



**The
Pandemic
Fund**
FOR A RESILIENT WORLD



Annex 2: Funding Proposal Template

As per the Pandemic Fund's [Governance Framework](#) and [Operations Manual](#), approved by the Fund's Governing Board in September 2022, "the objective of the Pandemic Fund is to provide a dedicated stream of additional, long-term funding for critical pandemic prevention, preparedness, and response (PPR) functions in International Development Association (IDA) and International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD) eligible countries, through investments and technical support at the national level, as well as at the regional and global levels. The Pandemic Fund is expected to support and reinforce capacity building and implementation of pandemic PPR under the International Health Regulations (IHR) (2005) and other internationally endorsed legal frameworks, consistent with a One Health¹ approach."²

Date of Submission to Secretariat:

Summary Information

Note: The Funding Proposal Template should be completed on the Pandemic Fund's application portal; the link to the portal will be made available on the Pandemic Fund's website in early May.

If you submit your proposal on the application portal, you do not need to fill out this PDF application. If you have difficulties accessing the portal, please contact the Pandemic Fund Secretariat at pandemicfundcfp@worldbank.org by **May 15, 2023** to make alternative arrangements to submit your proposal. All proposals must be submitted by **May 19, 2023 at 23:59 EDT**.

Proposals, including all accompanying documentation, should be submitted in English. If you will have difficulties submitting the proposal and accompanying documentation in English, please contact the Secretariat by May 1, 2023 at pandemicfundcfp@worldbank.org to make alternative arrangements. Funding requests, budgets and disbursement schedules should be quoted in US dollars.

This template serves as a guide for the information that will be asked on the Pandemic Fund's application portal. You are welcome to expand on and submit any of the tables in a separate document if you need additional space. You are also welcome to include supplementary information.

¹ One Health is collaborative, multisectoral, and transdisciplinary approach — working at the local, regional, national, and global levels — with the goal of achieving optimal health outcomes recognizing the interconnection between people, animals, plants, and their shared environment.

² Source: PF Governance Framework (paragraph 5) and Operations Manual (paragraph 2).

Name of project

1.1 Contact of person submitting proposal

Please fill in the information below:

I am representing:	Country	Regional Entity	Implementing Entity
Name of Country / Regional Entity / Implementing Entity			
Name of person submitting proposal			
Job title			
Ministry/Government Department (if applicable)			
Email			
Phone			
Official address:			

1.2 Project proposal type and grant recipient(s)

This proposal is for a:

Single country project [country name]
Multi-country project [list countries]
Regional Entity project [name the Entity]
Multi country project and Regional Entity project [please list]
Single country project and Regional Entity project [please list]

1.3 Proposal team / participants

Please provide the country/entity name and details related to a point of contact for each country / Regional Entity / Implementing Entity involved in the proposal.

A. Country (-ies)

Note: Please list each country below. Feel free to include another table if more space is required.

	1	2	3	4
Country name				
Contact person				
Ministry/Government Department (if applicable)				
Job title				
Email				
Phone				
Official address:				

B. Regional Entity (-ies) (if applicable)

Note: Please list each Regional Entity below. Feel free to include another table if more space is required.

	1	2	3	4
Regional Entity Name				
Contact person				
Job title				
Email				
Phone				
Official address:				

C. Implementing Entity (-ies)

Note: Please list each IE below. Feel free to include another table if more space is required.

	1	2	3	4
IE name				
Contact person				
Job title				
Email				
Phone				
Official address:				

D. For Implementing Entities that have a global headquarters office, have you already discussed the proposal with your headquarters?

Yes	No	Other (please fill in details below)
-----	----	--------------------------------------

1.4 Designated Project Leader

A single Project Leader should be designated from one of the Applicants. Project leaders, in most cases, would be a government official dedicated to the project submitted or, in exceptional cases (e.g., impossible for a country to indicate someone due to change of governments or strong instability), a representative of an IE.

Ministry / Government
Department (if applicable)

Project leader name

Job title

Email

Phone

Official address:

1.5 Priority Areas

This proposal covers the following Priority Areas:

Please check all that apply

Surveillance systems

Laboratory systems

Human Resources/Workforce Strengthening

1.6 Location (s)

Please detail the country/countries/region where the activities in this proposal will take place

1.7 Total Funds Requested from the Pandemic Fund

Please fill out the table below with the Total amount of grant financing requested from The Pandemic Fund, with a breakdown by project funds and IE fees, between IEs.

