuses on the new firm entry, while the intensive margin examines whether incumbents increasingly adopt digital technologies after the pandemic.

4.1 Identification Strategy

Similar to Fang et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021), and Dai et al. (2021a), we use the Wuhan lockdown following the first outbreak of the COVID-19 in China as an exogenous shock to examine the impact on SMEs in China in a difference-in-differences framework. Since all SMEs are treated with the COVID-19 shock, the second difference is not in the cross section, but in the time series, with the “control group” being essentially firms in previous years who

never experienced the COVID-19 shock. Specifically,

$$\ln(Y_{imy}) = \sum_m (\beta_m \times COVID_y \times Dummy_m) + \alpha_i + \gamma_m + \theta_y + \eta_{iy} + \varepsilon_{imy}, \quad (1)$$

where i indicates the city (prefecture) a firm is located in, m indexes the month(s) and y the year. We define m according to the Lunar calendar and set the Lunar New Year’s eve as $m = 0$ since it coincides with the nationwide lockdown policy.²³ This is important because the Lunar New Year is a traditional holiday when firms close their businesses and new firm registration or alteration is paused even before the pandemic. $COVID_y$ equals one for year 2020 and after (i.e., the treatment indicator), and zero otherwise. $Dummy_m$ is a dummy variable indicating the month gap between the month of observations and the Lunar New Year’s Eve. We further control for the city (α_i), month (γ_m), year (θ_y), and the city-year (η_{iy}) fixed effects. Standard errors are clustered at the city level. The sample period is January 2015 to April 2021. In sum, we compare the outcome variables in 2020 (and 2021) to itself in the same matched lunar calendar period from 2015 to 2019 prior to the pandemic. Therefore, our data enable us to track and investigate the effect of the COVID shock.

As for the dependent variable, we first use the logarithm number of new entrants (plus one), $\ln(entry_{imy} + 1)$, as the outcome variable. As described in Section 2, we divide the entrant firms into two groups in several ways: (i) we apply our NLP classification based on firms’ business operation scope to generate a binary variable on whether a firm has e-commerce operations; (ii) we use the online and offline firms in wholesale and retail sectors by the natural four-digit industry code. Then we aggregate the number of new entrants for the two groups, respectively. Next, we examine the intensive margin of digitization by

²³Wuhan lockdown was implemented in January 23, 2020, and other provinces in China took lockdown policies in the following days. The Lunar New Year’s Eve was in January 24, 2020.

exploiting the alteration records on business operation scope to quantify incumbent SMEs' digital transformation. We apply the same NLP tools as described in Section 2 and Appendix C to the alteration record and aggregate the number (plus one) related and unrelated to e-commerce adoption, respectively, into logarithm form $\ln(\textit{alteration}_{imy} + 1)$ as another outcome variable.

The set of coefficients β_m over time captures the dynamic impact of the COVID-19 outbreak and reopening on the outcome variables of interest. Since the outcome variable is in logarithmic form, the coefficient can be interpreted as the percentage change in outcomes driven by the shock. We aggregate the data at the monthly level unless otherwise specified. Units without new entry or alteration are set to zero in our dataset. We aggregate the raw data at the city level (unless otherwise specified) to alleviate the problem arising from having too many identical 0 values. The β_m estimates help us gain insights from the evolution of groups with different levels of digitization.

4.2 Empirical Result

We start by documenting several stylized facts. The COVID-19 pandemic had an enormous impact on small and micro businesses' entry in China. Using the aggregated number of newly registered entrants as the dependent variable in our specification (1), we plot the coefficients β_m in Appendix Figure A.1(a). As shown in the figure, the start and beginning progression of the shock led to a huge decrease in new firm entries. In the first two months after the outbreak, the number of new entrants drops 83.4% and 31.2%, respectively, controlling for geographical differences and aggregate trends. After the pandemic was (temporarily) reined in and the economy reopened, firm creations had fully rebounded by the end of April (the difference-in-differences estimate is statistically indistinguishable from zero). In the

following ten months, the coefficients remain at the pre-crisis level.

Business adjustment of incumbents, measured by the number of alteration records on business operation scope and as shown in Appendix Figure A.1(b), exhibits a similar V-shaped pattern. The patterns reveal that firms experienced initial drops of -77.6% and -25.5% in the first two months and rebounded quickly. After the reopening, the coefficients are slightly below the pre-crisis level, probably reflecting that some firms shut down during the lockdown.

We study the extensive margin of the COVID-19 on new firm entries for e-commerce and non-e-commerce groups classified by the NLP method. As Figure 3 shows, the number of new entries with e-commerce mode in all industries dropped less rapidly during the peak lockdown than their counterparts and recovered a bit faster thereafter. More importantly, the coefficients of e-commerce firms are significantly positive since the third month and kept a sustained gap with the non-e-commerce group. This implies a persistent effect that the COVID-19 pandemic has in spurring digital transformation of SME entries in China.

For robustness, we compare the online and offline wholesale and retail (W&R) sectors using the above specification. We split W&R firms naturally into online and offline based on the four-digit industrial classification code when they registered.²⁴ Figure 4 shows that online new entrants in W&R industries were significantly less affected than their offline counterparts, and the online entrants kept growing for nearly half a year after the reopening, while the growth of traditional offline W&R was stagnant.

Next, we examine the intensive margin of the digital transformation of incumbent SMEs. Specifically, we extract alteration records of business operation scope to construct subgroups. Firms with alteration from non-e-commerce to e-commerce form the “Scope Alteration to

²⁴Similar to the textual analysis on business operation scope, the classification by industry code leads to underestimation, if anything, that goes against significant findings.

