# **Approach to Produce Revised 2017 Results**

**International Comparison Program (ICP) Technical Advisory Group (TAG)** 



#### **Outline**

Recap: Approach to produce revised 2017 results

- Review of available revised 2017 regional results
  - Asia and the Pacific
  - Commonwealth of Independent States
  - Eurostat and OECD

## **Revising Benchmark Results**

- ICP 2017 revised previous (2011) benchmark results for the first time
  - Reginal results: Revised regional results based on revised NA expenditures and price data (as appropriate)
  - Global results: Global results revised based on revised regional PPPs, NA expenditures, and finetuning of the linking approach | NOTE: Inter-regional linking factors were not revised
- For the ICP 2021, the <u>TAG</u> supported recalculating linking factors when the previous ICP benchmark year results are revised, as best practice
  - This approach would also allow for updating the housing linking factors,
    which are estimated based on various data points that may be revised
  - However, it was stressed that, in principle, any underlying price data should not be revised

## **Revising Benchmark Results: Additional Consideration**

#### Housing PPPs for Asia and the Pacific

- The Asian Development Bank has suggested a new approach for estimating the regional housing PPPs
- The regional housing PPPs, per the new "hybrid" approach, are available for both 2017 (revised) and 2021, allowing them to be used for both benchmark years
  - Pros: better consistency between (revised 2017) and 2021 ICP results
  - Cons: larger revisions for 2011 ICP results
- Q: Should the regional PPPs per the new "hybrid" approach PPPs be utilized for the revised global ICP 2017 results?

### **Outline**

Recap: Approach to produce revised 2017 results

- Review of available revised 2017 regional results
  - Asia and the Pacific
  - Commonwealth of Independent States
  - Eurostat and OECD