Note: The Pandemic Fund grants must be used for activities that will take place over three years, with the understanding that these funds are part of a larger project with a longer time horizon. Please use USD. Also note that in the case where a project will engage multiple IEs, the administrative fee that each IE will receive must be described below. In the case of multi-country projects, please provide a country-by-country breakdown.

Table 1: Total funds requested

Budget area	IE #1	IE #2	IE #3	[...]	Total funds requested from the Pandemic Fund
Project funds requested					
IE admin fees					
Other (if applicable)					
Overall Total					

1.8 Schedule of disbursements

Please fill out the table below based on the expected disbursements from The Pandemic Fund and onward disbursements from IEs (e.g. to countries, Regional Entities, delivery partners) for projects. Please use a separate table for each IE.

Table 2: Schedule of disbursements

IE name:

	Upon Signature of PF & IE Funding Agreement	One Year after Project starts	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Disbursements from Pandemic Fund to IE					
Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					
IE Fees (US\$)					
Total					
Disbursements from IEs onwards					
Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):					
Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					
Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):					
Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					
Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):					
Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					
Grand Total					

IE name:

	Upon Signature of PF & IE Funding Agreement	One Year after Project starts	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Disbursements from Pandemic Fund to IE					
Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					
IE Fees (US\$)					
Total					

Disbursements from IEs onwards					
---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):

Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					

Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):

Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					

Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):

Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					
Grand Total					

IE name:

	Upon Signature of PF & IE Funding Agreement	One Year after Project starts	Year 2	Year 3	Total
Disbursements from Pandemic Fund to IE					
Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					
IE Fees (US\$)					
Total					

Disbursements from IEs onwards

Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):

Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					

Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):

Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					

Country/Regional Entity/Delivery partner (if known):

Scheduled date					
Grant Activity Funds (US\$)					

Grand Total

1.9 Conflict of Interest

Please refer to the Pandemic Fund's Conflict of Interest Framework when completing this section. By submitting a funding proposal, you agree to comply with the Pandemic Fund's COI Framework, in addition to the IEs' applicable policies and procedures.

Please explain any perceived, potential or actual conflict of interest arising from the Country, IE regional entity, or delivery partners' participation in the activities, and mitigation mechanisms to be employed.

Please note that any disclosure at this point will be disclosed to the COI Committee.

Part A. Context, rationale, objectives and demonstrated need

Please keep written responses to under 500 words for each question.

A.1 Related evaluation criteria: Does the project focus on a country/Regional Entity owned which is exposed to epidemic risks and vulnerabilities, according to internationally recognized epidemic indices?

Describe the local, country, and/or regional context where this project will operate, including from health, economic, social, developmental and environmental lenses. Be sure to describe specific conditions of the country/region which make it significantly exposed/vulnerable to pandemic risks, drawing upon internationally recognized epidemic indices.

A.2 Related evaluation criteria: Has the country (-ies) conducted a JEE and/or prepared a NAPHS, implementation roadmaps, National Bridging Workshop (NBW) Roadmaps, SPAR or other relevant national and/or regional plans, or equivalent? If no assessments have been conducted yet, were critical pandemic PPR capacity gaps identified in the country and is there a good plan being proposed to assess the gaps identified later by applying a valid methodology?

A. Has the country (countries) conducted:

JEE conducted in...

Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date

NAPHS prepared...

Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date

Implementation Roadmap developed in

Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date
Name of country	Date

...continued next page.

National Bridging Workshop (NBW) Roadmap developed in

Name of country

Date

Name of country

Date

Name of country

Date

Name of country

Date

State Party Self-Assessment Annual Reporting (SPAR) completed in

Name of country

Date

Name of country

Date

Name of country

Date

Name of country

Date

Other internationally known assessments were used (please explain):

No national assessments conducted

No national assessments conducted yet, but...

Name of country

plans to conduct... [name assessment]

in [date]

Name of country

plans to conduct... [name assessment]

in [date]

Name of country

plans to conduct... [name assessment]

in [date]

B. Please describe any critical PPR capacity gaps related to the 3 priority areas (Surveillance systems, Laboratory systems, Human Resources/Workforce Strengthening) of the CfP and identified in the evaluations/plans described in (A).

C. If no recognized assessments have been completed yet, please describe any critical PPR capacity gaps related to the 3 priority areas of the CfP identified and how they were identified.

D. Please provide a brief overview of the specific PPR challenges that the: a) overall project and b) proposed grant activities will help to solve.