E-commerce” group and other firms with changes in business operation scope form a comparison group. To this end, we apply the same natural language tools as above to both the pre- and post-alteration texts in each record. The (logarithm after plus one) number of records by year-month-city is aggregated as the dependent variable in our specification. Figure 5 plots the estimated coefficients for this empirical design. As documented above, immediately after the COVID-19 outbreak, overall registration alteration dropped by 77.6 percent. By comparison, the alteration to e-commerce business declined by 53.8 percent, much less than other business operation scope changes. The effect on e-commerce transformation turned significantly positive in the second month, and the gap between alteration to e-commerce and the comparison further widened twelve months after the outbreak. The year-on-year growth for firms changing their operation scope to e-commerce was as high as 82.8 percent towards the end of the sample period, compared to negative growth for firms with other types of business scope alteration. This piece of evidence corroborates the gap observed in new entries following the end of the national lockdown that persists even one year later.

The above analyses are based on the business registration data, yet nearly half of self-employed businesses are not registered in China (Kong et al., 2021). The OSOME survey includes not only registered incorporated companies (10.7%, as shown in Table 2) and registered self-employed (50.9%) but also unregistered businesses (38.4%) operating on the Alipay platform. Although the nationwide lockdown ended in April 2020, there have still been sporadic local lockdowns since then. We have manually gathered the local lockdown information and matched them with the quarterly OSOME survey. The OSOME questionnaire includes questions on online operation, remote work, and the adoption of various electronic information systems. Since the surveys cover at least six quarters, we can make use of the spatial and temporal variations in local lockdowns to evaluate the impact of COVID restrictions on

digital transformation for small- and micro-enterprises, including those unregistered ones.

To this end, we further report the estimation results following a similar specification:

$$Y_{ijcq} = \beta \times (COVID_c \times After_q) + \mathbf{x}'_i \theta + \gamma_q + \zeta_c + \eta_j + \alpha_{cj} + \delta_{cy} + \mu_{iy} + \varepsilon_{icqj}, \quad (2)$$

where the subscript indicates that a firm i in industry j located in city c was surveyed in quarter q of year y . The key explanatory variable of interest is a dummy variable ($COVID_c \times After_q$), which equals one if a business is located in a city that was subject to local lockdown prior to the survey, and zero otherwise. The control variables include firm age (i.e., established year), owner's age, owner's gender, business type (incorporation and registration status), employment, and quarter revenue. The OLS regression also controls for the city, industry, quarter (wave), city \times industry, city \times year, and industry \times year fixed effects.²⁵

Table 5 first reports the estimation results concerning online operation and sales.²⁶ The dependent variable in Column (1) is a dummy variable, indicating that a firm has online operations. The dependent variable in Column (2) is one if an enterprise operates both online and offline, while in Column (3), it is restricted to online operations only. The dependent variable in Column (4) is a dummy for online sales. Panel A includes the whole sample, while Panels B and C further restrict the analyses to new entry and incumbent subsamples, respectively. As it shows, exposure to local lockdowns is significantly associated with a subsequent higher probability of having online operations for the whole sample and incumbents. Compared with the average, the share of SMEs taking online operations has increased 5.2% for the whole sample and 6.5% for incumbents, especially those relying on both

²⁵The results are robust under Probit or Ordered Probit models.

²⁶Online operation includes online advertisement, promotion, recommendation, design, etc.

offline and online operations. In contrast, new entries rely more on total online operations (37.8% growth compared to the average level) and less on the combination of offline and online.²⁷ Similarly, Column (4) shows that following COVID-19 restrictions has accelerated the adoption of online sales (4.7% growth to the average), and the impact concentrates on incumbent SMEs (5.8% growth to the average).

Table 6 further reports the impact of exposures to lockdowns on adopting remote work and electronic information systems.²⁸ The specification is the same as in Table 5. The questions were not included in the questionnaire until the fourth quarter of 2020. As a result, We dropped the first wave of OSOME from the sample when conducting the empirical analyses. After a local lockdown, businesses, in particular incumbents, are more likely to adopt remote work mode. Given that only 15.3% of respondents have adopted remote work, the impact of local lockdown explains a nearly 16.3% increase for the whole sample. The magnitude is even more prominent when restricted to the incumbents (18.4%). Besides, incumbent businesses tend to adopt the sale electronic information system. Compared to the average level, the lockdown leads to an 8.8% increase in the sale system adoption. However, we do not observe an association between exposures to COVID-19 restrictions and the introduction of electronic systems for newly established businesses. Overall, local lockdowns have induced small businesses to develop online operations and adopt remote work modes.²⁹

²⁷A potential concern is that this result reflects a survivorship bias, i.e., SMEs operating online are more likely to survive and respond to the survey. We dispel the concern by showing that there is not a systematic gap in transactions between survey respondents and all active SMEs on the Alipay platform using the same criteria as specified in footnote 19, within each industry and location.

²⁸The electronic information system on management includes staff management, office automation (OA), and Cloud storage. None of these adoptions has been positively or negatively impacted by the lockdown during the research period.

²⁹We also show in the appendix that digitization in terms of online operation (Figure A.3) and electronic information system adoption (Figure A.4) vary across different industries and increases as the scale of employment increases, while micro businesses in the agriculture sector have a share of 30.7% in adopting online operation and 30.5% in electronic information systems, respectively.

5 Conclusion

The rapid digitization of SMEs in China benefited from numerous supporting infrastructures, such as broadband connection, network services, digital payment platforms, and warehouses, which were already in place prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. We capture digitization using proxies such as the ratio of online sales and document that SMEs with greater digitization are more resilient to the pandemic shock. We also show that firms with online sales had more robust market demand and faster turnover of working capital than those without online sales. Thereby they reported better cash flow situations and were more likely to reopen during and after the lockdown. They also held a more optimistic view on future growth.