- E. Please describe how this project, and activities proposed to be financed by the grant, are aligned to the local, national, regional and global priorities and planning processes related to PPR and link to specific policies and assessments described in (a), as applicable.

A.3 Related evaluation criteria: Does the proposal clearly articulate the objectives and actions, in line with the above identified gaps?

- A. Please clearly describe the objectives and desired goals of the overall project, as well as those of the specific activities to be financed by the grant.

B. Please describe how the objectives and desired goals in (a) are aligned with the gaps described in question A.2.

A.4 During the inception phase of the project, does the project clearly outline how the national priorities were aligned and coordinated with local needs, other ministries, beneficiaries, stakeholders, partners, civil society, vulnerable groups, populations who are marginalized, Implementing Entities, etc.?

Please describe how during the inception phase / proposal development process, national priorities were aligned and coordinated with local needs, other ministries, beneficiaries, stakeholders, partners, civil society, vulnerable groups, populations who are marginalized, Implementing Entities, etc.

A.5 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the proposal complement the efforts/ongoing activities of existing actors engaged in PPR capacity building at the country or regional level?

Please describe efforts/ongoing activities of existing actors engaged in PPR capacity building at the country or regional level that you are aware of and explain how the proposal complements these activities.

A.6 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the proposal clearly demonstrate how the proposed activities strengthen and build upon already existing systems and programs within the country or region's pandemic PPR agenda, such as One-Health, antimicrobial resistance (AMR), or primary health care (PHC).

Please describe how the proposal strengthens and builds upon already existing systems and programs within the country or region's pandemic PPR agenda, such as One-Health, antimicrobial resistance (AMR), or primary health care (PHC).

A.7 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the proposal provide a clear overview of how this project fits within the broader public health landscape of the country or region with specific focus of how funding from the Pandemic fund will provide catalytic value?

A. Please describe how this project fits within the broader public health landscape of the country or region.

B. Please describe how / in what ways potential funding from the Pandemic fund will provide catalytic value in the country or region on pandemic PPR?

Part B. Scope, Priority areas/Core Capacities/Alignment with and contribution to the Pandemic Fund Results Framework/Monitoring and Evaluation

Please keep written responses to under 500 words for each question.

B.1 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project provide detailed descriptions of activities which are technically strong and feasible that are aligned to country-level/regional plans and prioritizations?

Please clearly describe each proposed activity that the grant would finance and how each activity relates to country-level/regional plans and prioritizations.

B.2 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project present a clear theory of change for how the resources requested will strengthen pandemic PPR, including a set of intended results that are specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, time-bound and aligned with the Pandemic Fund's Results Framework measured by a clear set of indicators that are sex and/or gender disaggregated (where applicable); and are clear areas of impact articulated including highlighting how the activities will impact vulnerable groups and populations who are marginalized?

A. Please clearly outline the proposal's Theory of change for how grant resources requested, in combination with co-financing and co-investments, will strengthen pandemic PPR.

B. For each dimension of the Pandemic Fund's Results Framework please clearly describe the areas of impact as well as the intended results at output level, ensuring each is specific, measurable, achievable and time bound, including highlighting how activities will impact vulnerable groups and populations who are marginalized.³ Please also state any underlying assumptions you have used.

a. Building capacity / demonstrating capability

³ Link to RF: <https://thedocs.worldbank.org/en/doc/eac1acfe37285a29942e9bb513a4fb43-0200022022/related/PF-Results-Framework-with-Indicator-Reference-Sheets-Feb-09-2023.pdf>

b. Fostering coordination nationally (across sectors within countries), and among countries regionally and globally

c. Incentivising additional investments in pandemic PPR

d. Ensuring administrative/operational efficiency of Pandemic Fund resources

C. For each of the four key dimensions of the Pandemic Fund's Results Framework, please identify which of the metrics and indicators stated within the Pandemic Fund's Results Framework, you will rely on.⁴

a. Building capacity / demonstrating capability

b. Fostering coordination nationally (across sectors within countries), and among countries regionally and globally

c. Incentivising additional investments in pandemic PPR

⁴ Link to RF: <https://thedocs.worldbank.org/en/doc/eac1acfe37285a29942e9bb513a4fb43-0200022022/related/PF-Results-Framework-with-Indicator-Reference-Sheets-Feb-09-2023.pdf>

d. Ensuring administrative/operational efficiency of Pandemic Fund resources

e. Other metrics not contained within the Pandemic Fund's Results Framework which will also be incorporated (if applicable)

f. If specific indicators within the Pandemic Fund's Results Framework are not relevant to the proposed project or activities, please provide an explanation for the exclusion

B.3 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the proposal provide a clear costed plan for how and when monitoring and evaluation will be carried out for the project, including the specific activities financed by the grant, as well as how learnings will take place and what processes will monitor that progress is / is not on track?