Cognizant of these digital edges, both entrants and incumbents have increasingly embraced digitization and e-commerce during the outbreak and after the reopening. We find that after the lockdown in January 2020, firm entries have exhibited a V-shaped pattern, with new entries of e-commerce firms experiencing a shallower initial drop and a quicker rebound. The COVID-19 pandemic has also accelerated the adoption of digital technology in existing firms in various dimensions (captured by, e.g., the alteration of operation scope to include e-commerce activities, allowing remote work, and adoption of electronic information systems), with persistent effects.

Our main specification using e-commerce as a measure of digitization likely underestimates the true degree of digitization. Some other countries may lack the necessary infrastructure for the digital transformation seen in China in response to the COVID-19 shock. For example, only about 50 percent of Mexico's population had a bank account, compared to 80% in India prior to the COVID-19 shock, although its per capita GDP was four times of Mexico (Bandura and Ramanujam, 2021). Nevertheless, the pandemic may promote digital

infrastructure development in these countries, which in turn transforms small businesses and braces them for future recessions and economic downturns.³⁰ Our study, therefore, constitutes an initial step towards understanding firm resilience and the lasting transformative effect of the COVID-19 pandemic and similar systematic shocks. Designing the optimal path to digitization and understanding its implications for the labor market and regulation constitute interesting future research.

References

- Acharya, Viral V and Sascha Steffen**, “The risk of being a fallen angel and the corporate dash for cash in the midst of COVID,” *The Review of Corporate Finance Studies*, 2020, 9 (3), 430–471.
- Adams-Prassl, Abi, Teodora Boneva, Marta Golin, and Christopher Rauh**, “Inequality in the impact of the coronavirus shock: Evidence from real time surveys,” *Journal of Public Economics*, 2020, 189, 104245.
- Agarwal, Sumit, Wenlan Qian, Yuan Ren, Hsin-Tien Tsai, and Bernard Yin Yeung**, “The real impact of fintech: Evidence from mobile payment technology,” *Available at SSRN 3556340*, 2020.
- Albuquerque, Rui, Yrjo Koskinen, Shuai Yang, and Chendi Zhang**, “Resiliency of environmental and social stocks: An analysis of the exogenous COVID-19 market crash,” *The Review of Corporate Finance Studies*, 2020, 9 (3), 593–621.
- Alekseev, Georgij, Safaa Amer, Manasa Gopal, Theresa Kuchler, John W Schneider, Johannes Stroebel, and Nils Wernerfelt**, “The Effects of COVID-19 on US Small Businesses: Evidence from Owners, Managers, and Employees,” *Managers, and Employees (September 10, 2020)*, 2020.
- Allen, Franklin, Rajesh Chakrabarti, Sankar De, Meijun Qian et al.**, “Financing firms in India,” *Journal of financial intermediation*, 2012, 21 (3), 409–445.
- Balla-Elliott, Dylan, Zoe Cullen, Edward L Glaeser, Michael Luca, and Christopher Stanton**, “Business reopening decisions and demand forecasts during the COVID-19 pandemic,” *Harvard Business School Entrepreneurial Management Working Paper*, 2020, (20-132), 20–132.
- Bandura, Romina and Sundar R. Ramanujam**, “Developing Inclusive Digital Payment Systems,” Working Paper, Center for Strategic and International Studies 2021.

³⁰Please refer to <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-latam-mercadolibre-payments-focus/lat-in-american-payment-giant-rises-amid-pandemic-with-an-eye-on-chinas-ant-idUSKBN2751FB> for recent progress in Latin American countries, including Mexico.

- Barry, John W, Murillo Campello, John R Graham, and Yueran Ma**, “Corporate flexibility in a time of crisis,” *Available at SSRN 3778789*, 2021.
- Bartik, Alexander W, Marianne Bertrand, Zoë B Cullen, Edward L Glaeser, Michael Luca, and Christopher T Stanton**, “How are Small Businesses Adjusting to COVID-19? Early Evidence from a Survey,” Working Paper Series, National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.
- , —, **Zoe Cullen, Edward L Glaeser, Michael Luca, and Christopher Stanton**, “The impact of COVID-19 on small business outcomes and expectations,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2020, *117* (30), 17656–17666.
- Campello, Murillo, Gaurav Kankanhalli, and Pradeep Muthukrishnan**, “Corporate hiring under covid-19: Labor market concentration, downskilling, and income inequality,” Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.
- Carlin, Bruce, Arna Olafsson, and Michaela Pagel**, “Fintech adoption across generations: Financial fitness in the information age,” Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research 2017.
- CB Insights**, “Global Fintech funding dropped in Q1 2020,” May 2020.
- Chen, Haiqiang, Wenlan Qian, and Qiang Wen**, “The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Consumption: Learning from High-Frequency Transaction Data,” *AEA Papers and Proceedings*, May 2021, *111*, 307–11.
- Chen, Joy, Zijun Cheng, Kaiji Gong, and Jinlin Li**, “Riding out the covid-19 storm: How government policies affect smes in china,” *Available at SSRN 3660232*, 2020.
- Chetty, Raj, J Friedman, Nathaniel Hendren, and Michael Stepner**, “The economic impacts of COVID-19: Evidence from a new public database built from private sector data,” *Opportunity Insights*, 2020.
- Claessens, Stijn, Jon Frost, Grant Turner, and Feng Zhu**, “Fintech credit markets around the world: size, drivers and policy issues,” *BIS Quarterly Review September*, 2018.
- Crane, Leland Dod, Ryan Decker, Aaron Flaaen, Adrian Hamins-Puertolas, and Christopher Johann Kurz**, “Business exit during the COVID-19 pandemic: Non-traditional measures in historical context,” Working Paper, FEDS Working Paper No. 2020-089R1 2020.
- Crespo, Joan, Raphael Suire, and Jerome Vicente**, “Lock-in or lock-out? How structural properties of knowledge networks affect regional resilience,” *Journal of Economic Geography*, 2014, *14* (1), 199–219.
- Crouzet, Nicolas, Apoorv Gupta, and Filippo Mezzanotti**, “Shocks and technology adoption: Evidence from electronic payment systems,” Working Paper, Northwestern University 2019.
- Dai, Ruochen, Dilip Mookherjee, Yingyue Quan, and Xiaobo Zhang**, “Industrial clusters, networks and resilience to the Covid-19 shock in China,” *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 2021, *183*, 433–455.
- , **Hao Feng, Junpeng Hu, Quan Jin, Huiwen Li, Ranran Wang, Ruixin Wang, Lihe Xu, and Xiaobo Zhang**, “The impact of COVID-19 on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): Evidence from two-wave phone surveys in China,” *China Economic Review*, 2021, *67*, 101607.

- Davis, Steven J, John Haltiwanger, and Scott Schuh**, “Small business and job creation: Dissecting the myth and reassessing the facts,” *Small business economics*, 1996, 8 (4), 297–315.
- Ding, Wenzhi, Ross Levine, Chen Lin, and Wensi Xie**, “Corporate immunity to the COVID-19 pandemic,” *Journal of Financial Economics*, 2021, 141 (2), 802–830.
- Economist, The**, “How the digital surge will reshape finance,” *The Economist*, 2020, Oct 8.
- Fahlenbrach, Rüdiger, Kevin Rageth, and René M Stulz**, “How valuable is financial flexibility when revenue stops? Evidence from the COVID-19 crisis,” *The Review of Financial Studies*, 2021, 34 (11), 5474–5521.
- Fairlie, Robert W**, “The impact of COVID-19 on small business owners: Continued losses and the partial rebound in May 2020,” Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.
- Fang, Hanming, Long Wang, and Yang Yang**, “Human mobility restrictions and the spread of the novel coronavirus (2019-ncov) in china,” *Journal of Public Economics*, 2020, 191, 104272.
- Frost, Jon**, “The economic forces driving fintech adoption across countries,” *The Technological Revolution in Financial Services: How Banks, FinTechs, and Customers Win Together*, 2020, p. 70.
- , **Leonardo Gambacorta, Yi Huang, Hyun Song Shin, and Pablo Zbinden**, “BigTech and the changing structure of financial intermediation,” *Economic Policy*, 2019, 34 (100), 761–799.
- Fu, Jonathan and Mrinal Mishra**, “The Global Impact of COVID-19 on Fintech Adoption,” *Swiss Finance Institute Research Paper*, 2020, (20-38).
- Higgins, Sean**, “Financial technology adoption,” *Northwestern University: Kellogg School of Management*, 2019.
- Humphries, John Eric, Christopher Neilson, and Gabriel Ulyssea**, “The evolving impacts of COVID-19 on small businesses since the CARES Act,” Working Paper, Cowles Foundation Discussion Paper 2020.
- III, Robert P Bartlett and Adair Morse**, “Small business survival capabilities and policy effectiveness: Evidence from oakland,” Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.
- Kabir, Rashad**, “Rise of digital economy: Can bangladesh take the lead?,” Feb 2021.
- Kim, Olivia S, Jonathan A Parker, and Antoinette Schoar**, “Revenue Collapses and the Consumption of Small Business Owners in the Early Stages of the COVID-19 Pandemic,” Working Paper, National Bureau of Economic Research 2020.
- Klein, Aaron**, “China’s digital payments revolution,” *Brookings Institution, Washington*, 2020.
- Kong, Tao, Xiaohan Yang, Ranran Wang, Zijun Cheng, Changyu Ren, Shuo Liu, Zhenhua Li, Fang Wang, Xiaoyin Ma, and Xiaobo Zhang**, “One year after COVID: the challenges and outlook of Chinese micro-and-small enterprises,” *China Economic Journal*, 2021, pp. 1–28.
- Kranton, Rachel E and Deborah F Minehart**, “Networks versus vertical integration,” *The Rand journal of economics*, 2000, pp. 570–601.

- Kwan, Alan, Chen Lin, Vesa Pursiainen, and Mingzhu Tai**, “Stress Testing Banks’ Digital Capabilities: Evidence From the COVID-19 Pandemic,” Working Paper, Working Paper, University of Hong Kong and University of St. Gallen 2021.
- Martin, Phillipe, Thierry Mayer, and Florian Mayneris**, “Are clusters more resilient in crises? Evidence from French exporters in 2008-2009,” Working Paper, CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP9667 2013.
- Qian, Meijun**, “Fixing SME Financing Gap,” *The Business Times*, 2010, Nov 30.
- Ramelli, Stefano and Alexander F Wagner**, “Feverish stock price reactions to COVID-19,” *The Review of Corporate Finance Studies*, 2020, 9 (3), 622–655.
- Rossi, Alberto G and Stephen P Utkus**, “The needs and wants in financial advice: Human versus robo-advising,” *Available at SSRN 3759041*, 2020.
- Shklovski, Irina, Moira Burke, Sara Kiesler, and Robert Kraut**, “Technology adoption and use in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina in New Orleans,” *American Behavioral Scientist*, 2010, 53 (8), 1228–1246.
- Tut, Daniel**, “FinTech and the COVID-19 Pandemic: Evidence from Electronic Payment Systems,” *Available at SSRN 3660987*, 2020.
- Wang, Wei**, “Behind the cover: Time’s coronavirus special report,” Mar 2020.
- Zachariadis, Markos, Pinar Ozcan, and Dize Dinçkol**, “The COVID-19 impact on Fintech: Now is the time to boost investment,” *LSE Business Review*, Apr 2020.

Figures




Figure 1: COVID-19 Outbreak, Reopening, Mitigation Policies, and Surveys

Data source: National Health Commission of China.