A. Please provide a costed plan for how and when monitoring & evaluation of the project, including the specific activities financed by the grant, will be carried out, what it will cost, and how it will be financed, keeping in mind the reporting requirements outlined in the Call for Proposals cover note.

B. Please explain how the learnings will take place and what processes will monitor that the progress is/is not on track as well as which changes need to be introduced to remain on track.

B.4 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the proposal articulate how country and/or regional investments, including those financed by Pandemic Fund grants, will help strengthen core capacities and achieve one or more of the focus technical areas of the JEE 3rd edition tool outlined in the call for proposals along one or more of the identified priorities?

Please describe how country and/or regional investments, including the grant from the Pandemic Fund, will help strengthen core capacities and achieve one or more of the focus technical areas of the JEE 3rd edition tool outlined in the call for proposals along one or more of the identified priorities.

B.5 Related Evaluation Criteria: If the country has conducted a JEE and/or PVS:

- a)** How well does the proposal articulate how investments will contribute to progress towards a demonstrated level of capacity (goal of level 4 or 5) in one or more of the focus technical areas of the JEE 3rd edition tool and/or PVS listed below?
- b)** How well does the proposal articulate how investments will contribute to progress towards a demonstrated level of capacity (goal of level 4 or 5) in any additional areas outlined in the Pandemic Fund Results Framework and outlined below?

For countries who have conducted a JEE and/or PVS

A. Please select in which areas the investments will contribute to progress towards a demonstrated level of capacity (goal of level 4 or 5) in one or more of the focus technical areas of the JEE 3rd edition tool and/or PVS listed:

a. Surveillance and early warning systems

JEE D2.1 Early warning surveillance function

JEE D2.2 Event verification and investigation

JEE D2.3 Analysis and information sharing

JEE P4.2 Surveillance of AMR

JEE P5.1 Surveillance of zoonotic disease

...list continued next page

JEE PoE1 Core capacity requirements at all times for PoEs (airports, ports and ground crossings)

PVS II-3 Quarantine and border security

PVS II-4 Surveillance and early detection

PVS II-9 Antimicrobial resistance and antimicrobial use

b. Laboratory systems

JEE D1.1 Specimen referral and transport system

JEE D1.2 Laboratory quality system

JEE D1.3 Laboratory testing capacity modalities

JEE D1.4 Effective national diagnostic network

JEE P7.2 Biosafety and biosecurity training and practices in all relevant sectors (including human, animal and agriculture)

JEE P7.1 Whole-of-government biosafety and biosecurity system is in place for human, animal and agriculture facilities

PVS II-1 Veterinary laboratory diagnosis

c. Human Resources/Workforce Strengthening

JEE D3.1 Multisectoral workforce strategy

D3.2 Human resources for implementation of IHR

D.3.3 Workforce training

D3.4 Workforce surge during a public health event

PVS I-1 Professional and technical staffing of the Veterinary Services

PVS I-2 Competency and education of veterinarians and veterinary paraprofessionals

PVS I-3 Continuing education

B. Please describe HOW the proposed activities of the project and the grant specifically will contribute to progress towards a demonstrated level of capacity (goal of level 4 or 5) in one or more of the focus technical areas of the JEE 3rd edition tool and/or PVS listed in (a) and WHY you believe this is technically feasible.

C. Please select in which areas the investments will contribute to progress towards a demonstrated level of capacity (goal of level 4 or 5) in any additional areas outlined in the Pandemic Fund Results Framework outlined below (as applicable). This is not the primary objective but demonstrates the cross-cutting nature of the proposal.

d. Infection Prevention and Control (IPC)

R4.1 - IPC programmes

R4.2 - HCAI surveillance

R4.3 - Safe environment in health facilities

e. Risk communication and community engagement (RCCE)

R5.1 - RCCE system for emergencies

R5.2 - Risk communication

R5.3 - Community engagement

f. Additional capacities related to Zoonotic Diseases

P5.2 - Responding to zoonotic diseases

P5.3 - Sanitary animal production practices

g. Health Emergency Management [including Medical Countermeasures]

R1.1, R1.2, R1.3, R1.4, R1.5 - Emergency Preparedness

R3.3 - Continuity of essential health services (EHS)

PoE 2 - Public health response at points of entry

h. Immunization [including capacity for mass vaccination]

P8.1- Vaccine's coverage (measles) as part of national programme

P8.2 - National vaccine access and delivery

P8.3 - Mass vaccination for epidemics of VPDs

i. Other (Please describe below)

D. Please describe HOW the proposed activities of the project and the grant specifically will contribute to progress towards a demonstrated level of capacity (goal of level 4 or 5) in one or more additional areas of the JEE 3rd edition tool and/or PVS listed in (c) and WHY you believe this is technically feasible.