Figure 3: Event Study of COVID-19 Outbreak and Reopen on New Firm Entry, by E-commerce and Others

The dependent variable is the logarithm number of newly registered firms plus one. The X-axis label is the month(s) before (negative) or after (positive) each Lunar New Year's Eve. The shaded area shows the 95% confidence intervals. The e-commerce and non-e-commerce enterprises are divided by analyzing the keywords in the business operation scope text. The Spring Festival coefficient ($m = 0$) is omitted as the baseline level (zero as default). The coefficients before five more months and after twelve more months are included in the regression but omitted here. Monthly numbers of firm entries at the city level from 2015 to 2021 are included. All observations are at the city-(lunar) year-month level. Standard errors are clustered at the city level. The regression controls for the city, month, year, and city-year fixed effects. Data source: SAIC registration database.




Figure 4: Event Study of COVID-19 Outbreak and Reopen on Wholesale and Retail New Firm Entry, by Online and Offline

The dependent variable is the logarithm number of newly registered firms plus one. The X-axis label is the month(s) before (negative) or after (positive) each Lunar New Year's Eve. The shaded area shows the 95% confidence intervals. The online and offline wholesales and retail enterprises are divided by four-digit industry code classification. The Spring Festival coefficient ($m = 0$) is omitted as the baseline level (zero as default). The coefficients before five more months and after twelve more months are included in the regression but omitted here. Monthly numbers of firm entries at the city level from 2015 to 2021 are included. All observations are at the city-(lunar) year-month level. Standard errors are clustered at the city level. The regression controls for the city, month, year, and city-year fixed effects. Data source: SAIC registration database.




Figure 5: Event Study of COVID-19 Outbreak and Reopen on Business Operation Scope Alteration, by E-commerce Adoption and Others

The dependent variable is the logarithm number of business operation scope alterations plus one. The X-axis label is the month(s) before (negative) or after (positive) each Lunar New Year's Eve. The shaded area shows the 95% confidence intervals. The two groups are divided by analyzing the keywords in the business operation scope alteration record, where "Scope Alteration to E-commerce" are defined as changing from non-e-commerce to e-commerce business. The Spring Festival coefficient ($m = 0$) is omitted as the baseline level (zero as default). The coefficients before five more months and after twelve more months are included in the regression but omitted here. Monthly numbers of firm entries at the city level from 2015 to 2021 are included. All observations are at the city-(lunar) year-month level. Standard errors are clustered at the city level. The regression controls for the city, month, year, and city-year fixed effects. Data source: SAIC registration database.

Tables

Table 1: Summary Statistics of ESIEC Data

Variable	Pooled		February	May	August
	Mean	S.D.	Mean		
<i>Panel A: Firm level</i>					
Outcomes:					
Order decline as main challenge	0.181	0.385	0.502	0.022	0.007
Cashflow >1 month	0.696	0.460	0.636	0.779	0.669
Reopen status	0.653	0.476	0.195	0.861	0.924
Outlook for growth	0.292	0.455	0.080	0.450	0.359
Main independent variable:					
E-commerce ratio	0.122	0.286	0.069	0.172	0.123
(share of E-commerce ratio >0)	0.242	0.428	0.190	0.275	0.262
Controls:					
Firm age	4.674	2.362	5.299	5.254	3.331
Registered as self-employed	0.161	0.367	0.228	0.237	n.a.
Employment in 2019:					
0-10	0.568	0.495	0.551	0.618	0.529
11-50	0.340	0.474	0.359	0.286	0.380
51-100	0.050	0.219	0.058	0.043	0.051
>100	0.042	0.200	0.032	0.053	0.040
Industry:					
Agriculture	0.077	0.267	0.080	0.079	0.072
Construction and manufacturing	0.209	0.407	0.204	0.197	0.228
Residential service	0.347	0.476	0.393	0.419	0.214
Business service	0.367	0.482	0.323	0.306	0.486
Obs.	4,914		1,678	1,715	1,521
<i>Panel B: City-wave level</i>					
ln(confirmed COVID-19 cases)	3.035	1.389	2.994	2.985	3.156
ln(COVID-19 cases growth in 30 days)	0.102	0.228	0.089	0.089	0.137
Obs.	224		79	84	61

The main independent variable, *E-commerce ratio*, is the ratio of online sales to total sales reported in the baseline survey in 2017, 2018, or 2019, depending on the baseline survey year, for the February and May waves, and in the first half year of 2020 for the August wave. It ranges from 0 to 1. All samples in the August wave are incorporated.

Data source: ESIEC.

Table 2: Summary Statistics of OSOME Data

Variable	Full sample		Exclude 2020Q3	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Main independent variable:				
COVID×After	0.069	0.251	0.088	0.283
(COVID)	0.190	0.392	0.191	0.393
Controls:				
Firm age	4.831	5.393	4.947	5.455
Owner’s age	32.430	9.035	32.490	9.003
Female owner	0.173	0.378	0.172	0.378
Business type:				
Corporate enterprise	0.107	0.309	0.114	0.318
Self-employed, register	0.506	0.500	0.502	0.500
Self-employed, unregister	0.387	0.487	0.384	0.486
Industry:				
Agriculture	0.069	0.254	0.071	0.256
Construction and manufacturing	0.106	0.308	0.113	0.317
Service	0.824	0.381	0.816	0.387
Employment:				
0	0.333	0.471	0.335	0.472
1-4	0.453	0.498	0.445	0.497
5-7	0.104	0.305	0.103	0.305
8-19	0.068	0.251	0.072	0.258
>19	0.043	0.203	0.044	0.205
City tier:				
Tier 1	0.278	0.448	0.276	0.447
Tier 2	0.190	0.392	0.192	0.394
Tier 3	0.123	0.328	0.121	0.326
Tier 4	0.208	0.406	0.208	0.406
Tier 5	0.201	0.401	0.203	0.402
Obs.	84,316		65,036	

The main independent variable, $COVID \times After$, equals one if a business is located in a city with localized lockdowns due to new COVID-19 confirmed cases and was surveyed in a quarter after the outbreak; zero otherwise. Variable $COVID$ equals one if a business is located in a city with newly confirmed sporadic cases and subsequently localized lockdowns, and zero otherwise.