B.6 Related Evaluation Criteria: If a JEE or PVS has not been conducted, were other internationally known assessments such as SPAR used and how well does the proposal articulate how investments, including those financed by Pandemic Fund grants, will help strengthen core capacities along one or more of the identified priorities?

For countries who have NOT conducted specifically a JEE and/or PVS assessment

A. Please describe: 1) the assessment used; 2) why the assessment was selected; and 3) how impact will be demonstrated. Please also describe how investments, including those financed by Pandemic Fund grants, will help strengthen core capacities along one or more of the identified priorities?

B. Please select which core capacities will be strengthened

<input type="checkbox"/>	Policy, Legal and normative Instruments to implement IHR
<input type="checkbox"/>	IHR Coordination, National IHR Focal Point functions and advocacy
<input type="checkbox"/>	Financing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Laboratory
<input type="checkbox"/>	Surveillance
<input type="checkbox"/>	Human Resources
<input type="checkbox"/>	Health emergency management
<input type="checkbox"/>	Health services provision
<input type="checkbox"/>	Infection prevention and control (IPC)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Risk communication and community engagement (RCCE)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Points of entry (PoEs) and border health
<input type="checkbox"/>	Zoonotic diseases
<input type="checkbox"/>	Food safety
<input type="checkbox"/>	Chemical events

B.7 Related Evaluation Criteria: If there are currently no national assessments conducted, does the proposal clearly articulate its plans to do this as part of the proposal including how they will measure impact?

For countries who have NOT conducted any national assessments

Clearly articulate your plans to conduct assessments during the project and include how you will measure impact against this?

Part C: Ownership, Commitment and Co-investment

Please keep written responses to under 500 words for each question.

C.1 Related Evaluation Criteria: Was the project developed in a way that the country/Regional Entity owned and led the process and is it clear that the country/Regional Entity will continue to lead the process moving forward through implementation?

Please describe how the project was developed including by describing the specific role(s) of individual countries and/or Regional Entities and how the country/Regional Entity will continue to lead the process moving forward through implementation.

C.2 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project incentivize countries / Regional Entities to increase and sustain their own efforts in pandemic PPR and health (e.g., is the applicant country/ Entity providing a clear plan for co-investment)?

Please explain how the country (-ies) / Regional Entity (-ies) plans to increase and sustain its own efforts in pandemic PPR and health over time, beyond project completion.

C.3 Related Evaluation Criteria: Are new and legacy policy commitments clearly articulated in the proposal with a view of ensuring sustainability of impact (through continued financial and/or policy commitments)?

Please describe the policy commitments and implementation plans by the country/countries/ Regional Entity/Regional Entities in support of the three areas prioritized. Please clearly describe: a) if each is a new or legacy commitment and b) how policy-related impact will be sustained following the end of the grant.

C.4 Related Evaluation Criteria: Financial and policy/in-kind contributions: If a country is not in debt distress or at risk of being in debt distress, are there clear plans for financial co-investment and in-kind co-investment to support the activities over the project period? If the country is in debt distress or at risk of being in debt distress, are there clear plans for policy/in-kind co-investment to support the activities over the project period?

A. Are any of the countries in the proposal in debt distress or at high risk of debt distress and excluded from the requirement to provide financial co-investment? Please list countries.

B. If not in debt distress or at high risk of debt distress: Please describe the plans for financial co-investment and in-kind co-investment to support the activities over the project period.

C. If in debt distress or at high risk of debt distress: Please describe the plans for policy / in-kind co-investments to support the activities over the project period.

D. Please describe any “in-kind” co-investment that will be provided by each country / Regional Entity (if applicable) and estimate the US dollar value by category/line item.