The employment scale is defined as the number of full-time employees receiving a fixed or regular wage in accordance with government regulations, excluding the business owners, operators, and interns. In the case of a family workshop or business, the spouses or other family members who don’t receive wages are not counted as full-time employees. The owner’s age is winsorized at 99.5% percentile.

For the city tier category by Yicai, please refer to <https://www.yicai.com/news/100648666.html>. For example, the ‘First-tier’ city category includes Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, and Shenzhen; the ‘Second-tier’ city category, also defined as ‘New First-tier’ by Yicai, includes Chengdu, Dongguan, Foshan, Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, Qingdao, Shenyang, Suzhou, Tianjin, Wuhan, Xi’an, Changsha, Zhengzhou, and Chongqing.

The subsample period covers from 2020Q3 to 2021Q4.

Data source: OSOME.

Table 3: Baseline Regression of Digital Edge

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Pooled	February	May	August
<i>Panel A:</i>	Demand: order decline as main challenge			
E-commerce ratio	-0.028** (0.011)	-0.114* (0.060)	-0.020*** (0.006)	-0.013*** (0.005)
adj. R-sq	0.374	0.072	0.018	-0.007
<i>Panel B:</i>	Cash flow >1 month			
E-commerce ratio	0.129*** (0.020)	0.099** (0.045)	0.104*** (0.026)	0.204*** (0.041)
adj. R-sq	0.050	0.088	0.030	0.018
<i>Panel C:</i>	Reopen status			
E-commerce ratio	0.078*** (0.015)	0.060 (0.045)	0.062*** (0.020)	0.106*** (0.015)
adj. R-sq	0.501	0.097	0.035	0.022
<i>Panel D:</i>	Outlook for growth			
E-commerce ratio	0.103*** (0.024)	0.024 (0.037)	0.062* (0.036)	0.201*** (0.048)
adj. R-sq	0.139	0.008	0.080	0.042
Control	YES	YES	YES	YES
Wave dummy	YES	-	-	-
City FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Obs.	4,914	1,678	1,715	1,521

All regressions in the table use OLS estimation. The Probit model gives consistent results. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses, and standard errors clustered at city level are also consistent. The independent variable, *E-commerce*, is the ratio of online sales to total sales reported in the baseline survey in 2017, 2018, or 2019, depending on the baseline survey year, for the February and May waves, and in the first half year of 2020 for the August wave. It ranges from 0 to 1.

The control variables include employment, established year, a dummy for corporate business, city-level COVID-19 confirmed case, and city-level COVID-19 case growth in 30 days. The regressions also control for the city and one-digit industry fixed effects.

Significance level: * $p < 0.1$ ** $p < 0.05$ *** $p < 0.01$.

Data source: ESIEC.

Table 4: Short-term Digital Edge on Corporate Finance during the Early Reopening (May 2020)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Account receivable:		Account Payable:	
	% Current Assets >50%	Repayment period:	% Current Assets >50%	
		>60 days	Uncertainty	
E-commerce ratio	-0.086***	-0.091***	-0.089***	-0.072***
	(0.029)	(0.032)	(0.027)	(0.021)
Adj. R-sq	0.023	0.050	0.052	0.028
Mean of dependent variable	0.264	0.355	0.243	0.160
S.D. of dependent variable	0.441	0.479	0.429	0.367
Control	YES	YES	YES	YES
City FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Obs.		1,715		

All regressions in the table use OLS estimation. The Probit model gives consistent results. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses, and standard errors clustered at city level are also consistent.

The independent variable, *E-commerce*, is the ratio of online sales to total sales reported in the baseline survey in 2017, 2018, or 2019, depending on the baseline survey year, for the February and May waves, and in the first half year of 2020 for the August wave. It ranges from 0 to 1.

The control variables include employment, established year, a dummy for corporate business, city-level COVID-19 confirmed case, and city-level COVID-19 case growth in 30 days. The regressions also control for the city and one-digit industry fixed effects.

Significance level: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01.

Data source: ESIEC.

Table 5: Impact of Local Lockdowns on Online Operation and Sales

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Online operation			Online sales
	Any	Combine with offline	Only online	
<i>Panel A: All sample</i>				
COVID × After	0.023** (0.009)	0.012 (0.010)	0.011 (0.007)	0.018** (0.009)
Mean of dependent variable	0.441	0.331	0.110	0.383
S.D. of dependent variable	0.496	0.471	0.312	0.486
adj. R-sq	0.058	0.047	0.071	0.067
Obs.		84,316		
<i>Panel B: Newly established subsample</i>				
COVID × After	-0.022 (0.039)	-0.086** (0.035)	0.065** (0.029)	-0.027 (0.045)
Mean of dependent variable	0.516	0.344	0.172	0.430
S.D. of dependent variable	0.500	0.475	0.378	0.495
adj. R-sq	0.000	0.022	0.050	0.026
Obs.		7,772		
<i>Panel C: Incumbent subsample</i>				
COVID × After	0.028*** (0.009)	0.021** (0.010)	0.007 (0.007)	0.022** (0.010)
Mean of dependent variable	0.433	0.330	0.103	0.378
S.D. of dependent variable	0.495	0.470	0.304	0.485
adj. R-sq	0.057	0.046	0.065	0.066
Obs.		76,544		
Control	YES	YES	YES	YES
City, industry, & quarter (wave) FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES
City × industry FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
City × year FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry × year FE	YES	YES	YES	YES

All regressions in the table use OLS estimation. The Probit or Ordered Probit model also give consistent results. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the city level. The independent variable, *COVID × After*, equals one if a business is located in a city with localized lockdowns due to new COVID confirmed cases and was surveyed in a quarter after the outbreak; zero otherwise. The control variables include firm age, owner's age, owner's gender, business type (corporation and registration status), employment, and quarter revenue. The regression also controls for the city, industry, quarter (wave), city × industry, city × year, and industry × year fixed effects.

Significance level: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01.

Data source: OSOME.

Table 6: Impact of Local Lockdowns on the Adoption of Remote Work and Electronic Information Systems


	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Remote work	Electronic information system				
		Sale	Finance	Payment	Management	Product
<i>Panel A: All sample</i>						
COVID × After	0.025*	0.011*	0.002	0.004	0.005	0.009
	(0.013)	(0.007)	(0.011)	(0.015)	(0.010)	(0.010)
Mean of dependent variable	0.153	0.146	0.145	0.264	0.233	0.088
S.D. of dependent variable	0.360	0.353	0.352	0.441	0.423	0.283
adj. R-sq	0.056	0.059	0.077	0.030	0.070	0.046
Obs.		65,036				
<i>Panel B: Newly established subsample</i>						
COVID × After	0.009	-0.036	-0.001	-0.018	-0.054	0.006
	(0.053)	(0.042)	(0.019)	(0.055)	(0.062)	(0.026)
Mean of dependent variable	0.166	0.134	0.139	0.219	0.235	0.079
S.D. of dependent variable	0.372	0.341	0.346	0.413	0.424	0.269
adj. R-sq	-0.088	-0.108	-0.058	-0.077	-0.074	-0.080
Obs.		5,918				
<i>Panel C: Incumbent subsample</i>						
COVID × After	0.028**	0.013**	0.004	0.005	0.009	0.009
	(0.012)	(0.007)	(0.012)	(0.017)	(0.010)	(0.010)
Mean of dependent variable	0.152	0.147	0.145	0.269	0.233	0.089
S.D. of dependent variable	0.359	0.354	0.352	0.443	0.423	0.285
adj. R-sq	0.055	0.062	0.079	0.027	0.072	0.045
Obs.		59,118				
Control	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
City, industry, & quarter (wave) FEs	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
City × industry FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
City × year FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry × year FE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES

All regressions in the table use OLS estimation. The Probit or Ordered Probit model also give consistent results. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the city level. The independent variable, *COVID × After*, equals one if a business is located in a city with localized lockdowns due to new COVID confirmed cases and was surveyed in a quarter after the outbreak; zero otherwise. The control variables include firm age, owner's age, owner's gender, business type (corporation and registration status), employment, and quarter revenue. The regression also controls for the city, industry, quarter (wave), city × industry, city × year, and industry × year fixed effects.


Significance level: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01.

Data source: OSOME.

Appendix A Figures



(a) New Firm Entry



(b) Alterations on Business Operation Scope

Figure A.1: New Firm Entry and Business Adjustment Throughout the COVID-19 Outbreak and Reopening

The dependent variable in Panel (a) is the logarithm of one plus the number of newly registered firms; the dependent variable in Panel (b) is the logarithm of one plus the number of alterations of business operation scope. The X-axis label is the month(s) before (negative) or after (positive) Lunar New Year's Eve in 2020. The shaded area indicates the 95% confidence intervals. The Spring Festival coefficient ($m = 0$) is omitted as the baseline level (zero as default). The coefficients before five more months and after fifteen more months are included in the regression but not displayed here. Monthly numbers of firm entries at the city level from 2015 to 2021 are included. All observations are at the city-(lunar) year-month level. Standard errors are clustered at the city level. The regression controls for the city, month, year, and city-year fixed effects. Data source: SAIC registration database.




Figure A.2: Share of SMEs Adopting E-commerce in ESIEC, by Industry and Employment

Mean of the dummy variable ($E-commerce > 0$) is reported. The vertical line corresponding to the bar represents 95% confidence interval. The horizontal dash line shows the sample average. Data source: ESIEC.




Figure A.3: Share of SMEs Adopting Online Operation in OSOME, by Industry and Employment

Mean of the dummy variable (*Online operation: Any*) is reported. The vertical line corresponding to the bar represents 95% confidence interval. The horizontal dash line shows the sample average. The full sample period covers from 2020Q3 to 2021Q4. Data source: OSOME.




Figure A.4: Share of SMEs Adopting Any Electronic Information Systems in OSOME, by Industry and Employment

Mean of the dummy variable (*Electronic information system: Any*) is reported. The vertical line corresponding to the bar represents 95% confidence interval. The horizontal dash line shows the sample average. The subsample period covers from 2020Q4 to 2021Q4. Data source: OSOME.

Appendix B Tables

Table B.1: Supplementary Summary Statistics of ESIEC Data

Variable	Pooled		February	May	August	
	Mean	S.D.		Mean		
Owner's characteristics						
Age	38.950	9.745	38.890	39.020	n.a.	
Female	0.243	0.429	0.270	0.273	0.169	
Work year(s) before venture	4.247	6.411	3.001	3.094	7.266	
Education level:						
No schooling	0.013	0.013	0.010	0.013	0.018	
<= Senior high school	0.483	0.250	0.522	0.537	0.364	
>= College	0.504	0.250	0.468	0.449	0.617	
Firm's pre-COVID characteristics						
Innovation or new product	0.453	0.498	0.488	0.469	0.389	
Revenue >1 million RMB	0.476	0.499	0.485	0.511	0.420	
Job train	0.503	0.500	0.503	0.503	n.a.	
Gov. subsidy	0.079	0.269	0.081	0.076	n.a.	
R&D investment (log)	0.805	1.554	0.840	0.767	0.808	
Obs.	4,365 (3,120)		1,541	1,579	1,245	

Owner's age, on-the-job training, and government subsidy are not included in Columns (1) and (4) since these questions were not included in the August wave questionnaire. The total number of observations for these three variables is 3,120, and 4,365 for others.