Table 3: Policy/in-kind co-investment

Name of Country / Regional Entity providing co-investment	Category / description of in-kind co-investment	Quantity expected to provide	Estimated USD value per unit	Total value in USD
---	---	------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------

C.5 Related Evaluation Criteria: Is the co-investment amount (s) clearly indicated in the cost tables and clearly linked to JEE, PVS-GAP, SPAR, 7-1-7 and other assessments and metrics?

Please clearly fill out **Table 7:** Activity table with associated costs linking to JEE, PVS-GAP, SPAR, 7-1-7, etc.

There is no need to repeat this information here.

Part D: Co-financing and overall available funding

D.1 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project demonstrate how Pandemic Fund grants will be leveraged to mobilize new, additional resources through co-financing? (i.e. What is the leverage ratio of the Pandemic Fund grant amount to MDB loans/credits mobilized?)

A. Please describe how PF grants will be leveraged to mobilize new, additional resources through financial co-financing, including the specific sources of co-financing and amounts.

B. Please describe how PF grants will be leveraged to mobilize new, additional in-kind co-financing, including the specific sources, category/description of in-kind contribution and estimated value using the table below.

Table 4: In-kind Co-financing

Name of IE / other entity providing co-financing	Category / description of in-kind co-financing	Quantity expected to provide	Estimated USD value per unit	Total value in USD

C. Please provide the ratios and an explanation for:

a. Total Funds Requested from Pandemic Fund ÷ Total Co-financing Available
(i.e. MDB loans and/or credits)

b. Total Funds Requested from Pandemic Fund ÷ Total Funds Available for Project

D.2 Related Evaluation Criteria: Are co-financing commitments clearly described by entity, components, and activities across the project life cycle?

A. Please fill out the tables below which describes the various sources of financial and in-kind commitments to the project:

a. Totals by type of financing and source

b. In cases where multiple countries / Regional Entities will benefit, please demonstrate how funding will be split across countries / Regional Entities

This should include domestic resources, co-financing from IEs, philanthropic or private financing, as well as non-monetary or 'in kind' contributions they are committing to provide, such as time of government officials, office space, office supplies, transportation, etc. For in-kind contributions please estimate the value. Please ensure all figures are in USD and include additional pages if needed. If co-financing has a different time horizon than funding from the Pandemic Fund, please amend the table to reflect the differences. Note: Please refer to the co-financing guidelines in Section III.B in the Call for Proposals document.

Table 5: Total commitments by source and type

Type of financing (e.g., loan, grant)	Total financial contributions expected (USD)*	Total value of in-kind contributions expected (USD)	Total contributions (financial + in- kind) expected (USD)	% (Total value of in-kind contributions expected /Total contributions)	% (Total financial contributions expected / Total contributions)
Source Name: Pandemic Fund					
Grant		N/A	N/A		
Source Name: IE-1					
Source Name: IE-2					
Source Name: IE-3					
Source Name: IE-...					
Source Name: Country / Regional Entity 1					
Source Name: Country / Regional Entity 2					

...table continued next page

Type of financing (e.g., loan, grant)	Total financial contributions expected (USD)*	Total value of in-kind contributions expected (USD)	Total contributions (financial + in- kind) expected (USD)	% (Total value of in-kind contributions expected /Total contributions)	% (Total financial contributions expected / Total contributions)
Table 4 continued					
Source Name: Country / Regional Entity 3					
Source Name: Country / Regional Entity [...]					
Source Name: Other					
Total					

Table 6: Total commitment by source and type (for multiple country / regional proposals)

	By portion of the proposal related to each country / Regional Entity						Total available project funding by source
	[Country/ RE 1]		[Country / RE 2]		[Country / RE 3]		
	Type of financing	Amount expected (USD)	Type of financing	Amount expected (USD)	Type of financing	Amount expected (USD)	
Financial contributions							
Requested from the Pandemic Fund	Grant		Grant		Grant		
Co-financing* (List name in first column)							
Co-investment* (List name in first column)							

...table continued next page

	By portion of the proposal related to each country / Regional Entity						Total available project funding by source
	[Country/ RE 1]		[Country / RE 2]		[Country / RE 3]		
	Type of financing	Amount expected (USD)	Type of financing	Amount expected (USD)	Type of financing	Amount expected (USD)	
Other sources (List name in first column)							

...table continued next page

* With reference to Table 5 and 6, if not applicable, state justification per paragraph 29 in the CfP document. Please use the field on the next page.

Please state justification for why financial contribution is not applicable per paragraph 29 in the CfP:

B. Please fill in the table on the next page detailing the specific activities that would be undertaken under each project component / result area, costs associated with each area and activities under it, and how they are expected to be financed. If additional space is needed, please feel free to attach a new table to the application. If co-financing has a different time horizon than funding from the Pandemic Fund, please amend the table to reflect the differences.

Note 1: The Pandemic Fund understands that allocations may need to be adjusted during implementation based on evolving needs and circumstances and will allow for that flexibility in certain cases.

Note 2: Please note that funds from the Pandemic Fund grant cannot be used to fund the core budget of the IE(s).

Note: If the project spans multiple countries, please also list components, activities, costs per activity, sub-totals per country, etc. or use separate tables per country.

Table 7: Activity table with associated costs

[illegible]

C. Please fill in table 7 (right) which describes the relationship between project component / results areas, outputs, outcomes, and cost. Clearly link expected outcomes to improvements on JEE, PVS-GAP, SPAR, 7-1-7 and other assessments? If additional space is needed, please feel free to provide a separate table to the application. If co-financing has a different time horizon than funding from the Pandemic Fund, please amend the table to reflect the differences.

Note: If the project spans multiple countries, please also list the components, outputs, outcomes, sub-totals, etc. per country, or use separate tables per country.

Table 8: Impact / results table with associated costs

Project component / result area	Expected outputs	Expected outcomes	Total Cost (USD)	Amount proposed financed by Pandemic Fund (USD)	Amount financed by co-financing (USD)	Amount financed by co-investment (USD)	Other (US\$)
Monitoring & Evaluation costs							
Other Project execution costs							
Project management and administration fees from IE(s)							
Total project cost							

D.3 Does the proposal clearly articulate how financing for the project will be mobilized from various sources (in addition to the Pandemic Fund grant), including new financing, reprogramming of financing, and/or a combination of the both?

Please describe how the financing will be mobilized from various sources and describe the sources.

D.4 Related Evaluation Criteria: Is there adequate funding available to fulfill all short-term and long-term objectives of the project to ensure financial sustainability (this includes all sources of funding including co-financing, co-investment and financing from the Pandemic Fund)?

Please describe how the proposed budget is sufficient to fulfill all short-term and long-term objectives of the projects and describe any considerations made to ensure financial sustainability following the end of the Pandemic Fund grant.

Part E: Coordination, Collaboration & Co-Creation

E.1 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the proposal bring together key institutions and actors including Implementing Entities, civil society and non-state actors engaged in pandemic PPR (including human, animal and environment health) to ensure a co-created, coordinated and coherent approach between and among the applicant(s) and partners (e.g., by aligning support of different partners around a government strategy or plan, leveraging innovation and/or triggering new government commitments and actions), with a strong explanation of how this coordination was done and how will be carried through to implementation?

A. Please describe how the proposal development / inception process brought together key institutions and actors including Implementing Entities, civil society and non-state actors engaged in pandemic PPR, ensuring a co-created, coordinated, and coherent approach between and among the applicant(s) and delivery partners (e.g., by aligning support of different partners around a national strategy or plan, leveraging innovation and/or triggering new government commitments and actions).

B. Please describe specific ways that partners will ensure continued coordination of key institutions engaged in pandemic PPR throughout project implementation.

C. Please submit a cover letter, signed by all countries (if applicable), Regional Entities (if applicable) and IE(s) confirming that:

- 1) the proposal was co-created with the participation of relevant stakeholders.
- 2) the process adhered to the principles of co-creation, co-ownership, inclusivity and participation; and
- 3) all parties, if selected for funding, commit to continue adhering to these principles over the course of the project's implementation

Please refer to Section IV.A in the CfP for additional details on who should sign, etc.

E.2 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the proposal involve collaboration between one or more Implementing Entities?

A. Please select which is applicable to your proposal

Proposal involves ONE IE: Please name IE.
Proposal involves TWO IEs: Please name IEs.
Proposal involves THREE IEs: Please name IEs.
Other

B. For proposals with more than two IEs, please describe how they will collaborate and coordinate between each other, and how accountability will be agreed.

E.3 Related Evaluation Criteria: Was the project developed in a way that included multiple relevant sectors in the development process and implementation and were these sectors clearly explained?

Please describe how (if applicable) multiple relevant sectors were involved in the proposal development and how they will be involved in implementation?

E.4 Related Evaluation Criteria: Was the project developed in an inclusive and participatory manner including the involvement of CSOs, community organizations, vulnerable groups, and populations who are marginalized in proposal development; please provide details of how all actors have been involved in the preparation?