We report three categories for the owner's education level. In regressions, we further control for a set of dummy variables for each education level: no schooling, elementary school, junior high school, regular senior high school, technical secondary school, junior technical college, undergraduate, master's degree, and doctor's degree, respectively.

Data source: ESIEC.

Table B.2: Robustness Regression of Digital Edge

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Pooled	February	May	August
Panel A:	Demand: order decline as main challenge			
E-commerce ratio	-0.027** (0.013)	-0.110* (0.064)	-0.015** (0.006)	-0.009* (0.005)
adj. R-sq	0.376	0.074	0.035	-0.010
Panel B:	Cash flow >1 month			
E-commerce ratio	0.090*** (0.021)	0.063 (0.054)	0.076*** (0.029)	0.171*** (0.045)
adj. R-sq	0.060	0.099	0.022	0.044
Panel C:	Reopen status			
E-commerce ratio	0.061*** (0.016)	0.053 (0.055)	0.042** (0.021)	0.096*** (0.019)
adj. R-sq	0.506	0.104	0.041	0.018
Panel D:	Outlook for growth			
E-commerce ratio	0.067*** (0.026)	0.003 (0.038)	0.039 (0.038)	0.141*** (0.054)
adj. R-sq	0.149	0.026	0.082	0.053
Control	YES	YES	YES	YES
Supplementary Control	YES	YES	YES	YES
Wave dummy	YES	-	-	-
City FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Obs.	4,365	1,541	1,579	1,245

All regressions in the table use OLS estimation. The Probit model gives consistent results. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses, and standard errors clustered at city level are also consistent. The independent variable, *E-commerce*, is the ratio of online sales to total sales reported in the baseline survey in 2017, 2018, or 2019, depending on the baseline survey year, for the February and May waves, and in the first half year of 2020 for the August wave. It ranges from 0 to 1.

The control variables include employment, established year, a dummy for corporate business, city-level COVID-19 confirmed case, and city-level COVID-19 case growth in 30 days. The regressions also control for the city and one-digit industry fixed effects.

The supplementary control variables include owner's age, gender, work experience (measured by working year(s) before venture), education level, and other firm's pre-COVID characteristics: a dummy for innovation or new product, a dummy for revenue larger than one million RMB, a dummy for on-the-job training, a dummy for receiving subsidies for the government, and the R&D investment (in logarithm).

Owner's age, on-the-job training, and government subsidy are not included in Columns (1) and (4) since these questions were not included in the August wave questionnaire.

Significance level: * $p < 0.1$ ** $p < 0.05$ *** $p < 0.01$.

Data source: ESIEC.

Table B.3: Robustness Check: Short-term Digital Edge on Corporate Finance during the Early Reopening (May 2020)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Account receivable:		Account Payable:	
	% Current Assets >50%	Repayment period:	% Current Assets >50%	
		>60 days	Uncertainty	
E-commerce ratio	-0.062**	-0.089***	-0.067**	-0.083***
	(0.031)	(0.034)	(0.029)	(0.028)
Adj. R-sq	0.023	0.050	0.052	0.028
Mean of dependent variable	0.262	0.349	0.239	0.157
S.D. of dependent variable	0.440	0.477	0.427	0.364
Control	YES	YES	YES	YES
Supplementary Control	YES	YES	YES	YES
City FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry FE	YES	YES	YES	YES
Obs.		1,579		

45

All regressions in the table use OLS estimation. The Probit model gives consistent results. Robust standard errors are reported in parentheses, and standard errors clustered at city level are also consistent.

The independent variable, *E-commerce*, is the ratio of online sales to total sales reported in the baseline survey in 2017, 2018, or 2019, depending on the baseline survey year, for the February and May waves, and in the first half year of 2020 for the August wave. It ranges from 0 to 1.

The control variables include employment, established year, a dummy for corporate business, city-level COVID-19 confirmed case, and city-level COVID-19 case growth in 30 days. The regressions also control for the city and one-digit industry fixed effects.

The supplementary control variables include owner's age, gender, work experience (measured by working year(s) before venture), education level, and other firm's pre-COVID characteristics: a dummy for innovation or new product, a dummy for revenue larger than one million RMB, a dummy for on-the-job training, a dummy for receiving subsidies for the government, and the R&D investment (in logarithm).

Significance level: * p<0.1 ** p<0.05 *** p<0.01.

Data source: ESIEC.

Appendix C Text-based Digitization Metric

Based on the nature of business operation scope text in the SAIC registration data, we develop and apply the Chinese text segmentation tool and an NLP algorithm. The division in wholesale and retail sectors provides us a natural training set. For robustness, we also manually labeled training sets from ESIEC and OSOME, respectively.

Firstly, we split the text into Chinese words using `jieba` (“stutter” in Chinese) package, which is widely used for Chinese text processing. The stop words set includes meaningless numbers and alphabet (mostly indicating order) and some regulated phrases in the business operation scope. Next, we vectorize the segmented feature words and apply different NLP algorithms. We first calculate the word frequency and extract keywords that can classify the e-commerce and non-e-commerce firms. Then we apply a Decision Tree model to our labeled training set. It turns out that the model nodes largely overlap with the keywords we extract, and the classification is consistent. We also use the Naive Bayes text classification for robustness check, and the results remain consistent.

To test the extensive margin of digital transformation of SMEs, we use the above NLP tool to classify each entrant’s business operation into two groups with different levels of e-commerce adoption. As for the intensive margin of the incumbent firm’s transformation, we further exploit the alteration record of business operation scope. It contains the pre-change and post-change text of the business operation scope, as well as the date. Therefore, we apply the NLP tool to texts both before and after the alteration. For each firm in the alteration record, we define a binary variable as one if it has changed from non-e-commerce to e-commerce. Then we aggregate it at the city-month-year level to construct our dependent variable. We also construct the total number of firms’ alterations on business operation scope as a placebo.