Please describe how CSOs, community organizations, vulnerable groups, and populations who are marginalized were involved in the proposal development / project inception and how they will be involved in implementation?

E.5 Related Evaluation Criteria: Was the project developed in a way that integrates considerations around gender, populations who are vulnerable and marginalized, human rights and broader equity challenges?

Please highlight how considerations related to gender, human rights, populations who are vulnerable and marginalized and broader equity challenges were considered during the proposal development / project inception process.

Part F: Implementation

F.1 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project detail a plan with intended results that are operationally feasible, linked to the Pandemic Fund Results Framework (Annex 1) and Co-Investment/Co-Financing Principals (Annex 4) and can be implemented within the timeframe?

A. Please fill out the table below with key milestones and their associated dates.

[illegible]

B. Please describe the timeline for when each expected result described in the Results Framework would be achieved.

C. Please explain how the proposed activities and results are operationally feasible and can be implemented within the specified timeframe. Please feel free to cite past projects as benchmarks.

F.2 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project clearly articulate how during the project implementation process, there will be a division of labor for activities and costs including accountability within and across countries (as necessary), IEs, stakeholders, sectors, civil society, etc. Including describing the roles, such as delivery partner?

Please describe the project's implementation plan, including: i. who will undertake each activity and what their roles will be; ii. how costs will be split across activities; and iii. who is ultimately responsible / accountable within and across countries, IEs, stakeholders, sectors, civil society, etc. You may also refer to Table 7: Activity table with associated costs and Table 8: Impact / results table with associated costs.

F.3 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project ensure and prioritize the use of resources in an efficient manner, adhering to principles of “value for money”, e.g., are administrative costs related to preparation, implementation, and supervision by involved Implementing Entities kept to a minimal level?⁵

Please explain how the project ensures and prioritizes the use of resources in an efficient manner, adhering to principles of “value for money”, e.g., are administrative costs related to preparation, implementation and supervision by involved Implementing Entities kept to a minimal level?

⁵ “Value for money” is the “effective, efficient, and economic use of resources, which requires the evaluation of relevant costs and benefits, along with an assessment of risks, and non-price attributes and/or life cycle costs, as appropriate.” – From World Bank Guidance on Value for Money: Achieving VFM in Investment Projects Financed by the World Bank <https://thedocs.worldbank.org/en/doc/274711479159288956-0290022017/original/GuidanceNoteonValueforMoney.pdf>

F.4 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project clearly identify opportunities it plans to maximize / leverage within the project?

Please describe any opportunities you have identified that you plan to maximize / leverage within the project.

F.5 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project clearly identify key risks related to implementation including presenting how they will be managed and mitigated? (i.e., financial risks such as misuse and wasteful use of funding, fraud, corruption, terrorist financing; operational risks, political and governance risks).

Please summarize the risks that the project, including the activities supported by the requested grant, might face including as related to achieving the key results and risks of adverse unintended consequences. Please assess the overall risk level (i.e., low, moderate, substantial or high), likelihood of the risk materializing (given mitigation), severity of impact on the Pandemic Fund if the risk were to materialize and describe the mitigation that would be put in place. Financial risks (i.e., misuse, wasteful use of funding, fraud, corruption, terrorist financing), operational risks, political risks and governance risks should be included in the matrix (next page).

[illegible]

F.6 Related Evaluation Criteria: Does the project clearly outline how it will ensure safeguards including environmental, social, gender-related, populations who are vulnerable and marginalized, data sharing, governance, and other relevant safeguards?

Please describe whether the proposed activities are likely to have significant effects in the various areas, particularly on populations who are vulnerable and marginalized and what systems are in place / will be put in place to reduce any adverse effects and enhance any positive effects.

a. Environmental Impacts & Safeguards

b. Social Impacts & Safeguards

c. Gender-related Impacts & Safeguards

d. Impact on populations who are vulnerable and marginalized & Safeguards

e. Data sharing Impacts & Safeguards

f. Governance Impacts & Safeguards

g. Other Impacts & Safeguards

F.7 Does the proposal clearly indicate how CSOs, community organizations, vulnerable groups and populations who are marginalized will be involved in the implementation?

Please describe how CSOs, community organizations, vulnerable groups and populations who are marginalized will be involved in the implementation of the project?

F.8 Does the proposal adequately describe how it will build capacity, structures, and processes, that will lead to institutionalization and long-term change within the country and/or region?

Please describe how the project will build capacity, structures, processes that lead to institutionalization and long-term change within the country and/or region?